

1 JOHN ALLCOCK (Bar No. 98895)
john.allcock@dlapiper.com
2 SEAN C. CUNNINGHAM (Bar No. 174931)
sean.cunningham@dlapiper.com
3 ERIN GIBSON (Bar No. 229305)
erin.gibson@dlapiper.com
4 ROBERT WILLIAMS (Bar No. 246990)
robert.williams@dlapiper.com
5 TIFFANY MILLER (Bar No. 246987)
tiffany.miller@dlapiper.com
6 JACOB ANDERSON (Bar No. 265768)
jacob.anderson@dlapiper.com
7 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
401 B Street, Suite 1700
8 San Diego, California 92101-4297
Tel: 619.699.2700
9 Fax: 619.699.2701

10 ROBERT BUERGI (Bar No. 242910)
robert.buergi@dlapiper.com
11 AMY WALTERS (Bar No. 286022)
amy.walters@dlapiper.com
12 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
2000 University Avenue
13 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215
Tel: 650.833.2000
14 Fax: 650.833.2001

15 Attorneys for
16 APPLE INC.

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 WI-LAN, INC.,
20 Plaintiff,
21 v.
22 APPLE INC.,
23 Defendant.

26 AND RELATED
27 COUNTERCLAIMS

MARK C. SCARSI (Bar No.
183926)
mscarasi@milbank.com
ASHLEE N. LIN (Bar No.
275267)
anlin@milbank.com
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY &
MCCLOY LLP
2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: 424.386.4000
Fax: 213.629.5063

CHRISTOPHER J. GASPAR
(admitted pro hac vice)
cgaspar@milbank.com
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY
& MCCLOY LLP
28 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10005
Tel: 212.530.5000
Fax: 212.822.5019

CASE NO. 3:14-cv-1507-DMS-BLM
(consolidated);

CASE NO. 3:14-cv-2235-DMS-BLM
(lead case)

**APPLE INC.'S COMBINED MOTIONS
IN LIMINE**



Date: July 20, 2018
Time: 1:30 pm
Dept.: 13A
Judge: Hon. Dana M. Sabraw
Magistrate Judge: Hon. Barbara L. Major

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>Page</u>
I. MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 1: EXCLUDE IRRELEVANT AND PREJUDICIAL UNRELATED MATTERS INVOLVING APPLE.....	1
A. Wi-LAN’s “Apple Bashing” Is Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.	1
B. Evidence And Argument About Alleged Labor Conditions In China Are Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.	2
C. Speculation About Apple “Slowing Performance” Of Qualcomm Chips Is Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.	3
D. Evidence And Argument About Unrelated Apple Litigations Is Irrelevant, Unfairly Prejudicial And May Confuse The Jury.	3
II. MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 2: EXCLUDE IRRELEVANT REFERENCES TO APPLE’S WITHDRAWN, STRICKEN OR REJECTED CLAIMS, DEFENSES AND CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ARGUMENTS.	6
III. MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 3: EXCLUDE UNADJUSTED AND UNFAIRLY PREJUDICIAL DOLLAR AMOUNTS.	9
A. The Total, Unadjusted Amounts Of License Agreements Are Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.	9
B. [REDACTED]	11
C. Apple’s Company-Wide Financial Information Is Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.....	11
IV. MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4: EXCLUDE IRRELEVANT AND UNHELPFUL TESTIMONY FROM ROBERT STOLL.....	13
V. MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 5: EXCLUDE IRRELEVANT AND UNFAIRLY PREJUDICIAL REFERENCES TO WHETHER APPLE TOOK CERTAIN STEPS IN RESPONSE TO WI-LAN’S CLAIMS.....	15
A. The Fact That Apple Did Not File IPR Petitions On The Asserted Patents Is Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.....	15
B. Evidence And Argument About Whether Apple Obtained An Opinion Of Counsel Is Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.....	16
C. Evidence And Argument About Whether or When Apple’s Engineers Reviewed The Asserted Patents Is Irrelevant And Unfairly Prejudicial.....	17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

CASES

Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litig.,
No. 4:05-cv-37, 2014 WL 12719192 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2014) 6

Aventis Evntl Sci. USA LP v. Scotts Co.,
383 F. Supp. 2d 488 (S.D.N.Y. 2005) 14

Biscotti Inc., v. Microsoft Corp.,
Case No. 13-cv-01015-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 2537021 (E.D. Tex.
May 30, 2017)..... 15, 17

Brigham & Women’s Hosp. Inc., v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.,
No. 08-cv-464, 2010 WL 3907490 (D. Del. Sept. 21, 2010)..... 13

Carnegie Mellon Univ. v. Marvell Tech. Grp., Ltd.,
No. 09-cv-290, 2012 WL 5463669 (W.D. Pa. Nov. 7, 2012) 7

Carson Optical Inc. v. eBay Inc.,
202 F. Supp. 3d 247 (E.D.N.Y. 2016)..... 16

Corning Inc. v. SRU Biosystems,
No. 03-cv-633, 2005 WL 2465900 (D. Del. Oct. 5, 2005) 7

Crow Tribe of Indians v. Racicot,
87 F.3d 1039 (9th Cir. 1996) 14

DataQuill Ltd. v. High Tech Comput. Corp.,
887 F. Supp. 2d 999 (S.D. Cal. 2011) 10

DNT, LLC v. Sprint Spectrum, LP,
No. 09-cv-21, 2010 WL 582164 (E.D. Va. Feb. 12, 2010)..... 7

Droplets, Inc. v. Overstock.com, Inc.,
No. 2:11-cv-401, 2014 WL 11515642 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2014) 16

Emblaze Ltd. v. Apple Inc.,
52 F. Supp. 3d 949 (N.D. Cal. 2014)..... 1, 2, 4, 12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

	<u>Page</u>
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2
	6
	17, 19
	11, 12
	13
	10
	10, 12
	12
	10
	10, 12
	12
	10
	13
	14
	4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.,
Case No. 2:13-cv-213-JRG-RSP, 2015 WL 627430 (E.D. Tex.
Jan. 30, 2015)..... 16

Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. NVIDIA Corp.,
No. 3:14-cv-757, 2015 WL 11382615 (E.D. Va. Nov. 30, 2015)..... 16

Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Quanta Comput., Inc.,
No. 00-cv-4524, 2006 WL 2850028 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2006) 7

SRI Int’l, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.,
254 F. Supp. 3d 680 (D. Del. 2017) (asserted patents issued in 2004
and 2002), *appeal docketed*, No. 17-2223 (Fed. Cir. June 26, 2017) 19

Sundance, Inc. v. DeMonte Fabricating Ltd.,
550 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 14

Sygenta Seeds, Inc. v. Monsanto Co.,
No. 02-cv-1331, 2004 WL 2106583 (D. Del. Sept. 8, 2004)..... 14

Underwater Devices Inc. v. Morrison-Knudsen Co.,
717 F.2d 1380 (Fed. Cir. 1983) 17

Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.,
632 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 9, 11, 12

VirnetX Inc. v. Apple Inc.,
Case No. 6:10-cv-417, ECF No. 989 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 21, 2016)..... 17, 18

Western Geco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp.,
837 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2016) *rev’d sub nom. on other grounds*,
No. 16-1011, --- S. Ct.---, 2018 WL 3073503 (June 22, 2018) 7

Wis. Alumni Research Found. v. Apple, Inc.,
135 F. Supp. 3d 865 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2015) 2, 7

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 298..... 16, 17, 18, 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.