

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN JOSE DIVISION
4

<p>5 TWITTER, INC., 6 Plaintiff, 7 v. 8 VOIP-PAL.COM, INC., 9 Defendant.</p>	<p>Case No. 5:21-cv-2769-LHK</p> <p>[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING SUA SPONTE JUDICIAL REFERRAL TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED (Civil L.R. 3-12 and 7-11)</p>
<p>11 TWITTER, INC., 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 VOIP-PAL.COM, INC., 15 Defendant.</p>	<p>Case No. 5:21-cv-9773-EJD</p>

16
17
18 This Court, having reviewed VoIP-Pal’s Opposition to the Sua Sponte Judicial Referral To
19 Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related and Defendant’s Opposition, DENIES the referral.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: _____

23 _____
24 Honorable Lucy H. Koh
25 United States District Judge
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING SUA SPONTE JUDICIAL REFERRAL TO CONSIDER