

Allison H. Goddard (211098)
ali@pattersonlawgroup.com
PATTERSON LAW GROUP
402 West Broadway, 29th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 398-4760
(619) 756-6991 (facsimile)

*Attorneys for Defendant,
Wi-LAN Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN DIEGO**

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WI-LAN INC.,

Defendant.

WI-LAN INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

No. 3:14-cv-2235-DMS-BLM (Lead Case); Consolidated with 3:14-cv-01507-DMS-BLM
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT WI-LAN INC.'S OMNIBUS MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF APPLE EXPERTS

**Department: 13A
Judge: Hon. Dana M. Sabraw
Magistrate: Hon. Barbara L. Major**

REDACTED FOR PUBLIC FILING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- I. MOTION TO EXCLUDE AND PRECLUDE WIMAX 1
 - A. Factual Background..... 3
 - 1. The Court has rejected Apple’s argument that the claims are Limited to fixed WiMAX devices three times 3
 - 2. Apple’s experts offered irrelevant WiMAX opinions 4
 - B. The Court Should Exclude Messrs. Lanning’s and Tocher’s WiMAX Opinions 5
 - 1. Messrs. Lanning’s and Tocher’s WiMAX opinions are irrelevant 5
 - 2. Mr. Tocher is not a qualified expert 7
 - 3. Mr. Tocher does not apply a reliable methodology 9
 - C. The Court Should Preclude “WiMAX” and “Fixed” Arguments at Trial 10
 - D. Conclusion..... 11
- II. MOTION TO EXCLUDE DR. WALKER’S TESTIMONY ON ETSI 11
- III. MOTION TO EXCLUDE ALL EVIDENCE AND TESTIMONY REGARDING NON-INFRINGEMENT ALTERNATIVES 13
 - A. Statement of Relevant Facts 13
 - 1. The Court directed Apple to identify its NIAs during fact discovery 13
 - 2. Apple failed to provide information in depositions 14

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Apple’s experts rely on lay witness opinions not disclosed during fact discovery.....15

B. Argument.....17

1. Apple’s experts improperly rely on speculative lay opinion17

2. The lay opinions are not predicated upon concrete facts.....18

3. Neither Mr. Kodali nor Mr. Sebeni were disclosed as experts.20

4. Apple’s analysis on NIAs was shielded from fact discovery ...22

C. Conclusion.....23

IV. MOTION TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN TESTIMONY FROM MR. GUNDERSON.....23

A. Argument.....23

1. Mr. Gunderson lacks qualifications on economics or surveys .23

2. Royalty stacking concerns lack foundation in evidence.....25

3. Patent counting arbitrarily assumes equal patent value26

4. Unhelpful licenses should not be admitted to the jury30

5. Gratuitous references to irrelevant, unfairly prejudicial events33

B. Conclusion.....35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page(s)

CASES

Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc. v. Medtronic, Inc.,
265 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2001)34

Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.,
314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)2, 6, 10

Apple, Inc. v. Samsung,
2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160337, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160337
(N.D. Cal. Nov. 7, 2013).....31, 32

*Avago Techs. General IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. v. AsusTek Computer
Inc.*,
No. 3:15-CV-04525-EMC, ECF 367, at 45 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 9,
2016)30

Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp.,
No. CV1003963JVSANX, 2011 WL 13130705 (C.D. Cal. Aug.
10, 2011)35

Catalina Lighting v. Lamps Plus,
295 F.3d 1277 (Fed. Cir. 2002)6

Ciampi v. City of Palo Alto,
790 F. Supp. 2d 1077 (N.D. Cal. 2011).....18

*Columbia Pictures Television, Inc. v. Krypton Broad. of Birmingham,
Inc.*,
259 F.3d 1186 (9th Cir. 2001)22, 23

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.,
509 U.S. 579 (1993).....6, 7, 9

Digital Reg of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.,
No. C 12-1971 CW, 2014 WL 4090550 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 2014).....33

Diviero v. Uniroyal Goodrich Tire Co.,
114 F.3d 851 (9th Cir. 1997)9

1 *Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Sys., Inc.*,
 773 F.3d 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2014)25, 27

2

3 *Exergen Corp. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*,
 575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009)1, 11

4

5 *FiTeq INC v. Venture Corp.*,
 No. 13-CV-01946-BLF, 2016 WL 693256 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 22,
 6 2016)18, 20

7 *Glaros v. H.H. Robertson Co.*,
 797 F.2d 1564 (Fed. Cir. 1986)34

8

9 *Glaxo Grp. Ltd. v. Torpharm, Inc.*,
 153 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 1998)10

10

11 *Golden Bridge Tech. v. Apple Inc.*,
 No. 5:12-cv-04882-PSG, 2014 WL 2194501 (N.D. Cal. May 18,
 12 2014)27

13 *Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*,
 355 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2004)28

14

15 *Hasbro, Inc. v. Sweetpea Entm't, Inc.*,
 No. CV133406DMGJCGX, 2014 WL 12561624 (C.D. Cal. Mar.
 16 18, 2014)22

17

18 *Hoot Winc, LLC v. RSM McGladrey Fin. Process Outsourcing, LLC*,
 No. 08CV1559 BTM, 2010 WL 3894966 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 29,
 19 2010)21, 22

20 *Hughes Aircraft Co. v. United States*,
 31 Fed. Cl. 481 (1994)31

21

22 *Hynix Semiconductor, Inc. v. Rambus, Inc.*,
 No. C-00-20905 RMW, 2008 WL 2951341 (N.D. Cal. July 24,
 23 2008)20

24

25 *In re Innovatio IP Ventures, LLC Patent Litig.*,
 No. 11 C 9308, 2013 WL 5593609 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 3, 2013).....27

26

27 *Informatica Corp. v. Bus. Objects Data Integration, Inc.*,
 No. C 02-03378 EDL, 2007 WL 878519 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2007)11

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.