```
THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
2
3
                     HONORABLE CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING
 4
5
 6
         WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC.;
         MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK, USA )
7
         INC.; MEDTRONIC PUERTO RICO ) NO. 12-CV-2738-CAB
         OPERATIONS CO.; AND OSTEOTECH, ) NO. 08-CV-1512-CAB
8
         INC.,
9
                       PLAINTIFFS, ) MARCH 29, 2016
         VS.
10
         NUVASIVE, INC.,
                                          TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE
                                        )
                                             (REDACTED VERSION)
11
                       DEFENDANT.
12
13
14
         FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: LUKE L. DAUCHOT
15
                                  KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP
                                  333 SOUTH HOPE STREET
                                  LOS ANGELES, CA 90071
16
17
18
         FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                                PAUL D. TRIPODI, II
19
                                  NATALIE J. MORGAN
                                  WENDY L. DEVINE
20
                                  WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
                                  PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
21
                                  633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 1550
                                  LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2027
22
23
24
         THE COURT REPORTER: GAYLE WAKEFIELD, RPR, CRR
25
```



MARCH 29, 2016 1 2 MORNING SESSION 3 THE CLERK: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE ARE ON THE RECORD 4 AT THIS TIME. CALLING MATTERS 1 AND 2 ON OUR CALENDAR; MATTER 5 NUMBER, 12-CV-2738-CAB-MDD, WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INCORPORATED, ET 6 AL VS. NUVASIVE, INCORPORATED, AND THEN MATTER NUMBER 2, 7 08-CV-1512-CAB-MDD, MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK USA, INCORPORATED, ET AL VS. NUVASIVE, INCORPORATED. 8 9 THESE MATTERS ARE BOTH ON CALENDAR FOR TELEPHONIC STATUS CONFERENCE, AND ALL PARTIES ARE APPEARING 10 TELEPHONICALLY. IF WE COULD HAVE COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF WARSAW 11 12 PLEASE STATE THEIR APPEARANCES. 13 MR. DAUCHOT: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, LUKE DAUCHOT, ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS. 14 15 THE CLERK: COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT NUVASIVE, PLEASE. MR. TRIPODI: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR, PAUL TRIPODI OF 16 WILSON SONSINI ON BEHALF OF NUVASIVE. ALSO ON THE LINE TODAY 17 18 IS REPRESENTATIVE JAMES GARRETT, AS WELL AS OTHER WILSON 19 LAWYERS INVOLVED IN THE CASE, NATALIE MORGAN AND WENDY DEVINE. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 20 21 I'M SORRY FOR THE DELAY. WE WERE HAVING SOME TECHNICAL 22 PROBLEMS HERE WITH OUR EQUIPMENT, BUT I THINK WE'RE ALL ONBOARD 23 NOW. 24 I NOTICED THIS STATUS CONFERENCE IN BOTH CASES. I WANTED TO ADDRESS THE STATUS FIRST IN THE '12 CASE AS TO THE 25



SCOPE OF POTENTIAL RESOLUTION AND WHAT IT WAS GOING TO MEAN, JUST FOR MY OWN SORT OF SCHEDULING AND KNOWLEDGE. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PATENTS IN THIS CASE THAT ARE STILL IN IPR IN ONE FORM OR ANOTHER, AND -- WELL, ACTUALLY, I GUESS THEY'RE OUT OF IPR. THEY'RE ALL ON APPEAL TO THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. TRIPODI, ARE YOU -- DO YOU WANT TO ADD ANYTHING TO THAT? MR. TRIPODI: I APPRECIATE THE CARE THAT MR. DAUCHOT HAS TAKEN IN CHARACTERIZING THIS. 



1 2 3 I THINK HIS CHARACTERIZATIONS ARE ACCURATE IN THAT RESPECT. 4 5 6 7 MR. TRIPODI: YES, YOUR HONOR. MR. DAUCHOT: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 8 9 THE COURT: OKAY. AS A MORE PRACTICAL MATTER, BECAUSE THERE'S NOTHING IN THAT CASE, WE'VE CONTINUED YOUR DATES IN THE 10 '12 CASE REGARDING THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND THEN THE 11 12 STATUS REPORT ON THE PATENTS THAT ARE IN -- THAT ARE SUBJECT TO 13 THE REVIEW FROM THE PATENT OFFICE. THE '08 CASE OBVIOUSLY THE BALL IS IN MY COURT ON THE 14 DAUBERT MOTIONS, AND I WAS PREPARED TO EITHER SET A HEARING 15 16 DATE OR ISSUE AN ORDER, AND I WAS SORT OF ON THE THROES OF WHETHER I WAS GOING TO ACTUALLY HAVE YOU COME IN OR JUST ISSUE 17 18 AN ORDER. ON THE DAUBERT MOTIONS, 19 AS WELL AS YOU MAY HAVE NOTICED THAT THE '973 PATENT IS IN FINAL REJECTION FROM THE 20 21 PATENT OFFICE, AND SO I'M NOT QUITE SURE, WHAT YOUR POSITIONS ARE REGARDING THE ACTUAL 22 23 VALIDITY AT THIS POINT OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '973 24 PATENT, AND WHETHER OR NOT ANY FURTHER SCHEDULING IN THE '08 CASE ON EXPERT ISSUES SHOULD BE SET ASIDE BECAUSE IT SEEMS THAT 25



AT THIS POINT I'M NOT QUITE SURE -- SINCE THE PATENT IS

EXPIRED, THE CLAIMS CAN'T BE AMENDED, BUT SOME CLAIMS, IF NOT

ALL CLAIMS, MIGHT BE REJECTED/AFFIRMED, WHATEVER, AT THE

FEDERAL CIRCUIT LEVEL WHICH MIGHT CHANGE THE ANALYSIS OF THE

EXPERTS, AND SO I'M JUST A LITTLE UNCERTAIN WHERE YOU WANT TO

GO ON THAT CASE AND WHETHER I SHOULD STAY THAT ONE AS WELL AT

THIS POINT.

MR. DAUCHOT: THIS IS LUKE DAUCHOT, YOUR HONOR. THE CASE IS IN A PECULIAR POSTURE.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. DAUCHOT: RIGHT. AND WHAT WE HAVE, IN EFFECT -
LET ME BEGIN WITH THE PTO STATUS. WHAT WE HAVE IS A FINAL

REJECTION THAT IS GOING TO BE MADE SUBJECT TO FURTHER DISCOURSE

WITH THE EXAMINER THROUGH A FILING THAT'S GOING TO BE SUBMITTED

I THINK IN MAY. AT THAT POINT, DEPENDING ON WHAT THE EXAMINER

DOES, WE'RE -- THE REEXAMINATION'S GOING TO CUT IN OUR FAVOR OR

THERE IS GOING TO BE AN APPEAL -- AN ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL TO

THE PTAB.

IF THE -- I MEAN, ACCORDING TO THE STATISTICS, THE PTAB WILL DECIDE THE ISSUE ANYWHERE FROM ONE TO TWO YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THE FILING OF THE APPEAL TO THE PTAB, WHICH WOULD TAKE PLACE I THINK IN JULY. AFTER THE PTAB RESOLVES THE ISSUE, SO THEN WE'RE TALKING, YOU KNOW, EITHER JULY OF 2018 OR JULY OF 2019, AND MAYBE SOMEWHERE -- LIKELY SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN, GIVEN THE STATISTICS, THERE'S BEEN AN APPEAL TO THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

