

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants and Counter-Plaintiffs ESET, LLC and ESET spol. s.r.o. (collectively referred to as "ESET"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby request pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79.2, Patent Local Rule 2.2, this Court's Chambers Rule V, and the Modified Protective Order (D.I. 146-4), that certain documents containing ESET confidential technical and financial information, and any portion of Finjan, Inc.'s ("Finjan") forthcoming motions *in limine* citing or discussing these specific documents, be filed under seal.

On January 28, 2020, pursuant to this Court's Chambers Rule V(2), Finjan identified certain documents containing ESET's confidential information that Finjan may rely on for Finjan's forthcoming motions *in limine* to be filed on February 7, 2020. ESET understands that, pursuant to this Court's Chambers Rule V(2), Finjan will provide this Court with a courtesy copy of this motion to seal as well as hard copies of the below documents within 24 hours of the filing of this motion to seal.

## A. THERE IS A COMPELLING NEED TO SEAL CONFIDENTIAL ESET TECHNIAL INFORMATION.

This case involves ESET's malware detection software. If the confidential details of ESET software's structure, design, and operation were to become public, and thus known to hackers, such information could be used as a roadmap to defeat ESET's software. ESET carefully compartmentalizes responsibility and handling of its software, even within company headquarters, to minimize the impact of any potential security breach, thereby minimizing the risk that ESET's software could be compromised, and to protect its millions of customers. Disclosure of such information would put ESET's entire business at risk. Thus, there is a compelling need to seal ESET's source code and technical documents describing the structure, design, and operation of its software, as well as other technical documents that discuss such source code or design decisions. This is why, *inter alia*, "courts have found that source code presents a 'self-evident' risk of harm from disclosure." *Univ. of Va. Patent Found. v. Gen Elec. Co.*, No. 3:14cv51, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 157137, at \*8 (W.D. Va. Nov. 20, 2015). *See also Telebuyer, LLC v.* 

Amazon.com, Inc., No. 13cv1677, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 147049, at \*7-8 (W.D. Wash. July 7, 2014). Additionally, this Court previously granted a motion to seal the below documents as part of the parties' summary judgment and *Daubert* briefing in this case. See D.I. 462, 465.

For at least the above reasons, ESET respectfully requests that the following documents, as well as any portion of Finjan's upcoming motions *in limine* that cite or discuss such documents, be filed under seal:

- February 15, 2019 Expert Report of Dr. Eugene Spafford Regarding Non-Infringement (discusses at length ESET's source code and other documents describing highly confidential details of the structure and operation of ESET's accused products);
- November 30, 2018 Expert Report of Dr. Eugene Spafford Regarding Invalidity (discusses at length ESET's source code describing highly confidential details the structure and operation of ESET's accused products); and
- Transcripts of the March 13 and 15, 2019 Depositions of Eugene Spafford (discusses at length ESET's source code and other documents describing highly confidential details of the structure and operation of ESET's accused products).

Finjan has also indicated that because Finjan has not finalized its upcoming motions, it may be the case that Finjan will rely on different or additional technical documents than those identified above. However, any such documents would be of similar technical detail and should thus be treated in the same manner. For the foregoing reasons, ESET respectfully requests that the Court grant its motion and issue an order permitting Finjan to file the above referenced documents, and any other documents that contain similar technical detail, under seal.

## B. THERE IS A COMPELLING NEED TO SEAL CONFIDENTIAL ESET FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

ESET keeps its financial information—including its sales, revenues, costs, and expenditures for specific products—confidential. ESET is a private company that does not publicly disclose such financial information. Additionally, ESET does not publicly disclose information that would allow third-parties to approximate such financial information. Public disclosure of such financial information would permit competitors to access ESET's confidential financial information and thereby enable them to determine, for example, product-specific sales information, as well as profits and profit margin information, that is highly confidential and can be used by competitors to disadvantage ESET, by, for example, undercutting ESET's pricing and sales efforts. See Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., 727 F.3d 1214, 1223 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (district court abused its discretion in ordering detailed financial information unsealed). Compelling reasons exist to file such documents under seal, and courts regularly grant motions to seal confidential financial information such as the information ESET intends to file under seal here. See Obesity Research Institute, LLC v. Fiber Research Int'l, LLC, No. 15-cv-595-BAS-MDD, 2017 WL 50001287, at \*6 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 2, 2017) (granting motion to seal confidential financial information, including sales figures); San Diego Comic Convention v. Dan Farr Prods., No. 14-cv-1865 AJB (JMA), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177985, at \*3 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2017) ("compelling reasons exist to seal the documents as they contain private financial records of both parties"). Additionally, this Court previously granted a motion to seal the below documents as part of the parties' summary judgment and Daubert briefing in this case. See D.I. 462, 465.

For at least the above reasons, ESET respectfully requests that the following documents, as well as any portion of Finjan's upcoming motions *in limine* that cite or discuss such documents, be filed under seal:

• February 15, 2019 Expert Report of Thomas W. Britven (discusses ESET's confidential product-specific financial information, profitability information,



1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and licensing strategies throughout the document); and

• Transcript of the March 13, 2019 Deposition of Thomas W. Britven (discusses at length ESET's confidential product-specific financial information, profitability information, and licensing strategies).

Finjan has also indicated that because Finjan has not finalized its upcoming motions, it may be the case that Finjan will rely on different or additional financial documents than those identified above. However, any such documents would be of similar financial detail and should thus be treated in the same manner. For the foregoing reasons, ESET respectfully requests that the Court grant its motion and issue an order permitting Finjan to file the above referenced documents, and any other documents that contain similar financial detail, under seal.

ESET separately and concurrently submits a proposed order to the Court in accordance with Civ. L.R. 79.2 and Section 2(h) of the Electronic Case Filing and Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual.

Dated: February 3, 2020 Respectfully submitted,

## **EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (US) LLP**

/s/ Justin E. Gray

NICOLA A. PISANO, CA Bar No. 151282
NicolaPisano@eversheds-sutherland.com
JOSE L. PATIÑO, CA Bar No. 149568
JosePatino@eversheds-sutherland.com
JUSTIN E. GRAY, CA Bar No. 282452
JustinGray@eversheds-sutherland.com
SCOTT A. PENNER, CA Bar No. 253716
ScottPenner@eversheds-sutherland.com
12255 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92130
TELEPHONE: 858.252.6502
FACSIMILE: 858.252.6503

Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Plaintiffs ESET, LLC and ESET SPOL. S.R.O.

