EXHIBIT 6

1	Michael Gerard Fletcher (State Bar No. 070849) mfletcher@frandzel.com	
2	Craig A. Welin (State Bar No. 138418) cwelin@frandzel.com	
3	Bruce D. Poltrock (State Bar No. 162448)	
4	bpoltrock@frandzel.com FRANDZEL ROBINS BLOOM & CSATO, L.C.	
5	1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Nineteenth Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2427	
6	Telephone: (323) 852-1000 Facsimile: (323) 651-2577	
7	Attorneys for Third Parties BRILLIANT	
8	DIGITAL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.; EUROPLAY CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC;	
9	CLARIA INNOVATIONS, LLC	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
12		
13	IN RE: PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION	Case No. 5:18-md-02834-BLF
14	AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON WEB	Case No. 5:18-cv-00767-BLF
	SERVICES, INC.,	Case No. 5:18-cv-05619-BLF
15	Plaintiffs	OBJECTIONS OF THIRD PARTY
16	v.	CLARIA INNOVATIONS, LLC TO SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE
17	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and	DOCUMENTS ISSUED BY AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB
18	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	SERVICES, INC., AND TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.
19	Defendants,	
20	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,	
21	and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	
22	Plaintiffs,	
23	v.	
24	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.,	
25	Defendant.	
26		1
27		
28		



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Third party Claria Innovations, LLC ("Claria") objects to the Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action ("Subpoena") issued by Amazon.com, Inc., Amazon Web Services, Inc., and Twitch Interactive, Inc. (collectively, "Amazon") in the above-captioned action (the "Action") as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. On May 10, 2021, the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Northwest District (Van Nuys) ("Receivership Court"), in currently pending Case No. 21VECV00575, entitled Brilliant Digital Entertainment, Inc., etc., et al., v. PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC, etc., et al. ("Receivership Action") appointed Robb Evans and Associates LLC ("Receiver") as Receiver over Personal Web Technologies, LLC ("PersonalWeb"), pursuant to the Receivership Court's Order for Ex Parte Immediate Appointment of Receiver ("Receivership Order") (copy attached as Exh. 1). The Receivership Court is the first and only court to date to have taken jurisdiction over the secured creditors of PersonalWeb, PersonalWeb itself, and all of the assets of PersonalWeb, and the subject of the interrelationships between and among those parties and those assets.
- 2. On June 1, 2021, the Receivership Court entered its Order for Entry of Preliminary Injunction in Aid of the Receiver ("Injunction Order") (copy attached as Exh. 2), which confirmed the Receiver's appointment and enjoined certain actions by PersonalWeb, its creditors, judgment holders, and others. Amazon has had notice of and received service of the Injunction Order.
- 3. Claria objects to the Subpoena, and each request contained therein, on the grounds that its issuance and service violates the Injunction Order, which Order provides, in part:
 - ... IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that except by leave of this Court, during the pendency of the receivership ordered herein, Defendant PersonalWeb, and all of its customers, principals, investors, collectors, stockholders, lessors, other creditors, judgment holders, and other persons seeking to establish or enforce any claim, debt, right, lien, or interest against Defendant PersonalWeb, or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, and all others acting for or on behalf of such persons, attorneys, trustees, agents, sheriffs, constables, marshals, and any other officers and their deputies, and their respective attorneys, servants, agents, and employees, be and are hereby stayed from:
 - Commencing, prosecuting, continuing, or enforcing (a)



4.

any suit, judgment, lien, levy, or proceeding against Defendant PersonalWeb, or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, except such actions may be filed to toll any applicable statute of limitations;

. . . .

