

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Twitter, Inc.

CASE No C 3:21-cv-9773-JD

Plaintiff(s)

v.

ADR CERTIFICATION BY  
PARTIES AND COUNSEL

VoIP-Pal.com, Inc.

Defendant(s)

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:

- 1) Read the handbook entitled “Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures Handbook” (available at [cand.uscourts.gov/adr](http://cand.uscourts.gov/adr)).
- 2) Discussed with each other the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and
- 3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Date:3/1/2022

/s/Emil Malak

Date:3/1/2022

Party  
/s/Lewis E. Hudnell, III

Attorney

Counsel further certifies that he or she has discussed the selection of an ADR process with counsel for the other parties to the case. Based on that discussion, the parties:

- intend to stipulate to an ADR process
- prefer to discuss ADR selection with the Assigned Judge at the case management conference

Date: 3/1/2022

/s/Lewis E. Hudnell, III

Attorney

*Important!* E-file this form in ECF using event name: “ADR Certification (ADR LR 3-5 b) of Discussion of ADR Options.”

**ATTESTATION**

Under Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory indicated by a ‘conformed’ signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

*/s/ Lewis E. Hudnell, III*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lewis E. Hudnell, III