1 2	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. Jeremy J. Taylor (SBN 249075) jeremy.taylor@bakerbotts.com Arya Moshiri (SBN 324231)	
3	arya.moshiri@bakerbotts.com 101 California St., Ste. 3600	
4	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.291.6200	
5	Facsimile: 415.291.6300	
6	Bethany R. Salpietra (pro hac vice pending)	
7	bethany.salpietra@bakerbotts.com 2001 Ross Ave., Ste. 900	
8	Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: 214.953.6500	
9	Facsimile: 214.953.6503	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	NORTHERN DISTRI	CI OF CALIFORNIA
	LYFT, INC.	Case No. 21-cv-4653
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	v.	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
15	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
16	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION	REDACTED VERSION
17	SYSTEMS, INC., AGIS HOLDINGS, INC., MALCOM K. BEYER, JR.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Plaintiff Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft") hereby pleads the following claims for Declaratory Judgment	
21		
22	against Defendants AGIS Software Development LLC ("AGIS Software"), Advanced Ground	
23	Information Systems, Inc. ("AGIS, Inc."), AGIS Holdings, Inc. ("AGIS Holdings"), and Malcolm	
24	K. Beyer, Jr. ("Beyer") (collectively "AGIS") and alleges as follows:	
25		
26	THE PARTIES	
27	1. Lyft is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 185	
<b>5</b>	Berry Street, Suite 5000, San Francisco, California 94107.	



- 2. On information and belief, AGIS Software is a Texas limited liability company, having its principal place of business at 100 W. Houston Street, Marshall, Texas 75670, and is a wholly owned subsidiary of AGIS Holdings.
- 3. AGIS Software alleges that it is the owner of all right, title, and interest to United States Patent Nos. 7,031,728 ("'728 patent"), 7,630,724 ("'724 patent"), 8,213,970 ("'970 patent"), 10,299,100 ("'100 patent"), and 10,341,838 ("'838 patent") (collectively, "Patents-in-Suit").
- 4. Lyft disputes whether AGIS Software holds proper title to at least the '724, '100, and '838 Patents due to named inventor Christopher Rice's employment with Microsoft Corporation at the time the '724, '100, and '838 Patents, or their parent applications, were filed.
- 5. In June 2017, AGIS, Inc. assigned the Patents-in-Suit to AGIS Holdings, and on the same day, AGIS Holdings assigned the Patents-in-Suit to AGIS Software.
- 6. On information and belief, AGIS Holdings is organized under the laws of the State of Florida and maintains its principal place of business at 92 Lighthouse Drive, Jupiter, FL 33469.
  - 7. AGIS Holdings is the sole member of AGIS Software.
- 8. On information and belief, AGIS, Inc. is organized under the laws of the State of Florida and maintains its principal place of business at 92 Lighthouse Drive, Jupiter, FL 33469.
  - 9. AGIS, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of AGIS Holdings.
  - 10. Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr. is the named inventor of the Patents-in-Suit
- 11. Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr. is the CEO of AGIS Software, AGIS Holdings, and AGIS, Inc.
  - 12. Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr. resides at 92 Lighthouse Drive, Jupiter, FL 33469.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

13. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Lyft's declaratory judgment claims relating to patent non-infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202, 1331, and 1338(a).

## A. AGIS Software accused Lyft of infringing the Patents-in-Suit

14. On January 29, 2021, AGIS Software sued Lyft for alleged past and current infringement of the Patents-in-Suit in the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Texas by manufacturing, using, distributing, selling, offering for sale, and/or exporting from and



8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

importing into the United States the "the Lyft and Lyft Driver applications and the related services and/or servers for the applications." See AGIS Software Development LLC v. Lyft, Inc., Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00024-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 1 at ¶ 23.

- 15. On April 27, 2021 Lyft moved to dismiss the Eastern District of Texas litigation for improper venue. See AGIS Software Development LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 30.
- 16. On November 10, 2021, Magistrate Judge Payne issued a Report and Recommendation that Lyft's motion to dismiss be granted. See AGIS Software Development LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 212.
- 17. On January 19, 2022, the Court adopted the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation and directed the clerk of the Court to close the case. See AGIS Software Development LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00072-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Dkt. 334.

### B. Lyft seeks a declaratory judgment that it does not infringe the Patents-in-Suit

- 18. Lyft denies that the Patents-in-Suit have been or currently are infringed through the making, using, distributing, sale, offering for sale, exportation, or importation of the Lyft or Lyft Driver applications and any related services and/or servers for the applications.
- 19. AGIS Software's infringement allegations and related actions threaten actual and imminent injury to Lyft that can be redressed by judicial relief and warrants the issue of a declaratory judgment, under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 et seq.
- 20. An actual and justiciable controversy with respect to the Patents-in-Suit exists between Lyft and AGIS Software and between Lyft and AGIS, Inc., AGIS Holdings, and/or Malcolm K. Beyer, Jr. under an alter ego theory.

