## EXHIBIT C

## 

UNITED STA	TES DIS	STRICT	COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### SAN JOSE DIVISION

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,	Case No. 18-CV-06217-LHK	
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING CONSOLIDATED MOTIONS TO DISMISS	
APPLE INC,		
Defendant.		
VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,	Case No. 18-CV-06177-LHK	
Plaintiff,		
v.		
AT&T CORP,		
Defendant.		
VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,	Case No. 18-CV-04523-LHK	
Plaintiff,		
v.		
TWITTER INC.,		
Defendant.		



VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.
Plaintiff,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

VERIZON WIRELESS SERVICES, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 18-CV-06054-LHK

Plaintiff Voip-Pal.Com, Inc. filed 4 related patent infringement suits against Defendants Apple Inc. ("Apple"), AT&T Corp. ("AT&T"), Twitter Inc. ("Twitter"), and Cellco Partnership d/b/a/ Verizon Wireless Services, LLC ("Verizon") (collectively, "Defendants"). Plaintiff alleges that Apple, AT&T, and Verizon (but not Twitter) infringe various claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,542,815 ("the '815 Patent") to Perreault et al. Plaintiff also alleges that all Defendants infringe various claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,005 ("the '005 Patent") to Perreault et al. In all 4 related cases, each Defendant filed an omnibus motion to dismiss, thus resulting in 4 omnibus motions to dismiss. However, the briefing on the omnibus motions to dismiss, Plaintiff's oppositions, and Defendants' replies is identical in all 4 cases. Thus, for ease of reference and unless otherwise specified, the Court refers to documents filed in the Twitter litigation, Case No. 18-CV-04523-LHK.

Before the Court is Defendants' consolidated motions to dismiss, which contend that the asserted claims of the patents-in-suit fail to recite patent-eligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. ECF No. 71 ("Mot."). Having considered the submissions of the parties, the relevant law, and the record in this case, the Court GRANTS Defendants' consolidated motions to dismiss the asserted claims of the '815 Patent and the '005 Patent.

#### T. **BACKGROUND**

- A. Factual Background
- 1. The Parties

Plaintiff is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Bellevue, Washington. ECF No. 65 at ¶ 5. Plaintiff "owns a portfolio of [Voice over Internet Protocol]



patents and patent applications." *Id.* at  $\P$  1.

Defendant Twitter is a California corporation with its principal place of business in San Francisco, California. *Id.* at ¶ 6. Twitter uses and sells "messaging services using messaging application software and/or equipment, servers and/or gateways that route messages to computing devices such as smartphones, tablet computers, and personal computers." *Id.* at ¶ 23.

Defendant Apple is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Cupertino, California. Case No. 18-CV-06217-LHK, ECF No. 11 at ¶ 7. Apple "provides, supports and/or operates messaging technology, including iMessage, an instant messaging service supported by Apple's Messages application and computer infrastructure that allows smartphone and desktop users to send messages including text, images, video and audio to other users." *Id.* at ¶ 15.

Defendant AT&T is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Bedminster, New Jersey. Case No. 18-CV-06177-LHK, ECF No. 59 at ¶ 2. AT&T "supports and operates a messaging platform . . . [that] allows smartphone users to send messages including text, images, video and audio to others." *Id.* at ¶ 40. AT&T also offers Voice over Internet Protocol products and services "utilizing equipment at the customer or business premises and a collection of servers and gateways." *Id.* at ¶ 41. Moreover, AT&T "supports a Wi-Fi based calling platform . . . [that] allows a mobile device to initiate a communication such as a call or text message between a caller, or a first participant, and a callee, or a second participant, using an AT&T assisted voice over IP ("VoIP") system." *Id.* at ¶ 42.

Defendant Verizon is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Basking Ridge, New Jersey. Case No. 18-CV-06054-LHK, ECF No. 119 at ¶ 2. Verizon "supports and operates a messaging platform . . . [that] allows smartphone users to send messages including text, images, video and audio to others." *Id.* at ¶ 40. Verizon also offers Voice over Internet Protocol products and services "utilizing equipment at the customer or business premises and a collection of servers and gateways." *Id.* at ¶ 41. Moreover, Verizon "supports a Wi-Fi based calling platform . . . [that] allows a mobile device to initiate a communication such as a call or a



2

1

3

5

67

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

20 27

DOCKET A L A R M

text message between a caller, or a first participant, and a callee, or a second participant, using a [Verizon] assisted voice over IP ("VoIP") system." *Id.* at ¶ 42.

#### 2. The Patents

The '815 Patent and the '005 Patent (collectively, the "Patents") are both titled "Producing Routing Messages for Voice over IP Communications." '815 Patent at front page; '005 Patent at front page. The '815 Patent was filed on November 1, 2007 and was issued on September 24, 2013. The '005 Patent was filed on August 13, 2013 and was issued on November 3, 2015. The '815 Patent and the '005 Patent share the same specification.

Defendants posit that the asserted claims of the Patents fall within two categories: "multi-network claims" and "single-network claims." Mot. at 2. Defendants argue that asserted claims 1, 7, 12, 27, 28, 72, 73, 92, and 111 of the '815 Patent and claims 49 and 73 of the '005 Patent are multi-network claims. *Id.* at 2, 2 n.2. Moreover, Defendants argue that asserted claims 74, 75, 77, 78, 83, 84, 94, 96, and 99 of the '005 Patent are single-network claims. *Id.* at 2, 2 n.3. The differences between the multi-network claims and the single-network claims will be explained below, but for present purposes, the Court finds Defendants' differentiation of the claims into 2 groups useful, and adopts Defendants' groupings.

In addition, Defendants identify claim 1 of the '815 Patent as representative of the multinetwork claims, an identification that Plaintiff does not dispute. Defendants identify claim 74 of the '005 Patent as representative of the single-network claims, an identification that Plaintiff also does not dispute. Thus, the Court will adopt the parties' identification of representative claims. Claim 1 of the '815 Patent shall be representative of the multi-network claims, and claim 74 of the '005 Patent shall be representative of the single-network claims.

In general, the asserted claims of the Patents relate to the process of routing calls (either voice or video) between a caller and a callee, in which calls are classified as either public network calls or private network calls.<sup>1</sup> '815 Patent at 1:50-54. More specifically, the process of routing the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Patents refer to "callee" to mean the recipient of a call. The Court adopts the Patents' term of art and will use "callee" to refer to a call recipient.

# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