- (d) Using self-help or executing or issuing, or causing the execution or issuance of any court attachment, subpoena, replevin, execution, levy, writ, or other process for the purpose of impounding or taking possession of or interfering with, or creating or enforcing a lien upon, any property, wheresoever located, owned by, claimed by, or in the possession of Defendant PersonalWeb, or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, or the Receiver appointed pursuant to this Order or any agent appointed by said Receiver; and
- (e) Doing any act or thing whatsoever to interfere with the Receiver taking control or possession of, or managing the property subject to this receivership; or in any way to interfere with the Receiver; or to harass or interfere with the duties of the Receiver; or to interfere in any manner with the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court over the property and assets of Defendant PersonalWeb, or its subsidiaries or affiliates. Provided, however, nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit any federal or state law enforcement or regulatory authority from commencing or prosecuting an action against Defendant PersonalWeb, or its subsidiaries or affiliates.

A court appointing a receiver has exclusive jurisdiction over receivership property.

- (O'Flaherty v. Belgum (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 1044, 1062, citing 2 Clark on Receivers (3d ed.1959), § 548(a), p. 889.) Further, "it must be held, in conformity with the general rule of comity established by a long line of authority, that the court which first takes the subject matter of a litigation into its control for the purpose of administering the rights and remedies with relation to specific property obtains thereby jurisdiction so to do, to the exclusion of the exercise of a like jurisdiction by other tribunals ..." (Cutting v. Bryan (1929) 206 Cal. 254, 257 [state court quiet title action dismissed where federal receivership action filed first].) This principle applies to both federal and state courts. (Princess Lida of Thurn and Taxis v. Thompson (1939) 305 U.S. 456, 466, 59 S.Ct. 275, 280 ["[T]he principle applicable to both federal and state courts [is] that the court first assuming jurisdiction over property may maintain and exercise that jurisdiction to the exclusion of the other ..."].)
- 5. On August 10, 2021, Amazon moved to intervene in the Receivership Action (copy attached as Exh. 3, without exhibits, except Exh. T, a proposed Complaint in Intervention), and as



set forth in the proposed Complaint in Intervention specifically sought to involve Claria and the
other secured creditors in the Amazon disputes with PersonalWeb. By Minute Order dated
November 17, 2021, the Receivership Court denied Amazon's intervention motion (copy attached
as Exh. 4) because, in part, Amazon has alternative ways to assert it positions as to PersonalWeb,
and the secured creditors, in the Receivership Action. Then, on January 14, 2022, Amazon filed a
Petition for Writ of Supersedeas with the California state Second District Court of Appeal
("2DCA"), seeking to stay the Receivership Action pending Amazon's appeal of the intervention
denial order (copy of pp. 1-13 attached as Exh. 5). Amazon again lost, the Court of Appeal having
denied the writ petition by Order filed February 17, 2022 (copy attached as Exh. 6.) But,
Amazon's appeal of the intervention denial order remains pending with the 2DCA. Amazon also
has pending in the Receivership Court its motion to stay the action (copy attached as Exh. 7).
Amazon has invoked the jurisdiction of both the Receivership Court and the 2DCA with respect to
these disputes.

- 6. Claria further objects to the Subpoena, and each request contained therein, on the grounds that it is improper discovery under the doctrines of federalism and comity to be shown by a federal court to a state court, and under Fed. R. Civ. P. 69(a)(2), which not only is a contempuous violation of the Injunction Order by Amazon and its legal counsel, but also seeks to circumvent Amazon's two Receivership Action losses regarding its attempt to intervene in the Receivership Action to become an active litigant in the state court at this time ----- because doing so would interfere with the Receivership. The Subpoena is also an improper "end run" to the discovery Amazon wants in the Receivership Action, but to which it is not entitled --- at this time --- because it is not a party to the Receivership action as a result of the Receivership Court's denial of leave to intervene, and it is premature in the Receivership Action for any such litigation activities, under the rulings of the Receivership Court and the 2DCA.
- 7. Claria further objects to the Subpoena, and each request contained therein, on the grounds that it further appears to be an attempt by Amazon to disrupt and interfere with the funding of the Receivership by plaintiffs in the Receivership Action, Brilliant Digital Entertainment, Inc., Claria Innovations, LLC, Europlay Capital Advisors, LLC, and Monto



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

