С	ase 3:14-cv-02235-DN	1S-BLM	Document 44	9 Filed 07/22/18	PageID.21998	Page 1 of 5	
1 2 3 4 5 6	Allison H. Goddard ali@pattersonlawg PATTERSON LAW 402 West Broadway San Diego, CA 9210 (619) 398-4760 (619) 756-6991 (fac Attorneys for Plaint Wi-LAN Inc.	sinne)	8) m JP loor				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
8	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
9 10	SAN DIEGO						
10							
11				X			
12	WI-LAN INC.,				1507-DMS-BLN		
14		Pl	laintiff,		2235-DMS-BLM OR JURY TRLA	,	
15	vs.)			
16	APPLE INC.,	D	efendant.	Ú LITIGATIO	ION REGARD)N, WILLFUL INFRINGEME	NESS, AND	
17)			
18				DepartmentJudge: Hon.	: 13A , Dana M. Sabr	aw	
19 20					Hon. Barbara		
20 21							
21							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
AL	Find au	uthenticat	ed court documer	nts without watermar	ks at <u>docketalarm.c</u>	<u></u> .	

Apple Inc. ("Apple") and Wi-LAN, Inc. ("Wi-LAN") (collectively the "Parties"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on July 20, 2018, the Court issued its Order ruling on the Parties' Motions *in Limine*;

WHEREAS, on July 21, 2018, Wi-LAN submitted a letter requesting reconsideration of the Court's ruling on Wi-LAN's Motion *in Limine* No. 3;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

WHEREAS, upon further consideration, the Parties agree to certain stipulations to remove this issue from the Court's docket;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED:

1. Wi-LAN will dismiss its willful and induced infringement claims with prejudice.

2. The Parties will not argue or offer evidence regarding the prior litigation history between Apple and Wi-LAN, except as set forth below in paragraph 5.

3. Wi-LAN will not state or argue that Apple is the only or the rare mobile device manufacturer/company which has not entered into a license agreement with Wi-LAN. However, this agreement does not prevent Wi-LAN from offering its licenses with handset manufacturers into evidence, and does not prevent Wi-LAN from referencing that evidence in opening and closing.

4. Wi-LAN will not argue that litigation with Wi-LAN justifies an upward adjustment of any license or that litigation between the Parties justifies an upward adjustment. However, this agreement does not prevent Wi-LAN from offering testimony that the hypothetical negotiation requires an assumption that U.S. Patents
8,457,145 and 8,537,757 are valid and infringed. This agreement also does not prevent Wi-LAN from offering testimony and evidence that in many cases Wi-LAN's licensees were free to challenge validity and infringement, and that the rates and/or payments of those licenses should be adjusted upward to reflect this difference.

5. The Parties agree that they can cross-examine experts with their or other

avaat aniniana from other access on long

examination is done in a way not to disclose any prior litigations between Apple and Wi-LAN. The Parties also agree that they can cross-examine experts about the number of times they have testified adverse to one of the Parties in prior cases.

SO STIPULATED by the Parties through their undersigned counsel:

Dated: July 22, 2018

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

5	By: <u>/s/ Mike McKool</u>
9	Allison H. Goddard (211098)
10	PATTERSON LAW GROÚP 402 West Broadway, 29 th Floor San Diego, CA 92101
11	(619) 398-4760 (619) 756-6991 (facsimile)
12	Mike McKool
13	Ashley Moore
14	Warren Lipschitz MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
15	300 Crescent Court Dallas, TX 75201
16	(214) 978-4000 (214) 978-4044 (facsimile)
17	Robert Cote
18	Brett Cooper Jonathan Yim
19	Kevin Schubert Christopher McNett (298893)
20	MCKOOL SMITH, P.C. One Bryant Park, 47th Floor
21	New York, NY 10036 (212) 402-9400
22	(212) 402-9444 (facsimile)
23	Steve Pollinger Seth Hasenour
24	MCKOOL SMITH, P.C. 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700
25	Austin, TX 78701 (512) 692-8700
26	(512) 692-8744 (facsimile)
20 27	Attorneys for Wi-LAN Inc.
27	
)(СКЕТ
L	ARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

С	ase 3:14-cv-02235-DMS-BLM Document 449 Filed 07/22/18 PageID.22001 Page 4 of 5						
1	Bu: /s/ John Allcock						
2	By: /s/ John Allcock John Allcock						
3	Sean C. Cunningham Erin Gibson Robert Buergi Robert Williams Tiffany Miller Jacob Anderson						
4	Robert Buergi Robert Williams						
5	Tiffany Miller Jacob Anderson						
6	Ally walters						
7	DLA Piper LLP 401 B Street, Suite 1700						
8 9	DLA Piper LLP 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, California 92101-4297 (619) 699-2828 (619) 764-6628						
10	(019) 704-0028						
11	Attorneys for Apple Inc.						
12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED						
13	I UKSUANT TO STIL ULATION, IT IS SO OKDERED						
14							
15							
16	Dated:						
17	HON. DANA M. SABRAW						
18	United States District Judge						
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26 27							
27							
	CKET A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .						

С	ase 3:14-cv-02235-DMS-BLM Document 449 Filed 07/22/18 PageID.22002 Page 5 of 5						
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
2	I hereby certify that on July 22, 2018, I caused a copy of this pleading to be						
3	delivered via CM/ECF on the counsel of record.						
5	Dated: July 22, 2018						
6	Duted. July 22, 2010						
7 8	By: <u>/s/ Mike McKool</u> Mike McKool mmckool@mckoolsmith.com						
9							
10	MCKOOL SMITH, P.C. 300 Crescent Court Dallas, TX 75201						
11	(214) 978-4000 (214) 978-4044 (facsimile)						
12	Attorneys for Wi-LAN Inc.						
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
	CKET A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .						