

1 PAUL ANDRE (SBN 196585)
 2 pandre@kramerlevin.com
 3 LISA KOBIALKA (SBN 191404)
 4 lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
 5 JAMES HANNAH (SBN 237978)
 6 jhannah@kramerlevin.com
 7 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
 8 & FRANKEL LLP
 9 990 Marsh Road
 10 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 11 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
 12 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
 14 FINJAN, INC.

15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 16 **FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 17 **SAN DIEGO DIVISION**

18 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 ESET, LLC, a California Limited
 22 Liability Corporation, and ESET SPOL.
 23 S.R.O., a Slovak Republic Corporation,

24 Defendants.

25 ESET, LLC, a California Limited
 26 Liability Corporation, and ESET SPOL.
 27 S.R.O., a Slovak Republic Corporation,

28 Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,

29 v.

30 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Counterclaim-Defendant.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00183-CAB-BGS

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.’S
 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS’
 MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* NOS. 1-7**

Date: February 21, 2020

Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo

**PER CHAMBERS RULES, NO ORAL
 ARGUMENT UNLESS ORDERED BY
 THE COURT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1
2
3 FINJAN’S OPPOSITION TO ESET’S MIL NO. 1 1
4
5 FINJAN’S OPPOSITION TO ESET’S MIL NO. 2 4
6
7 FINJAN’S OPPOSITION TO ESET’S MIL NO. 3 6
8
9 FINJAN’S OPPOSITION TO ESET’S MIL NO. 4 7
10
11 FINJAN’S OPPOSITION TO ESET’S MIL NO. 5 8
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Wowza Media Sys.,
 No. 11-cv-02243-JST, 2014 WL 709865 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 23, 2014).....10

Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.,
 839 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2016)9

Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.,
 No. 11-cv-01846, 2014 WL 549324 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 7, 2014).....5

Black & Decker Corp. v. Positec USA Inc.,
 No. 11-cv-5426, 2015 WL 5612340 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 22, 2015)5

Digital Reg of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.,
 No. CV 12-01971-CW (KAW), 2014 WL 1653131 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 24,
 2014)10

Fresenius Med. Care Holdings, Inc. v. Baxter Int’l, Inc.,
 No. C 03-1431 SBA, 2006 WL 1330003 (N.D. Cal. May 15, 2006).....3

Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. U.S. Plywood Corp.,
 318 F. Supp. 1116 (S.D.N.Y. 1970), *mod. and aff’d*, 446 F.2d 295 (2d
 Cir. 1971), *cert. denied*, 404 U.S. 870 (1971)13

Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.,
 No. 2:07-cv-00331-PMP, 2013 WL 4458754 (D. Nev. Aug. 16, 2013),
aff’d, 769 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2014)3

Ivera Med. Corp. v. Hospira, Inc.,
 No. 14-cv-1345-H-RBB, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 180560 (S.D. Cal.
 July 21, 2015).....3

Kirksey v. Schindler Elevator Corp.,
 No. CV 15-0115-WS-N, 2016 WL 7116223 (S.D. Ala. Dec. 6, 2016)5

L.C. Eldridge Sales Co. v. Azen Mfg. Pte., Ltd.,
 No. 6:11cv599, 2013 WL 7964028 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 14, 2013), *aff’d in
 part sub nom. L.C. Eldridge Sales Co. v. Jurong Shipyard Pte., Ltd.*,
 610 F.Appx. 1015 (Fed. Cir. 2015)2

1 *Logan v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*,
 No. 10-3-KSF, 2011 WL 3267891 (E.D. Ky. July 29, 2011)7

2

3 *Mendenhall v. Cedarapids, Inc.*,
 5 F.3d 1557 (Fed. Cir. 1993)3

4

5 *Microsoft Corp. v. i4i Ltd. P’ship*,
 564 U.S. 91 (2011).....2

6

7 *Network Caching Tech., LLC v. Novell, Inc.*,
 No. C-01-2079-VRW, 2003 WL 21699799 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2003)11

8

9 *Oracle Am., Inc. v. Google, Inc.*,
 No. C 10-03561 WHA, 2012 WL 1189898 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2012)3

10

11 *Oracle Am., Inc. v. Google, Inc.*,
 No. 10-3561, 2011 WL 4479305 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 26, 2011).....10

12

13 *Polaroid Corp. v. Eastman Kodak Co.*,
 789 F.2d 1556 (Fed. Cir. 1986)1

14

15 *PowerOasis v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.*,
 522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008)1

16

17 *Pro-Mold & Tool Co. v. Great Lakes Plastics, Inc.*,
 75 F.3d 1568 (Fed. Cir. 1996)9

18

19 *Renasas Tech. Corp. v. Nanya Tech. Corp.*,
 No. C03-05709JFHRL, 2004 WL 2600466 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 10, 2004)11

20

21 *Sofillas v. Carnvial Corp.*,
 No. 14-23920-Civ-Scola, 2016 WL 5407889 (S.D. Fla. July 8, 2016).....7

22

23 *StoneEagle Servs., Inc. v. Pay-Plus Sols., Inc.*,
 No. 8:13-cv-2240-T-33MAP, 2015 WL 3824208
 (M.D. Fla. June 19, 2015).....3

24

25 *Universal Elecs., Inc. v. Universal Remote Control, Inc.*,
 No. 12-cv-00329 AG, 2014 WL 8096334 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 21, 2014).....1, 2, 3

26

27 *ViaSat, Inc. v. Space Sys./Loral, Inc.*,
 No. 3:12-CV-00260-H, 2013 WL 12061803 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 6, 2013).....10

28

...

1 *Volterra Semiconductor Corp. v. Primarion, Inc.*,
2 No. C-08-05129 JCS, 2011 WL 4079223 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2011).....1, 2

3 *Wi-LAN Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.*,
4 No. 18-cv-01577-H-BGS, 2019 WL 5790999 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 2019).....10

5 **Statutes**

6 35 U.S.C. § 3072

7 **Rules**

8 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2).....10

9 Federal Rule of Evidence 60213

10 Federal Rule of Evidence 9012

11 Patent Local Rule 3.110, 11

12 Patent Local Rule 3.210

13 Patent Local Rule 3.610

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.