Case 3:14-cv-02235-DMS-BLM Document 258-1 Filed 01/11/18 PageID.9352 Page 1 of 13



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	I. INTRODUCTION
3 4	II. STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS
5	III. ARGUMENT2
6	A. Allowing Amendment At This Late Stage Would Cause Wi-LAN Undue Prejudice 2
7	B. Apple's Amendments Were Not Necessitated by Claim Construction
8	IV. CONCLUSION8
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	II



### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 1 2 Page(s) **CASES** 3 E.digital Corp. v. FMJ Storage, Inc., 4 No. 15-cv-323-H-BGS, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 181279 (S.D. Cal. June 9, 2015)......5 5 E.digital Corp. v. Microsemi Corp., 6 No. 15-ev-319-H-BGS, 2015 WL 11237473 (S.D. Cal. July 27, 2015)......6 7 LG Elecs. Inc. v. Q-Lity Computer Inc., 8 9 Multimedia Patent Tr. v. Apple Inc., 10 Nano-Second Tech. Co., Ltd. v. Dynaflex Int'l, 11 CV 10-9176......4 12 Nike, Inc. v. Adidas Am. Inc., 13 14 O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc., 15 Oracle Am., Inc. v. Google Inc., 16 No. C 10-03561 WHA, 2011 WL 3443835 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2011)......5 17 Presidio Components, Inc. v. Am. Tech. Ceramics Corp., 18 19 Viasat, Inc. v. Space Sys./Loral, Inc., No. 3:12-CV-00260-H (WVG), 2013 WL 12061855 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 2013)......5 20 Yodlee, Inc. v. Cashedge, Inc., 21 No. C 05-01550 SI, 2007 WL 2261566 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2007)......5 22 Zest IP Holdings, LLC v. Implant Direct Mfg. LLC, No. 10cv0541-GPC-WVG, 2013 WL 5674834 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 16, 2013)...... passim 23 24 Zest IP Holdings, LLC v. Implant Direct Mfg. LLC, No. 10cv0541-GPC-WVG, 2014 WL 358430 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2014)......5 25 **OTHER AUTHORITIES** 26 27



## I. INTRODUCTION

Wi-LAN respectfully requests that the Court strike Apple's Amended Invalidity Contentions Pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3.3, 3.4, and 3.6, served January 2, 2018. Apple's amended contentions, served ten days prior to the close of fact discovery and barely a month before expert reports must be completed, would cause undue prejudice to Wi-LAN because they contain dozens of new alleged prior art references and numerous new claim charts. Further, these invalidity contentions do not meet Patent Local Rule 3.6's requirements for serving amended contentions because they were not served in response to amended infringement contentions, an unanticipated claim construction, or a motion granted by the Court.

## II. STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

On June 19, 2014, Apple filed this declaratory judgment action against Wi-LAN. On January 12, 2015, Wi-LAN served its original infringement contentions, followed by amended infringement contentions on May 15, 2017, and August 10, 2017. On June 29, 2017, Apple served its Invalidity Contentions on Wi-LAN. On November 13, 2017, the Court issued its claim construction order, in which Apple prevailed on one issue and in which the Court otherwise largely adopted the same constructions already adopted in prior litigation between Apple and Wi-LAN.

On January 2, 2018, barely a month before expert reports are due on February 8, 2018, Apple served its Amended Invalidity Contentions on Wi-LAN, adding indefiniteness charts and 29 new alleged prior art references not disclosed in Apple's original invalidity contentions.

Pursuant to the Court's May 15, 2017 Amended Case Management Order, expert disclosures were required to be served by November 10, 2017, expert reports are due by February 8, 2018, and expert discovery must be completed by

April 9, 2018. The deadline for dispositive motions in this matter is April 23, 2018, and trial is set for July 23, 2018.

Apple's amended invalidity contentions add a significant number of new alleged prior art references disclosed for the first time. These include seven new patent references (compare Ex. A 4–5 with Ex. B 4–6 (adding the Chuah '675 Application, the Tiedemann patent, and the five patents that follow the Tiedemann patent)) and twenty-two new non-patent references (compare Ex. A 5–7 with Ex. B 6–10 (adding the Karn reference on page 8 of Exhibit B and all 21 references thereafter)). Moreover, eight new claim charts are included. McNett Decl. ¶ 4, Exs. C–J. At least eleven other claim charts have been modified, most of them adding over ten pages of new material each and referencing newly cited alleged prior art. McNett Decl. ¶¶ 5–6, Ex. K. In total, Apple's amendments add 29 new references and eight new claim charts, and extensively modify at least eleven other charts.

## III. ARGUMENT

In the Southern District of California, amendments to a party's invalidity contentions are governed by Patent Local Rule 3.6(b). That rule provides: "As a matter of right, a party opposing a claim of patent infringement may serve "Amended Invalidity Contentions" no later than the completion of claim construction discovery." P.L.R. 3.6(b). "Thereafter, absent undue prejudice to the opposing party, a party opposing infringement may only amend its validity contentions" under three specific circumstances. *Id.* Apple's amended contentions should be stricken both because they are unduly prejudicial to Wi-LAN and because none of those three circumstances are met.

## A. Allowing Amendment at This Late Stage Would Cause Wi-LAN Undue Prejudice.

A party may only amend its invalidity contentions "absent undue prejudice to the opposing party." P.L.R. 3.6(b); Zest IP Holdings, LLC v. Implant Direct Mfg.

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

