1	Thomas M. Robins III (State Bar No. 054423) trobins@frandzel.com			
2	Michael G. Fletcher (State Bar No. 070849) mfletcher@frandzel.com			
3	Bruce D. Poltrock (State Bar No. 162448) bpoltrock@frandzel.com			
4	FRANDZEL ROBINS BLOOM & CSATO, L.C. 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Nineteenth Floor			
5	Los Angeles, California 90017-2427			
6	Telephone: (323) 852-1000 Facsimile: (323) 651-2577			
7	Attorneys for Third Parties			
8	BRILLIANT DIGITAL ENTERTAINMENT, INC., MONTO HOLDINGS PTY. LTD.	;		
9	LIMITED STATES D	ISTRICT COLIRT		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN JOSE D	IVISION		
13	IN RE: PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION,	Case No.: 5:18-m		
14		Case No.: 5:18-cv		
15	AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.,	Case No.: 5:18-cv		
16	Plaintiffs	SUPPLEMENTA		
17	V. DEDGONALWED TECHNIQUOCIES, LLC 1	PARTIES, BRILI ENTERTAINME		
18	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	HOLDINGS PTY TO AMAZON'S		
19	Defendants.	PRODUCTION (DOCUMENTS		
20	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, and			
21	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,			
22	Plaintiffs, v.			
23	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.,			
	Defendant.			
24				
25				

Case No.: 5:18-md-02834-BLF

Case No.: 5:18-cv-00767-BLF

Case No.: 5:18-cv-05619-BLF

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF THIRD ERTAINMENT, INC. AND MONTO HOLDINGS PTY LTD IN OPPOSITION TO AMAZON'S MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED **DOCUMENTS [Dkt. 860, 862, 864]** 



26

27

28

# 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Nineteenth Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2427 (323) 852-1000

		<u>Page</u>
A.	Introduction	5
B.	No Dual Representation	5
C.	Common Interest Doctrine	6
D.	Analogous Situations to Secured Lenders/PW Situation	7
E.	No Waiver	9
F.	The Work Product Protection for the Communications Was Not Waived	11
G.	No Crime Fraud Exception	12



# LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-2427 (323) 852-1000

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	
2	Page(s)	
3	Federal Cases	
4	Callwave Communications, LLC v. Wavemarket, Inc.,	
5	2015 WL 831539 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 13, 2015)	
6 7	Eureka Ins. Corp. v. Chicago Title Ins. Co., 743 F.2d 932 (D.C. Cir. 1984)	
8	In re Grand Jury Investigation, 810 F.3d 1110 (9th Cir. 2016)	
9	Holmes v. Collection Bureau of America, LTD.,	
10	2010 WL 143484 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 8, 2010)	
11	In re Mortgage Realty Trust,	
12	212 B.R. 649 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1997)	
13	In re Napster, Inc. Copyright Litigation, 479 F.3d 1078 (9th Cir. 2007) (abrogated on other grounds, Mohawk Industries,	
14	Inc. v. Carpenter, 558 U.S. 100 (2009))	
15	In re Pacific Pictures Corp., 679 F.3d 1121 (2012)7	
16 17	Regents of University of California v. Affymetrix, Inc., 326 F.R.D 275 (S.D. Cal. 2018)7	
18	Rodriguez v. Seabridge Jetlaw, LLC,	
19	F.Supp.3d, 2022 WL 3327925 (N.D. Cal. August 11, 2022)	
20	Schaeffler v. U.S., 806 F.3d 34 (2d Cir. 2015)8	
21	In re Superior Nat. Ins. Gr.,	
22	518 BR 562 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2014)	
23	In re Teleglobe Communications Corp.,	
24	493 F.3d 345 (3d Cir. 2007)	
25	U.S. v. Burga, 2019 WL 3859157 (N.D. Cal. August 16, 2019)	
26	U.S. v. Gonzales,	
27	669 F.3d 974 (9th Cir. 2012)	
28		



U.S. v. Jacobs,   117 F.2d 82 (2d Cir. 1997)12, 1	13
U.S. v. Sanmina Corporation, 968 F.3d 1107 (9th Cir. 2020)	12
U.S. v. Zolin, 491 U.S. 554 (1989)	12
United States v. Sierra Pacific Industries, Inc., 862 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2017)	13
In re Village at Lakeridge, LLC, 2013 WL 1397447 (9th Cir. BAP April 5, 2013)	. 7
State Cases	
330 Acquisition Co., LLC v. Regency Savings Bank, F.S.B., 2003 WL 25516150 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., July 11, 2003), aff'd., 12 A.D. 3d 214, 783 N.Y.S. 2d 805 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., App. Dept. 2004)	. 8
BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court, 199 Cal.App.3d 1240 (1988)	12
Other Authorities	
Restatement of the Law Third The Law Governing Lawyers, § 76 cmt e	. 7
Rice, Attorney-Client Privilege in the United States, § 6.6 (2022 – 2023 version online)	11



Third Parties, Brilliant Digital Entertainment, Inc. ("BDE") and Monto Holdings Pty Ltd. ("Monto"), (along with Third Parties, Europlay Capital Advisors, LLC ("ECA"), and Claria Innovations, LLC ("Claria") ("Secured Lenders"), submit this Supplemental Brief in opposition to Amazon's Motion to compel production of documents (the "Gersh emails" and "non-Gersh emails") withheld on grounds of attorney-client and attorney work product privileges.

#### A. Introduction

The Court's March 28, 2023 Order (Dkt. 862) raised four issues for further discussion: (1) Authority addressing waiver of privilege where communications are with counsel engaged in dual representation as is Gersh/SAM; (2) Regarding attorney work product, whether disclosure to Gersh was not the equivalent of disclosure to PW itself; (3) whether the common interest doctrine would apply between PW and Secured Lenders in light of the receivership action in state court; and (4) the identity of emails with "other PW representatives" that Amazon claims purportedly lead to waiver. (As to this item (4), Amazon's Supplemental Brief ("Supp. Br.") does not reference any such matters, so this is a non-issue.)

The Gregorian Decl. Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2 violate the Court's 10-page limit for this briefing and the requirement that declarations contain facts, not argument. Neither of these two Exhibits, nor the majority of the 90 Exhibits attached to Exhibit 2, provide information relevant to the issues now before the Court.

Filed with this Brief are the Declarations of Michael Fletcher, Bruce Poltrock, Thomas Robins, and Craig Welin of the Frandzel firm, Kevin Bermeister and Anthony Neumann of BDE, Ronald Dyne of Monto, and Murray Markiles of SAM and ECA. Secured Lenders attempted to obtain a Declaration from Mr. Gersh, but was informed that, on the advice of counsel, he would not provide one. This effort continues. (Robins Decl., ¶¶ 3-7.)

#### B. No Dual Representation

The Court's first question raises the issue of "dual representation." Secured Lenders do not claim a "dual representation" in the sense that Gersh was retained to represent both the lenders and PW for purposes of advice as to enforcement of the secured loans. Just because Secured Lenders were clients of SAM as was PW does not necessarily mean that they are "joint clients" for the same



## DOCKET

### Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

#### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

#### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

#### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

