| C | ase 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Docu | ument 779 | Filed 03/06 | /20 PageID.38 | 3293 Page 1 of 79 | | |
|--|---|-----------|-------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | NICOLA A. PISANO, CA Bar No. 151282 NicolaPisano@eversheds-sutherland.com JOSE L. PATIÑO, CA Bar No. 149568 JosePatino@eversheds-sutherland.com JUSTIN E. GRAY, CA Bar No. 282452 JustinGray@eversheds-sutherland.com SCOTT A. PENNER, CA Bar No. 253716 ScottPenner@eversheds-sutherland.com EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (US) LLP 12255 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92130 TELEPHONE: 858.252.6502 FACSIMILE: 858.252.6503 | | | | | | |
| 10 | Attorneys for Defendants and Co ESET, LLC and ESET, SPOL. S | 5.R.O. | | | | | |
| 11 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | | |
| 12 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | | |
| 13 | FINJAN, INC., | | Case No. 3 | :17-cv-0183-C | CAB-BGS | | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | | ESET, LL | C AND ESET | , SPOL. S.R.O.'S HIBIT LIST | | |
| 15 | v. | | | | | | |
| 16 | ESET, LLC, et al., | | Judge. II | on. Cathy And | I Benervengo | | |
| 17 | Defendants. | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 20 | AND RELATED COUNTERCI | LAIMS. | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |
| DOCKET Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. | | | | | | | |

| 1 | Defendants ESET, LLC and ESET, spol. s.r.o. (collectively, "ESET") submit their |
|---|---|
| 2 | amended trial exhibit list. |

3

Δ

4 Respectfully submitted, Dated: March 6, 2020 5 **EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (US) LLP** 6 7 8 /s/ Justin E Gray NICOLA A. PIŠANO, CA Bar No. 151282 9 NicolaPisano@eversheds-sutherland.com 10 JOSE L. PATIÑŎ, CA Bar No. 149568 JosePatino@eversheds-sutherland.com 11 JUSTIN E. GRAY, CA Bar No. 282452 JustinGray@eversheds-sutherland.com 12 SCOTT A. PENNER, CA Bar No. 253716 13 ScottPenner@eversheds-sutherland.com 12255 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 100 14 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92130 **TELEPHONE:** 858.252.6502 15 FACSIMILE: 858.252.6503 16 Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Plaintiffs ESET, LLC and ESET, SPOL. S.R.O. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 779 Filed 03/06/20 PageID.38295 Page 3 of 79

APPENDIX B <u>DEFENDANTS' TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST</u>

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS KEY

| Objection List | Abbreviation |
|---|--------------|
| Hearsay (FRE 801, 802)—The exhibits/testimony are hearsay and do | Н |
| not fall within any hearsay exception. | |
| Irrelevant (FRE 401, 402)—The exhibits/testimony are inadmissible | 401, 402 |
| without any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of | |
| consequence to the determination of the action more or less probable | |
| than it would be without them. Finjan notes this objection is used for | |
| the purpose for which Defendants offer the exhibit without waiving the | |
| right to use the exhibit or evidence for another purpose. | |
| Unfair Prejudice, Confusing, Waste of Time (403) — To the extent that | 403 |
| these exhibits/testimony contain any relevant information, they should | |
| be excluded because their probative value is substantially outweighed | |
| by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of issues, or is a | |
| mischaracterization of the testimony, or misleading the jury, or by | |
| considerations of undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of | |
| cumulative evidence. | |
| Violates FRE 401, 402 and 403 | 401-403 |
| Lack of Personal Knowledge/Foundation (FRE 602)—Defendants have | 602 |
| not identified a witness with personal knowledge to testify regarding | |
| these exhibits. The witness does not have personal knowledge to testify | |
| about a matter, the proposed testimony is speculation and/or outside the | |
| scope of the 30(b)(6) designation. | |
| Improper Lay Opinion (FRE 701)—The exhibits/testimony necessarily | 701 |
| rely upon the opinion testimony of a lay witness beyond the scope of | |
| what is permitted. | |
| Improper Expert Opinion / Testimony (FRE 702) | 702 |
| Authenticity—These exhibits have not been authenticated within the | 901 |
| meaning of FRE 901. The testimony fails to authenticate the deposition | |
| exhibit. | |
| Best Evidence (FRE 1001-1003)—The exhibits/testimony fail to satisfy | 1001-1003 |
| the best evidence rule under FRE 1001-1003. | |
| FRE 1006—These exhibits are an improper summary, chart, or | 1006 |
| calculation. | |

Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 779 Filed 03/06/20 PageID.38296 Page 4 of 79

APPENDIX B <u>DEFENDANTS' TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST</u>

| Objection List | Abbreviation |
|--|--------------|
| Privilege (501) | 501 |
| Improper Character Evidence (404, 608, 609) | CE |
| Leading/Waste of Time (611)—The presentation of these | 611 |
| exhibits/testimony will result in needless consumption of time and cause | |
| witnesses harassment or undue embarrassment. Leading questions | |
| should not be used on direct examination of a witness except as may be | |
| necessary to develop a witness' testimony. | |
| Compound, Vague, Argumentative, Duplicative, Asked and | 403, 611 |
| Answered—The presentation of these exhibits/testimony violate FRE | |
| 403 and 611. | |
| Improper Legal Conclusion (401, 611) or improper evidence of a legal | LC |
| issue decided by the Court | |
| Subject to motion in limine and/or evidentiary dispute raised in the | EXC |
| Pretrial Statement | |
| Not Related—Counter Designation is Not Related to Initial | NR |
| Designations | |
| Documents Not Produced During Discovery | NP |
| Multiple documents are listed as a single exhibit | MD |
| Incomplete/unintelligible document or testimony | IC |
| Not Testimony | NT |

FINJAN'S GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Finjan objects to all of Eset's Trial Exhibits and discovery response designations to the extent they are precluded by a pending or forthcoming on, i.e. motions *in limine*, *Daubert* motion, motion to strike, or issue identified in the Pretrial Order or at the forthcoming Pretrial Conference. To extent any exhibits are introduced for issues outside the scope of the March 9, 2020 trial, Finjan reserves the right to assert additional objections at the time that Eset introduces the proposed exhibits at trial depending on 's ability to properly authenticate, lay the proper foundation and demonstrate the intended use for the admission of the proposed exhibits and/or overy responses into evidence.

Case 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS Document 779 Filed 03/06/20 PageID.38297 Page 5 of 79

APPENDIX B <u>DEFENDANTS' TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST</u>

United States District Court For the Southern District of California

Finjan, Inc. v. ESET, LLC and ESET SPOL. S.R.O.

Case No. 17-cv-00183-CAB Trial Date: March 9, 2020

| DTX Exh. No. | Date Marked | Date Admitted | Description | Deposition Exhibit No. | Plaintiff's Objections |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| DTX- 6 | | | Prosecution History for U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (FINJAN-ESET 000018 - FINJAN-ESET 000436) | | |
| DTX- 7 | | | Prosecution History for U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 (FINJAN-ESET 000455 - FINJAN-ESET 000611) | | |
| DTX- 8 | | | Prosecution History for U.S. Patent No. 8,079,086 (FINJAN-ESET 001261 - FINJAN-ESET 001818) | | |
| DTX- 9 | | | Prosecution History for U.S. Patent No. 9,189,621 (FINJAN-ESET 001854 - FINJAN-ESET 003680) | | |
| DTX- 10 | | | Prosecution History for U.S. Patent No. 9,219,755 (FINJAN-ESET 003718 - FINJAN-ESET 005388) | | |
| DTX- 16 | | | Server Products Status - Project Board Meeting - May 6, 2014 (ESET0031466 - ESET0031474) | | H, 401-403, 602, 611, 701 |
| DTX- 31 | | | ESET Core R&D Brainstorm6: "HIPS" (ESET0040754 - ESET0040769) | | H, 401-403, 602, 611, 701 |
| DTX- 33 | | | Signatures are dead. Long live detections! (ESET0041256 - ESET0041257) | | H, 401-403, 602, 611, 701 |
| DTX- 42 | | | ESS - Task # 778 (ESET0043487 - ESET0043490) | | H, 401-403, 602, 611, 701 |
| DTX- 54 | | | Technology Division - 2014, week16 (ESET0044271 - ESET0044274) | | H, 401-403, 602, 611, 701 |

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.