## C. AGIS Software is subject to the specific jurisdiction of this Court

21. AGIS Software, AGIS, Inc., AGIS Holdings, and/or Malcom K. Beyer, Jr. are subject to this Court's specific jurisdiction, pursuant to due process and/or the California Long Arm Statute due to: (1) AGIS Software, AGIS, Inc., AGIS Holdings, and/or Malcom K. Beyer, Jr. purposefully directing activities at residents of this forum, and (2) the claims arising out of or relating to these



activities of AGIS Software, AGIS, Inc., AGIS Holdings, and/or Malcom K. Beyer, Jr. Further, the assertions of personal jurisdiction are reasonable and fair.

- i. AGIS Software purposefully directed its patent licensing activities to California companies subjecting it to specific jurisdiction under *Trimble*
- 22. AGIS Software is a patent licensing company that licenses its patent portfolio, including the Patents-in-Suit.
  - 23. AGIS Software has no employees.
  - 24. AGIS Software develops software related to the Patents-in-Suit.
- 25. Software developed by AGIS Software is both used inside and outside the United States.
- 26. On information and belief, software developed by AGIS Software related to the Patents-in-Suit is used within California. Lyft attempted to confirm this information from AGIS Software via an interrogatory (i.e., Jurisdictional Interrogatory No. 1), but AGIS Software has refused to provide it. Lyft also sought to confirm this information at the deposition of AGIS Software, however, its designated witness, Thomas Meriam, was unable to confirm it.
- 27. AGIS Software's principal source of revenue is from patent licenses with California companies and other companies operating in the State of California.
- 28. AGIS Software or its predecessor-in-interest has taken purposeful steps to enforce the Patents-in-Suit and/or obtain licenses to the Patents-in-Suit and/or related patents with companies having principal places of business and operations in this judicial district, including Lyft, Google LLC ("Google"), Apple Inc. ("Apple"), WhatsApp LLC ("WhatsApp"), Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook"), Uber Technologies, Inc. d/b/a UBER ("Uber"), Life360, Inc. ("Life360"), and with companies or their affiliates having operations and offices in the State of California, including ZTE (USA) Inc. ("ZTE"), Waze LLC ("Waze"), HTC Corporation ("HTC"), T-Mobile US, Inc. ("T-Mobile"), Huawei Device USA Inc. ("Huawei"), LG Electronics, Inc. ("LG"), and Samsung Electronics America, Inc ("Samsung").
- 29. On information and belief, AGIS Software or its predecessor-in-interest has taken purposeful steps to enforce the Patents-in-Suit and/or obtain licenses to the Patents-in-Suit and/or



related patents with Smith Micro Software ("Smith Micro"), a company having operations and offices in the State of California. Lyft attempted to confirm this information from AGIS Software via an interrogatory (i.e., Jurisdictional Interrogatory No. 1), but AGIS Software has refused to provide it. Lyft also sought to confirm this information at the deposition of AGIS Software, however, its designated witness, Thomas Meriam, was unable to confirm it. On information and belief, this information could have also been confirmed had AGIS Software complied with its obligations under Patent L.R. 3-2 to produce "all agreements, including licenses, transferring an interest in any patent-in-suit." But AGIS Software has not produced all such agreements despite a specific request by Lyft that AGIS Software do so.

- 30. AGIS Software or its predecessor-in-interest alleged infringement of the Patents-in-Suit and/or related patents through communications directed at companies with principal places of business in this judicial district, including Google, Facebook, and Life360.
- 31. AGIS Software or its predecessor-in-interest enforced the Patents-in-Suit and/or related patents against companies with principal places of business in this judicial district, including Lyft, Google, Apple, WhatsApp, Uber, Life360, and against companies or their affiliates having operations and offices in the State of California, including ZTE, Waze, HTC, T-Mobile, Huawei, LG, and Samsung.

32.

33. On information and belief, AGIS Software negotiated a license agreement involving the Patents-in-Suit and/or related patents through communications with Smith Micro, a company having operations and offices in the State of California. Lyft attempted to confirm this information from AGIS Software via an interrogatory (i.e., Jurisdictional Interrogatory No. 1), but AGIS Software has refused to provide it. Lyft also sought to confirm this information at the deposition of AGIS Software, however, its designated witness, Thomas Meriam, was unable to confirm it. On

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

