

## EXHIBIT 17

Lewis E. Hudnell, III (CASBN 218736)  
Nicolas S. Gikkas (CASBN 189452)  
HUDNELL LAW GROUP P.C.  
800 W. El Camino Real Suite 180  
Mountain View, California 94040  
Telephone: 650.564.3698  
Facsimile: 347.772.3034  
[lewis@hudnelllaw.com](mailto:lewis@hudnelllaw.com)  
[nick@hudnelllaw.com](mailto:nick@hudnelllaw.com)

Attorneys for Defendant VoIP-Pal.com, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN JOSE DIVISION**

TWITTER, INC., a Delaware corporation,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
VOIP-PAL.COM, INC., a Nevada  
corporation,  
Defendant.

Case No. 5:21-cv-02769-LHK

**ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR  
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1 Defendant VoIP-Pal.com, Inc. (“VoIP-Pal”) submit this Answer to the allegations in the  
2 numbered paragraphs in Plaintiff Twitter, Inc.’s (“Twitter’s”) Complaint for Declaratory Judgment,  
3 Dkt. No. 1. Unless expressly admitted, all of the averments made by Twitter in its Complaint should  
4 be deemed denied by VoIP-Pal.

## 5 6 I. INTRODUCTION

7 1. VoIP-Pal admits that this action concerns U.S. Patent No. 9,935,872 (the “’872 patent” or the  
8 “patent-in-suit”), entitled “Producing Routing Messages For Voice Over IP Communications.” VoIP-  
9 Pal admits that Twitter seeks a declaration that it does not infringe the patent-in-suit. VoIP-Pal denies  
10 any and all remaining allegations and/or legal conclusions contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.

11 2. VoIP-Pal admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.

12 3. VoIP-Pal admits that from April 2-7, 2020, VoIP-Pal filed lawsuits in the Western District of  
13 Texas asserting claims of infringement of the ’606 patent against Facebook, WhatsApp, Google,  
14 Amazon, and Apple. VoIP-Pal admits that the ’606 patent is a continuation of the ’872 patent and is a  
15 member of the same family of patents asserted in the 2016 and 2018 cases, and shares a common  
16 specification with the six patents asserted in the 2016 and 2018 cases and the ’872 patent. VoIP-Pal  
17 denies any and all remaining allegations and/or legal conclusions contained in Paragraph 3 of the  
18 Complaint.  
19  
20

21 4. VoIP-Pal admits that it issued a press release on April 8, 2020. VoIP-Pal also admits that the  
22 Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed this Court’s judgment that two of VoIP-Pal’s  
23 previously asserted patents were invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101. VoIP-Pal denies the remaining  
24 allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.  
25

26 5. VoIP-Pal admits that Twitter filed an action for declaratory judgment of noninfringement of  
27 the ’606 patent against VoIP-Pal in this Court (Case No. 20-cv-02397). VoIP-Pal admits that soon  
28

thereafter, Apple, AT&T, and Verizon filed similar declaratory judgment actions against VoIP-Pal based on the '606 patent. VoIP-Pal admits that on April 14, 2020, Apple filed a first amended complaint that added claims for declaratory judgment of noninfringement and invalidity for the '872 patent. VoIP-Pal denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.

6. VoIP-Pal admits that in June 2020, counsel for Twitter asked counsel for VoIP-Pal whether VoIP-Pal would be willing to grant Twitter a covenant not to sue for the '606 patent, but VoIP-Pal declined to discuss a covenant not to sue at that time. VoIP-Pal admits that on June 26, 2020, Twitter filed a first amended complaint that added a claim for a declaratory judgment of invalidity of the '606 patent.

7. VoIP-Pal admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8. VoIP-Pal admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

9. VoIP-Pal admits that on March 24, 2021, VoIP-Pal filed motion to dismiss the declaratory judgment actions filed by Twitter, Apple, AT&T, and Verizon—this time based on a covenant not to sue for infringement of the '606 patent. E.g., Twitter, Case No. 20-cv-02397, ECF No. 62 (Mar. 21, 2021). VoIP-Pal admits that it asked Twitter to stipulate to dismissal of Twitter's declaratory judgment action involving the '606 patent. VoIP-Pal denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

10. VoIP-Pal denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.

11. VoIP-Pal admits that the Federal Circuit affirmed this Court's judgment of invalidity of two patents VoIP-Pal has previously asserted against Twitter. VoIP-Pal admits that Apple filed a claim for declaratory judgment of noninfringement and invalidity for the '872 patent, and that this Court found that subject matter jurisdiction exists for that claim. VoIP-Pal denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

1 12. VoIP-Pal is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 12,  
2 and therefore denies them.

3 13. The allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint are legal conclusions and argument, and no  
4 response is required. To the extent Paragraph 13 requires a response, VoIP-Pal denies all allegations  
5 in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.  
6

## 7 II. PARTIES

8 14. VoIP-Pal admits that Twitter purports to be a company incorporated under the laws of  
9 Delaware, with headquarters at 1355 Market Street, Suite 900, San Francisco, California.

10 15. VoIP-Pal is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 15,  
11 and therefore denies them.  
12

13 16. VoIP-Pal admits that it is a company incorporated and registered under the laws of Nevada  
14 with its principal place of business at 7215 Bosque Blvd, Suite 102, Waco, Texas 76710.

15 17. VoIP-Pal admits that it owns the '872 patent.  
16

## 17 III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

18 18. VoIP-Pal admits that this action purports to seek declaratory relief under the patent laws of  
19 the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq.*

20 19. VoIP-Pal admits that Twitter purports to seek declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and  
21 2202.

22 20. Paragraph 20 of the Complaint contains conclusions of law, rather than averments of fact, to  
23 which no answer is required. Insofar as an answer may be required, VoIP-Pal admits that Twitter  
24 purports to base jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1338, 2201, and 2202.  
25 VoIP-Pal denies any and all remaining allegations and/or legal conclusions contained in Paragraph 20  
26 of the Complaint.  
27  
28

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.