Exhibit C

Pages 1 - 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BETH LABSON FREEMAN, JUDGE

IN RE PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, NO. 18-MD-2834-BLF LLC, et al., Related Cases:

18-cv-5619-BLF 18-cv-0767-BLF

San Jose, California Thursday, May 13, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

FROM THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING

11:08 A.M. TO 11:36 A.M.

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:

STUBBS ALDERTON MARKILES, LLP

15260 Ventura Boulevard

20th Floor

Sherman Oaks, California 91403

BY: MICHAEL A. SHERMAN, ESQ.

JEFFREY GERSH, ESQ.

For Defendants:

FENWICK & WEST

Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street

Mountain View, California 94041

BY: TODD GREGORIAN, ESQ.

Transcribed By: BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

Official Reporter, U.S. District Court



CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, transcribed to the best of my ability from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings taken on the date and time previously stated in the above matter.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and, further, that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

BelleBall

/s/ Bell<u>e Ball</u>

Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR
Wednesday, May 19, 2021



```
THE COURT: Okay.
                            And Mr. Gersh, you'll be having
 that limited representation on this -- these post-judgment
 collection issues, is that correct?
         MR. GERSH: Yes, Your Honor. And that applies to
 everybody in our firm, and everybody that was on the case.
          THE COURT: Okay. Good. And welcome to the case.
 All right.
    So, Mr. Gregorian, I signed your ex parte orders for
notice of examination of judgment debtor, and the discovery.
At the time that I signed it, I had no idea where PersonalWeb
made its home. I guess I could have looked at the complaint to
see that. I didn't.
    I now recognize that PersonalWeb is located in Texas. And
under Code of Civil Procedure Section 708.160, I don't actually
have the authority to order an examination because they're
located more than 150 miles from my courthouse.
    And so I -- I was glad we were having this case-management
conference, because there's nothing I can do. That goes beyond
my authority. And you will need to get a -- to enter the
judgment, and get the Superior Court -- I guess it could be the
Federal Court -- in the county in which the person resides or
has a place of business as the proper court for the
examination.
     So I -- Mr. Gersh might have taken the view that it was
improperly served, and so they were going to just all keep
```



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GREGORIAN: The statutes that I cited to you earlier make clear that that written discovery served under those sections may be enforced to the extent practical, in the same manner as discovery in a civil act.

THE COURT: And that makes sense. Okay. Good. And I don't know whether you -- I don't -- yes. So you should communicate with Mr. Richards as soon as I vacate that portion of my order, that the -- that I have done that. I don't -- I presume he's checking the docket, but he hasn't made an appearance. So --

MR. GREGORIAN: So Your Honor, if I might just address that. And maybe it's something that we pick up at the time that Your Honor hears the motion to withdraw. But the concern that motivated this conference was that the Stubbs Alderton firm purports not to represent PersonalWeb --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GREGORIAN: -- with respect to these matters, even though they're counsel of record. And Mr. Richards, for whatever reason -- you know, weeks have elapsed and he has not filed an appearance. So our concern is that there's no one purporting to represent the company.

THE COURT: Well, and that's fine. You know, a party -- a debtor doesn't have to have a lawyer. They could be representing themselves. I mean, they can't appear in



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

