1 2	J. DAVID HADDEN (CSB No. 176148) dhadden@fenwick.com SAINA S. SHAMILOV (CSB No. 215636)	
3	sshamilov@fenwick.com MELANIE L. MAYER (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
4	mmayer@fenwick.com TODD R. GREGORIAN (CSB No. 236096)	
5	tgregorian@fenwick.com RAVI R. RANGANATH (CSB No. 272981)	
6	rranganath@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP Silican Valley Center	
7	Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041	
8	Telephone: 650.988.8500 Facsimile: 650.938.5200	
9		
10	Counsel for AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., and TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.	
11	TWITCH HVIZHURETTVZ, INC.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	IN RE: PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION	Case No.: 5:18-md-02834-BLF
16	AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON WEB	Case No.: 5:18-cv-00767-BLF
17	SERVICES, INC.,	Case No.: 5:18-cv-05619-BLF
18	Plaintiffs v.	
19	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and	OBJECTION OF AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., AND
20	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC. TO NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF STUBBS
21	Defendants,	ALDERTON & MARKILES LLP AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR
22	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
23	Plaintiffs,	
24	V.	
25	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.,	
26	Defendant.	
27		



Amazon.com, Inc., Amazon Web Services, Inc., and Twitch Interactive, Inc. (collectively, "Amazon") object to the purported notice of substitution of Stubbs Alderton & Markiles LLP ("Stubbs Alderton") as not in compliance with the Court's order (Dkt. 760) or the Local Rules. Instead, PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC ("PersonalWeb") and its counsel appear to be continuing to game the proceedings in order to prejudice Amazon's enforcement of the judgment.

While Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP ("Lewis Roca") have filed a purported notice of appearance for PersonalWeb, that notice is deficient in numerous respects:

- The notice is not filed in the lead case, No. 18-md-2834 (or 18-cv-05619-BLF either), and so permitting Stubbs Alderton to withdraw would leave PersonalWeb without any representation as to the MDL.
- 2. Lewis Roca has specifically *not* agreed to serve as counsel of record for all purposes as the Court's rules require [L.R. 11-5(b)] but appears to be attempting to limit its representation much in the same way that PersonalWeb divided representation between Stubbs Alderton and Ronald Richards previously in order to avoid judgment enforcement.
- 3. Lewis Roca has attempted to limit its representation to certain judgment enforcement tasks, potentially to the exclusion of other issues that may arise, for example issues concerning any remand of the fee award from the Federal Circuit.

The Court set forth an exceedingly simple requirement for Stubbs Alderton's withdrawal, one that would be satisfied by Lewis Roca filing a simple notice of appearance in the appropriate case numbers without attempting unilaterally to impose conditions contrary to the Court's rules about the representation of parties. The Court should not tolerate further gamesmanship from PersonalWeb or its counsel, and it should require that PersonalWeb comply with its prior order before Stubbs Alderton is permitted to withdraw.

