

# Exhibit 12

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	<b><u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u></b>
v.	§	
	§	
T-MOBILE USA, INC. and T-MOBILE US,	§	
INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

---

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 2:21-cv-00024-JRG
	§	(CONSOLIDATED CASE)
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	<b><u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u></b>
v.	§	
	§	
LYFT, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

**PLAINTIFF’S DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS  
AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS**

Plaintiff AGIS Software Development LLC (“AGIS”) hereby makes the following infringement disclosures under the Patent Local Rules with respect to United States Patent Nos. 7,031,728; 7,630,724; 8,213,970; 10,299,100, and 10,341,838 (collectively, “Patents-in-Suit”). AGIS’s investigation is ongoing and discovery has not yet commenced. Accordingly, these disclosures are based on information available to AGIS at this time. AGIS reserves the right to supplement this disclosure after further discovery from defendant and non-parties, particularly documents and other discovery regarding the Lyft Accused Products set forth below. AGIS also reserves the right to assert additional claims of the Patents-in-Suit, accuse different

products, or find alternative literal and/or equivalent infringing elements in the Lyft Accused Products.

**I. DISCLOSURE OF ASSERTED CLAIMS AND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS PURSUANT TO PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-1**

**A. ASSERTED CLAIMS**

Defendant Lyft, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Lyft”) has infringed and continues to infringe at least the following claims of the Patents-in-Suit in connection with the Lyft Accused Products set forth below:

- Claim 7 of the ’728 Patent;
- Claims 9, 12-16 of the ’724 Patent;
- Claims 2, 10-13 of the ’970 Patent;
- Claims 1-31 of the ’100 Patent; and
- Claims 1-26 of the ’838 Patent.

AGIS reserves the right to seek leave of court to add, delete, substitute, or otherwise amend this list of asserted claims should further discovery, the Court’s claim construction, or other circumstances so merit.

**B. ACCUSED INSTRUMENTALITIES**

AGIS is currently aware that the following Lyft Products infringe each of the Patents-in-Suit, either alone or in concert with one or more other Lyft Accused Products:

- Lyft applications, services, and servers; and
- Lyft Driver applications, services, and servers.

AGIS reserves the right to amend this list of accused instrumentalities, as well as other information contained in this document and the exhibits hereto, to incorporate new information

learned during the course of discovery including, but not limited to, the inclusion of newly-released products or any other equivalent devices ascertained through discovery.

### **C. CLAIM CHARTS**

Claim charts identifying a location of every element of every asserted claim of the Patents-in-Suit within Lyft Accused Products are attached hereto as Exhibits A-E. AGIS believes that the citations in the claim charts are representative of all Lyft Accused Products. For example, where AGIS cites reference material or images representing an application, service, or server that citation is representative for all other such applications, services, or servers including all prior and future versions unless otherwise noted. AGIS reserves the right to amend these claim charts as well as other information contained in this document and the exhibits hereto, to incorporate new information learned during the course of discovery including, but not limited to, information that is not publicly available or readily discernible without discovery. AGIS further reserves the right to amend these claim charts, as well as other information contained in this document and the exhibits attached hereto, pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-1(g) and 3-6.

### **D. LITERAL INFRINGEMENT AND DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS**

AGIS asserts that, under the proper construction of the asserted claims and their claim terms, the limitations of the asserted claims of the Patents-in-Suit are literally present in the Lyft Accused Products as set forth in the claim charts attached hereto as Exhibits A-E. AGIS contends that any and all elements found not to be literally infringed are infringed under the doctrine of equivalents because the differences between the claimed inventions and the accused instrumentalities, if any, are insubstantial.

AGIS contends that Lyft directly infringes the asserted claims by making, using, offering for sale, selling, and importing into the United States the accused instrumentalities as well as indirectly infringe by contributing to and/or inducing others (*e.g.*, Lyft customers or its Lyft

customers' customers) to directly infringe those claims by making, using, offering for sale, or selling the Lyft Accused Products. AGIS contends that Lyft directly infringes the asserted claims by testing the Lyft Accused Products in the United States.

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 3-6(a)(1), AGIS reserves the right to amend its Infringement Contentions as to literal infringement or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents, *e.g.*, in light of the Court's claim construction.

#### **E. PRIORITY DATES**

Under P.R. 3-1(e), each of the asserted claims of the Patents-in-Suit are entitled to a priority date of at least as early as September 21, 2004.<sup>1</sup> AGIS reserves the right to establish an earlier date of invention based upon actions related to conception and reduction to practice of the claimed inventions.

#### **F. AGIS'S OWN PRODUCTS**

Pursuant to P.R. 3-1(f), AGIS contends that AGIS, Inc.'s LifeRing products are covered by at least one of claim 7 of the '728 Patent; claims 9, 12-16 of the '724 Patent; claims 2, 10-13 of the '970 Patent; claims 1-31 of the '100 Patent; and claims 1-26 of the '838 Patent. AGIS's investigation is ongoing and AGIS reserves the right to supplement, amend, or amend these contentions in view of facts learned during discovery, the release of new products, or the modification of current products, and/or the Court's claim construction.

## **II. PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO PATENT LOCAL RULE 3-2**

---

<sup>1</sup> AGIS continues to rely on interim priority dates identified in each of the Patents-in-Suit to establish priority prior to the actual filing date of the Patents-in-Suit (*e.g.*, interim priority date April 17, 2006 which corresponds to the filing date of U.S. Patent No. 7,630,724).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.