1 2 3 4 5 6	Stephen C. Jensen (SBN 149,894) steve.jensen@knobbe.com Joseph F. Jennings (SBN 145,920) joe.jennings@knobbe.com Sheila N. Swaroop (SBN 203,476) sheila.swaroop@knobbe.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAI 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Telephone: (949) 760-0404 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502	R, LLP
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendants, FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE LIMITED, FISHER AND PAYKEL	
9	HEALTHĆARE INC, and FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE DISTRIBUT	ION INC.
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE) Case No. 16-cv-02068 DMS WVG
13	LIMITED, a New Zealand corporation,))
14	Plaintiff, v.) FISHER & PAYKEL) HEALTHCARE LIMITED,
15	RESMED CORP, a Minnesota corporation,) FISHER & PAYKEL) HEALTHCARE, INC., AND
16	Defendant.) FISHER & PAYKEL) HEALTHCARE
17 18	RESMED INC., a Delaware corporation, RESMED CORP, a Minnesota corporation, and RESMED LTD, an	DISTRIBUTION INC.'S ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS AND
19	Australian corporation,	COUNTERCLAIMS TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS
20	Counterclaimants, v.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
21	FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE CORPORATION LIMITED, a New	
22	Zealand corporation, FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE LIMITED, a	
23	New Zealand corporation, FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE, INC., a	
24	Californina corporation, and FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE))
25	DISTRIBUTION INC., a California corporation,	
26	Counterdefendants.	
27		
28		



6

9 10

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28 || ///

Counterclaim Defendants Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited, Fisher & Paykel Healthcare, Inc., and Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Distribution Inc. (collectively "F&P") hereby respond to and answer the Counterclaim of ResMed Inc., ResMed Corp, and ResMed Ltd (collectively "ResMed") as follows:

I. PARTIES

- 1. On information and belief, F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim.
- 2. On information and belief, F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim.
- 3. On information and belief, F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim.
- 4. F&P lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim and, therefore, denies those allegations.
 - 5. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim.
 - 6. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim.
 - 7. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim.
 - 8. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim.
- 9. F&P admits that Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of California. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim.
 - 10. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim.
- 11. F&P admits that Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Distribution Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of California. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim.
 - 12. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim.

4

28 ||

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. F&P admits that RedMed's Counterclaim purports to allege an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 100, et seq., including, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim.
- 14. F&P admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the causes of action asserted in the Counterclaim, but denies that there is any factual or legal basis for ResMed's claims.
- 15. F&P admits that venue is proper in this district and that Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited has sued ResMed Corp in this judicial district. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim.
- 16. Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited, Fisher & Paykel Healthcare, Inc., and Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Distribution Inc. admit they are subject to personal jurisdiction in California for the claims alleged and that Fisher & Paykel Healthcare, Inc. and Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Distribution Inc. are residents of California. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim.

III. RESMED'S COUNTERCLAIMS OF INVALIDITY AND NONINFRINGEMENT FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 17. In response to Paragraph 17 of the Counterclaim, F&P incorporates by reference its answers to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 16 of the Counterclaim as though fully set forth herein.
 - 18. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim.
- 19. F&P admits the allegations of Paragraph 19 as to Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited and ResMed Corp. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.



8

11 12

10

13 14

16 17

15

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

2627

- 20. Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited admits that it has alleged that ResMed Corp has directly infringed, contributed to infringement of, and induced infringement of the '807 Patent. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Counterclaim.
 - 21. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim.
 - 22. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Counterclaim.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 23. In response to Paragraph 23 of the Counterclaim, F&P incorporates by reference its answers to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 22 of the Counterclaim as though fully set forth herein.
 - 24. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the Counterclaim.
- 25. F&P admits the allegations of Paragraph 25 as to Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited and ResMed Corp. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 25 of the Counterclaim.
- 26. Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited admits that it has alleged that ResMed Corp has directly infringed, contributed to infringement of, and induced infringement of the '807 Patent. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Counterclaim.
 - 27. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaim.
 - 28. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Counterclaim.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 29. In response to Paragraph 29 of the Counterclaim, F&P incorporates by reference its answers to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 28 of the Counterclaim as though fully set forth herein.
 - 30. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 30 of the Counterclaim.
- 31. F&P admits the allegations of Paragraph 31 as to Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited and ResMed Corp. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 31 of the Counterclaim.



5

10

11 12

13 14

16 17

15

18

19

20

21

2324

22

25

2627

- 32. Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited admits that it has alleged that ResMed Corp has directly infringed, contributed to infringement of, and induced infringement of the '741 Patent. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 32 of the Counterclaim.
 - 33. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 33 of the Counterclaim.
 - 34. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 34 of the Counterclaim.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 35. In response to Paragraph 35 of the Counterclaim, F&P incorporates by reference its answers to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 34 of the Counterclaim as though fully set forth herein.
 - 36. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 36 of the Counterclaim.
- 37. F&P admits the allegations of Paragraph 37 as to Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited and ResMed Corp. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 37 of the Counterclaim.
- 38. Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited admits that it has alleged that ResMed Corp has directly infringed, contributed to infringement of, and induced infringement of the '741 Patent. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Counterclaim.
 - 39. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 39 of the Counterclaim.
 - 40. F&P denies the allegations in Paragraph 40 of the Counterclaim.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 41. In response to Paragraph 41 of the Counterclaim, F&P incorporates by reference its answers to the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 40 of the Counterclaim as though fully set forth herein.
 - 42. F&P admits the allegations in Paragraph 42 of the Counterclaim.
- 43. F&P admits the allegations of Paragraph 43 as to Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited and ResMed Corp. F&P denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 43 of the Counterclaim.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

