EXHIBIT 4

1 2	J. DAVID HADDEN (CSB No. 176148) dhadden@fenwick.com SAINA S. SHAMILOV (CSB No. 215636)		
3	sshamilov@fenwick.com MELANIE L. MAYER (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
4	mmayer@fenwick.com TODD R. GREGORIAN (CSB No. 236096)		
5	tgregorian@fenwick.com PHILLIP J. HAACK (CSB No. 262060)		
6	phaack@fenwick.com RAVI R. RANGANATH (CSB No. 272981)		
7	rranganath@fenwick.com CHIEH TUNG (CSB No. 318963) ctung@fenwick.com		
8	FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center		
9	801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041		
10	Telephone: 650.988.8500 Facsimile: 650.938.5200		
11	Counsel for Defendant		
12	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION	
17			
18	IN RE PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION	Case No.: 5:18-md-02834-BLF	
19	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	Case No.: 5:18-cv-00767-BLF	
20	Plaintiffs,	RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS OF TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC. TO	
21	V.	PERSONALWEB'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 9-19)	
22	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.,		
23 24	Defendants.		
25	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Feders	al Rules of Civil Procedure, Twitch Interactive	
26	Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Twitch Interactive Inc. (hereafter, "Twitch"), by and through their counsel, hereby objects and responds to the Second		
27	Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9-19) of PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC and Level 3		
28	Communications, LLC (collectively "PersonalWeb") as follows:		



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following general objections are stated with respect to each and every interrogatory whether or not specifically identified in response thereto. To the extent any of these general objections are not raised in any particular response, Twitch does not waive those objections.

- 1. Twitch objects to each and every instruction and interrogatory to the extent that it seeks to impose duties beyond those required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this district. Twitch's response shall be made only in accordance with the applicable rule(s).
- 2. Twitch objects to the definitions of "You," "Your," or "Twitch" because it seeks to broaden the scope of allowable discovery and seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Twitch, but is in the possession of third-parties and non-parties to this lawsuit. Twitch further objects to the definition of these terms to the extent it includes Twitch's attorneys and patent agents and seeks privileged and attorney-work product information. Twitch will interpret these terms as referring to Twitch Interactive, Inc. only.
- 3. Twitch objects to the definition of the term "Relevant Time Period" as overbroad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous, not proportional to the needs of the case, exceeding the boundaries of discoverable information, and/or seeking information that is not relevant to any claim or defense in this action. Twitch will interpret this term as referring to September 14, 2012 to December 25, 2016.
- 4. Twitch objects to the definition of "Fingerprint" as vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case, as it does not identify the item or feature with specificity. Twitch will interpret this term as a Ruby on Rails fingerprint or a similar value that is calculated via a hash algorithm and that renders the name of a file dependent on the contents of the file.
- 5. Twitch objects to the definition of "Cache-Busting" as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case as it does not identify the item or feature with specificity, and PersonalWeb does not explain what is meant by "valid" content.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.	Twitch objects to the definition of the term "544 patent" as overbroad, unduly
burdensom	e, exceeding the boundaries of discoverable information, failing to describe the
information	n sought with the required reasonable particularity, and/or seeking information that is
not relevan	t to any claim or defense in this action to the extent that it includes "U.S. Patent No.
7,954,544.	" PersonalWeb has not alleged infringement of this patent. Twitch will interpret this
term as ref	erring only to U.S. Patent No. 7,945,544.

- 7. Twitch objects to the definition of the term "patents-in-suit" as overbroad, unduly burdensome, exceeding the boundaries of discoverable information, failing to describe the information sought with the required reasonable particularity, and/or seeking information that is not relevant to any claim or defense in this action to the extent that it includes U.S. Patent No. 7,954,544. Twitch will interpret this term as referring only to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,978,791, 6,928,442, 7,802,310, 7,945,544, and 8,099,420.
- 8. Twitch objects to the definition of the term "asserted claims" as overbroad, unduly burdensome, vague, ambiguous, exceeding the boundaries of discoverable information, failing to describe the information sought with the required reasonable particularity, and/or seeking information that is not relevant to any claim or defense in this action to the extent it includes any products, systems, software or services that are not specifically accused and charted in PersonalWeb's Disclosures Pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-1 and 3-2 ("PersonalWeb's Infringement Contentions") served to Twitch on December 22, 2018. Twitch will interpret "Accused Products" to include only those aspects of Twitch products, systems, software and/or services specifically accused and charted in PersonalWeb's Infringement Contentions. Twitch further objects to each and every interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, vague, ambiguous, exceeding the boundaries of discoverable information, failing to describe the information sought with the required reasonable particularity, and/or seeking information that is not relevant to any claim or defense in this action to the extent that they seek information about aspects of Twitch products, systems, software and/or services not specifically accused in PersonalWeb's Infringement Contentions. Twitch will only provide a witness or witnesses for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

examination about those aspects of Twitch products, systems, software and/or services specifically accused and charted in PersonalWeb's Infringement Contentions.

- 9. Twitch objects to the definition of "S3 System" as vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case, as it does not identify the products, services, or features with specificity. Twitch will interpret this term as Simple Storage Service (S3).
- 10. Twitch objects to this interrogatory as seeking information that is not relevant to any claim or defense in this action. CloudFront is not accused in PersonalWeb's complaint against Twitch, in PersonalWeb's Infringement Contentions to Twitch, or in any of the complaints against Amazon's customers. Moreover, PersonalWeb does not have standing to bring claims against CloudFront. (See 5:18-md-02834 Dkts. 413, 453.) To the extent Twitch provides discovery on CloudFront, it does so explicitly without waiver of this objection.
- 11. Twitch objects to each and every definition and interrogatory as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case because they are not limited to a specific geographic area. Twitch will only provide discovery with respect to the United States.
- 12. Twitch objects to these interrogatories to the extent that they seek information equally available to PersonalWeb in the public domain or that is already in the possession, custody, or control of PersonalWeb.
- 13. Twitch objects to these interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that is in the possession, custody, or control of parties over whom Twitch has no control.
- 14. Twitch objects to each and every instruction, definition, and interrogatory to the extent that it seeks the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection, as provided by any applicable law. Twitch does not intend to disclose such privileged or protected information. Twitch's inadvertent disclosure of any such information should not be deemed a waiver of any privilege, immunity, or protection, and Twitch expressly reserves the right to object to the introduction at trial or to any other use of such information that may be inadvertently



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

