EXHIBIT 2

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

From:	Todd Gregorian
То:	Jeffrey Gersh
Cc:	Michael Sherman; David Hadden; Viviana Boero Hedrick
Subject:	RE: Conference call
Date:	Friday, April 3, 2020 3:18:00 PM
Attachments:	ndca-5-13-cv-01358-95.pdf

Jeffrey,

Our position is that the motion is frivolous, particularly given Judge Davila's rejection of the same request two days ago. Could you please explain the "ex parte" procedure you intend to use and direct us to the statute or rule that authorizes it? (See Civ. L.R. 7-10.)

Thanks,

TODD GREGORIAN

Partner | Fenwick & West LLP | +1 415-875-2402 | tgregorian@fenwick.com Admitted to practice in California.

From: Jeffrey Gersh [mailto:jgersh@stubbsalderton.com]
Sent: Friday, April 3, 2020 2:57 PM
To: Todd Gregorian <TGregorian@fenwick.com>
Cc: Michael Sherman <masherman@stubbsalderton.com>; David Hadden
<DHadden@fenwick.com>; Viviana Boero Hedrick <vhedrick@stubbsalderton.com>
Subject: Re: Conference call

** EXTERNAL EMAIL **

Todd - i just called your office number because that is the only number i have for you re the motion we intend to file to either stay the Motion for Attorneys's Fees or to Deny it without prejudice until such time as the court of appeal rules on the appeals. This is the same thing I tried to address with you the other day and which you responded by email saying you would not agree to this request. I would appreciate hearing from you promptly as we do intend to file this today. Thank you.

JG

DOCKE.

J. Gersh Stubbs Alderton & Markiles LLP 15260 Ventura Blvd. 20th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 818.444.9222 (O) 310.780.9898 (C) From: Todd Gregorian <<u>TGregorian@fenwick.com</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 6:58:33 PM
To: Jeffrey Gersh <<u>jgersh@stubbsalderton.com</u>>
Cc: Michael Sherman <<u>masherman@stubbsalderton.com</u>>; David Hadden <<u>DHadden@fenwick.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Conference call

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] This email is from an external sender, and not from Stubbs Alderton & Markiles.

Do not click on links or attachments unless you are sure of the sender.

Jeffrey,

I apologize that I have been unavailable today—I have a summary judgment briefing deadline in another matter that has consumed my attention. But here is Amazon's position:

The rules provide for attorney fees to be requested and determined shortly after entry of judgment. They do so for good reasons. It is important to get a ruling while the district court has the case fresh in mind and not years later, and the rules seek to have fees appeals resolved contemporaneously with the merits. See Spitz Technologies Corp. v. Nobel Biocare USA LLC, No. SACV 17-00660 JVS (JCGx), 2018 WL 6016149 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2018) ("[J]udicial economy is better served by determining attorneys' fees promptly while the details of the proceedings are still fresh and when the Federal Circuit has the opportunity to consider any appeal of the calculation at the same time as the appeal on the merits."); Masalosalo by Masalosalo v. Stonewall Ins. Co., 718 F.2d 955, 957 (9th Cir. 1983) (district courts "retains[] the power to award attorneys' fees after the notice of appeal from the decision on the merits had been filed [which] will prevent postponement of fee consideration until after the circuit court mandate, when the relevant circumstances will no longer be fresh in the mind of the district judge."); see also Ng. v. US Bank, NA, No. 15-cv-04998-KAW, 2016 WL 6995884 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 2016) (same); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54, advisory committee's note (1993 amendments) ("Prompt filing affords an opportunity for the court to resolve fee disputes shortly after trial, while the services performed are freshly in mind. It also enables the court in appropriate circumstances to make its ruling on a fee request in time for any appellate review of a dispute over fees to proceed at the same time as review on the merits of the case.").

We understand circumstances are changing rapidly due to the pandemic. We are open to handling the motion, particularly the hearing, in a way the Court deems appropriate. But a request to defer resolution until after an appeal that was just noticed is finally determined—a delay of 18 months to 2 years or potentially more—is not a request that has anything to do with the COVID-19 pandemic.

The parties agreed on a briefing schedule that we modified once already in light of the COVID-19 situation. PersonalWeb could have raised its request at that time. Instead, it allowed Amazon and Twitch to proceed with filing and get our brief in hand. PersonalWeb's briefing deadline is also currently weeks away. That said, your point about these being difficult times is well taken. If you face business interruptions or other hardships due to COVID-19, we will certainly work with you on appropriate adjustments to the schedule.

If you would still like a call my schedule is more or less open tomorrow. I hope you and yours remain healthy and safe.

TODD GREGORIAN

DOCKE.

Partner | Fenwick & West LLP | +1 415-875-2402 | tgregorian@fenwick.com Admitted to practice in California.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

From: Jeffrey Gersh [mailto:jgersh@stubbsalderton.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 4:11 PM
To: Todd Gregorian <<u>TGregorian@fenwick.com</u>>
Cc: Michael Sherman <<u>masherman@stubbsalderton.com</u>>
Subject: Re: Conference call

** EXTERNAL EMAIL **

Todd

While Michael and i were hoping to have a call with you today, for some reason you are not responding to my telephone messages or for that matter my email sent earlier today. Needless to say what we want to talk about is time sensitive and will only take a few minutes of your time. To preview our discussion it has to do with the timing of the hearing on the motion for attorney's fees, our response, your reply, and the pending appeals. We believe that it would be prudent to stipulate to continue the hearing on your client's motion for attorney's fees until such time as the appeals have been determined since any decision is likely to impact the court's decision on the motion for attorney's fees. We believe that this practical approach makes imminent sense and promotes efficiency for everyone, especially the court. We would like your cooperation rather than having to seek the court's assistance. Given these terrible times we are all facing the last thing the court needs is to deal with this motion when much more pressing matters are likely pending and have to be dealt with. We request that you let us know today when we can get on a call to meet and confer regarding this situation.

Thank you and i look forward to hearing from you.

JG

J. Gersh Stubbs Alderton & Markiles LLP 15260 Ventura Blvd. 20th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 818.444.9222 (O) 310.780.9898 (C)

From: Jeffrey Gersh
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 11:04:11 AM
To: Todd Gregorian <<u>TGregorian@fenwick.com</u>>
Cc: Michael Sherman <<u>masherman@stubbsalderton.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Conference call

Todd

DOCKET

Please let me know when we can set up the call today, hopefully before noon. I left you a voice mail also with my cell number which is on my signature block below. Thank you for your attention.

JG

Jeffrey F. Gersh, Esq. Partner Stubbs Alderton & Markiles, LLP 15260 Ventura Blvd 20th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 (818) 444-9222 (direct & fax) (310) 780-9898 (cell)

From: Jeffrey Gersh
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2020 7:50 PM
To: Todd Gregorian <<u>TGregorian@fenwick.com</u>
Subject: Re: Conference call

Thanks. What's a good time. Discuss the motions. Should be 10 min. Where should I call you?

J. Gersh Stubbs Alderton & Markiles LLP 15260 Ventura Blvd. 20th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 818.444.9222 (O) 310.780.9898 (C)

On Mar 30, 2020, at 6:45 PM, Todd Gregorian <<u>TGregorian@fenwick.com</u>> wrote:

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] This email is from an external sender, and not from Stubbs Alderton & Markiles. Do not click on links or attachments unless you are sure of the sender.

Not a problem. What's our agenda?

Best,

RM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.