

1 Allison H. Goddard (211098)
2 ali@pattersonlawgroup.com
3 PATTERSON LAW GROUP
4 402 West Broadway, 29th Floor
5 San Diego, CA 92101
6 (619) 398-4760
7 (619) 756-6991 (facsimile)

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*
9 *Wi-LAN Inc.*

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **SAN DIEGO**

13 WI-LAN INC.,

14 *Plaintiff,*

15 vs.

16 APPLE INC.,

17 *Defendant.*

18) 3:14-cv-01507-DMS-BLM
19) (Lead Case No. 3:14-cv-2235-DMS-BLM)

20) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

21) **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND**
22) **AUTHORITIES IN FURTHER**
23) **SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF WI-LAN**
24) **INC.’S OMNIBUS MOTION TO**
25) **EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF APPLE**
26) **EXPERTS**

27) **Department: 13A**
28) **Judge: Hon. Dana M. Sabraw**
29) **Magistrate: Hon. Barbara L. Major**

30 **REDACTED FOR PUBLIC FILING**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. POINTS IN REPLY REGARDING WIMAX 1
II. POINTS IN REPLY REGARDING DR. WALKER 4
III. POINTS IN REPLY REGARDING NON-INFRINGEMENT
ALTERNATIVES 5
IV. POINTS IN REPLY REGARDING MR. GUNDERSON..... 10
V. CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.,
314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)2

Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.,
No. 11-CV-01846-LHK, 2013 WL 5958176 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 7,
2013)14, 15

ART+COM Innovationpool GmbH v. Google Inc.,
155 F. Supp. 3d 489, 511 (D. Del. 2016)14

AVM Techs., LLC, v. Intel Corp.,
No. 15-33, 2017 WL 1787562 (D. Del. May 1, 2017)12, 14, 15

Cave Consulting Grp., Inc. v. Truven Health Analytics Inc.,
No. 15-CV-02177-SI, 2017 WL 4772348 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2017)14

Charleston Med. Therapeutics, Inc. v. AstraZeneca Pharm. LP, No. 2,
No. 13-CV-2078-RMG, 2015 WL 10913613 (D.S.C. Apr. 16,
2015)9

Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Research Organisation v. Cisco Sys.,
809 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2015)11, 12

Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.,
No. 15-CV-05008 NC, 2016 WL 8231157 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 18,
2016)12

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.,
509 U.S. 579 (1993).....4

EMC Corp. v. Pure Storage, Inc.,
154 F. Supp. 3d 81 (D. Del. 2016).....8

FastShip, LLC v. United States,
131 Fed. Cl. 592 (2017)14

Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Sys., Inc.,
No. 13-cv-03999, 2015 WL 4272870 (N.D. Cal. July 14, 2015).....9, 10, 13

1 *Finjan, Inc. v. Sophos, Inc.*,
 No. 14-CV-01197-WHO, 2016 WL 2988834 (N.D. Cal. May 24,
 2 2016)10

3 *Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 v. Broadcom Ltd.*,
 4 No. 2:16-cv-00134, 2017 WL 2869365 (E.D. Tex. May 18, 2017).....9

5 *Golden Bridge Tech. v. Apple Inc.*,
 6 No. 5:12-CV-04882-PSG, 2014 WL 2194501 (N.D. Cal. May 18,
 7 2014)13

8 *Good Tech. Corp. v. Mobileiron, Inc.*,
 9 No. 5:12-CV-05826-PSG, 2015 WL 4197554 (N.D. Cal. July 10,
 2015)8

10 *Grain Processing Corp. v. Am. Maize-Prods. Co.*,
 11 185 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 1999)6

12 *In re Innovatio IP Ventures, LLC Patent Litig.*,
 13 No. 11 C 9308, 2013 WL 5593609 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 3, 2013).....12, 13, 14

14 *Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.*,
 15 No. 10-1067, 2015 WL 307572 (D. Del. Jan. 23, 2015)5

16 *Interwoven, Inc. v. Vertical Computer Sys.*,
 17 No. CV 10-04645 RS, 2013 WL 3786633 (N.D. Cal. July 18,
 2013)8

18 *Lucent Techs., Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.*,
 19 580 F.3d 1301 (Fed. Cir. 2009)15

20 *M2M Sols. LLC v. Enfora, Inc.*,
 21 167 F. Supp. 3d 665, 677-79 (D. Del. 2016)15

22 *Microsoft Corp. v. Motorola, Inc.*,
 23 No. C10-1823JLR, 2013 WL 2111217 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 25,
 2013)13

24 *Oracle Am., Inc. v. Google Inc.*,
 25 No. C 10-03561 WHA, 2011 WL 5914033, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Nov.
 26 28, 2011)8

27

28

1 *Radware, Ltd. v. F5 Networks, Inc.*,
 No. 13-CV-02024-RMW, 2016 WL 590121 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 13,
 2 2016), *order clarified*, No. 5:13-CV-02024-RMW, 2016 WL
 3 9001260 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 22, 2016)8
 4 *ResQNet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.*,
 5 594 F.3d 860 (Fed. Cir. 2010)15
 6 *Riles v. Shell Expl. & Prod. Co.*,
 7 298 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2002)6
 8 *Sitrick v. Dreamworks, LLC*,
 No. CV 03-4265, 2006 WL 6116641 (C.D. Cal. July 20, 2006).....7
 9 *Sport Dimension, Inc. v. Coleman Co.*,
 10 802 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2016)3
 11 *Summit 6, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*,
 12 802 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2015)10
 13 *SynQor, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.*,
 14 709 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2013)6
 15 *TCL Commc’n Tech. Holdings, Ltd. v. Telefonaktiebolaget LM*
Ericsson,
 16 No. CV 15-2370 JVS(DFMX), 2017 WL 6611635 (C.D. Cal. Dec.
 17 21, 2017)11
 18 *Toshiba Corp. v. Imation Corp.*,
 19 No. 09-CV-305, 2013 WL 1248633 (W.D. Wis. Mar. 26, 2013)13
 20 *TV Interactive Data Corp. v. Sony Corp.*,
 21 929 F. Supp. 2d 1006 (N.D. Cal. 2014).....10
 22 *United States v. Chang*,
 23 207 F.3d 1169 (9th Cir. 2000)11
 24 *United States v. Durham*,
 464 F.3d 976 (9th Cir. 2006)7
 25 *Visteon Glob. Techs., Inc. v. Garmin Int’l*,
 26 903 F. Supp. 2d 521 (E.D. Mich. 2012)6
 27
 28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.