

1 Alfred R. Fabricant (pro hac vice)  
ffabricant@fabricantllp.com  
2 Peter Lambrianakos (pro hac vice)  
plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com  
3 Vincent J. Rubino, III (pro hac vice)  
vrubino@fabricantllp.com  
4 Enrique Iturralde (pro hac vice)  
eiturralde@fabricantllp.com

5 **FABRICANT LLP**

6 411 Theodore Fremd Ave., Suite 206 South  
Rye, New York 10580  
7 Telephone: (212) 257-5797  
Facsimile: (212) 257-5796

8 Benjamin T. Wang (CA SBN 228712)  
bwang@raklaw.com  
9 Minna Y. Chan (CA SBN 305941)  
mchan@raklaw.com

10 **RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**

11 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025  
Telephone: (310) 826-7474  
12 Facsimile: (310) 826-9226

13 *Attorneys for Defendants AGIS Holdings, Inc.,  
Advanced Ground Information Systems, Inc.,  
and AGIS Software Development LLC*

14  
15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

18 GOOGLE LLC

19 *Plaintiff,*

20 v.

21 AGIS HOLDINGS, INC., ADVANCED  
GROUND INFORMATION SYSTEMS,  
INC., AND AGIS SOFTWARE  
DEVELOPMENT LLC,

22 *Defendants.*

Case No. 5:23-cv-03624-BLF

**Hon. Judge Beth L. Freeman**

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO  
DISMISS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE,  
TO TRANSFER TO THE EASTERN  
DISTRICT OF TEXAS; MEMORANDUM  
OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Date: March 21, 2024

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Location: Courtroom 3

*[Declaration of Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr; and  
Proposed Order filed concurrently herewith]*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS .....	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES TO BE DECIDED .....	1
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES .....	2
I.    INTRODUCTION .....	2
II.   FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	3
A.    The Parties .....	3
B.    Prior Enforcement Actions .....	4
III.  LEGAL STANDARDS .....	5
A.    Dismissal for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction .....	5
B.    Inequitable Conduct.....	7
C. <i>Kessler</i> Doctrine and Claim Preclusion .....	8
D.    Unclean Hands .....	8
E.    Transfer.....	9
IV.   PERSONAL JURISDICTION DOES NOT EXIST OVER DEFENDANTS IN CALIFORNIA .....	9
A.    Specific Jurisdiction Does Not Exist Over Each Defendant in California .....	10
V.    COUNT III SHOULD BE DISMISSED BECAUSE IT FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED AS GOOGLE CANNOT RELY ON A PRIOR DISMISSAL OF ORIGINAL PRE-REEXAMINATION CLAIMS AS PRECLUSIVE OF FUTURE INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS CONCERNING POST-REEXAMINATION CLAIMS.....	16
VI.   COUNT IV SHOULD BE DISMISSED BECAUSE IT FAILS TO MEET THE HEIGHTENED PLEADING STANDARD FOR INEQUITABLE CONDUCT .....	17
VII.  COUNT V SHOULD BE DISMISSED BECAUSE GOOGLE HAS NOT SUFFICIENTLY PLED UNCONSONABLE CONDUCT BY AGIS OR ITS ATTORNEYS.....	19
VIII. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, THIS ACTION SHOULD BE TRANSFERRED TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS .....	21
A.    This Action Could Have Been Brought in the Eastern District of Texas .....	21

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

B. This Action Should Be Transferred to the Eastern District of Texas ..... 22

IX. CONCLUSION..... 25

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****Page(s)****Cases**

1		
2		
3	<b>Cases</b>	
4	<i>Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc. v. Life360, Inc.</i> ,	
5	830 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	1, 18, 19
6	<i>Aerielle, Inc. v. Monster Cable Prods., Inc.</i> ,	
7	No. 2:06-cv-382 (TJW), 2007 WL 951639 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 26, 2007).....	24
8	<i>AFTG-TG, LLC v. Nuvoton Tech. Corp.</i> ,	
9	689 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6
10	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> ,	
11	No. 2:17-cv-516 (E.D. Tex.).....	4
12	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC</i> ,	
13	Case No. 22-cv-04826-BLF (N.D. Cal.).....	23
14	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC</i> ,	
15	No. 2:19-cv-361 (E.D. Tex.).....	4
16	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC</i> ,	
17	No. 5:22-cv-04826-BLF (N.D. Cal.), Dkt. 437 .....	16, 17
18	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> ,	
19	No. 2:17-cv-514 (E.D. Tex.).....	4
20	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Huawei Device USA Inc.</i> ,	
21	No. 2:17-cv-513 (E.D. Tex.).....	4
22	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> ,	
23	No. 2:17-cv-515 (E.D. Tex.).....	4
24	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Lyft, Inc.</i> ,	
25	Case No. 2:21-cv-24 (E.D. Tex.).....	5, 12, 15
26	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.</i> ,	
27	No. 2:22-cv-263 (E.D. Tex.).....	2, 5, 22
28	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> ,	
	No. 2:21-cv-72 (E.D. Tex.).....	5
	<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Uber Technologies, Inc.</i> ,	
	No. 2:21-cv-26 (E.D. Tex.).....	5

1 *AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Waze Mobile Limited,*  
 2 No. 2:19-cv-359 (E.D. Tex.).....4

3 *AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. WhatsApp, Inc.,*  
 4 No. 2:21-cv-29 (E.D. Tex.).....5

5 *AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. ZTE Corp. et al.,*  
 6 Case No. 2:17-cv-517 (E.D. Tex.) .....4, 15

7 *Allphin v. Peter K. Fitness, LLC,*  
 8 2014 WL 6997653 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 11, 2014).....15

9 *Aloft Media, LLC v. Adobe Sys. Inc.,*  
 10 No. 6:07-cv-355, 2008 WL 819956 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2008).....24

11 *Amba Mktg. Sys., Inc. v. Jobar Int’l, Inc.,*  
 12 551 F.2d 784 (9th Cir. 1977) .....6

13 *Ameranth, Inc. v. Menusoft Sys. Corp.,*  
 14 No. 2:07-CV-271-TJW-CE, 2010 WL 11530949 (E.D. Tex. June 18, 2010).....7

15 *Aptix Corp. v. Quickturn Design Sys., Inc.,*  
 16 269 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....21

17 *Ashcroft v. Iqbal,*  
 18 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....20

19 *Autogenomics, Inc. v. Oxford Gene Tech. Ltd.,*  
 20 566 F.3d 1012 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....6, 10, 12

21 *Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int’l Co.,*  
 22 552 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....5, 6, 11, 13

23 *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly,*  
 24 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....20

25 *Breckenridge Pharm., Inc. v. Metabolite Labs., Inc.,*  
 26 444 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....10

27 *Brian Life, LLC v. Elekta Inc.,*  
 28 746 F.3d 1045 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....8

*Celgard, LLC v. SK Innovation Co.,*  
 792 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....14, 16

*Certainteed Gypsum, Inc. v. Pacific Coast Bldg. Prod., Inc.,*  
 No. 19-CV-00802-LHK, 2021 WL 1405477 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 14, 2021) .....18

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.