1	J. DAVID HADDEN (CSB No. 176148)		
	dhadden@fenwick.com		
2	SAINA S. SHAMILOV (CSB No. 215636)		
3	sshamilov@fenwick.com MELANIE L. MAYER (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
3	mmayer@fenwick.com		
4	TODD R. GREGORIAN (CSB No. 236096)		
5	tgregorian@fenwick.com RAVI R. RANGANATH (CSB No. 272981)		
5	rranganath@fenwick.com		
6	CHIEH TUNG (CSB No. 318963)		
7	ctung@fenwick.com TJ FOX (CSB No. 322938)		
<i>'</i>	tfox (CSB No. 322938) tfox@fenwick.com		
8	FENWICK & WEST LLP		
	Silicon Valley Center		
9	801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041		
10	Telephone: 650.988.8500		
11	Facsimile: 650.938.5200		
11	Attorneys for AMAZON.COM, INC.,		
12	AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., and		
12	TWITCH INERACTIVE, INC.		
13	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT CO	OURT
14			
1.5	NORTHERN DISTRIC	ΓOFCALI	FORNIA
15	SAN JOSE D	IVISION	
16			
17	IN RE: PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES,	Case No.:	5:18-md-02834-BLF
17	LLC ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION	Case No :	5:18-cv-00767-BLF
18	AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON WEB	Case 110	3.10 CV 00707 BL1
19	SERVICES, INC.,	Case No.:	5:18-cv-05619-BLF
19	Plaintiffs		
20	V.	MOTION	N OF AMAZON.COM, INC.,
21	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and	AMAZO	N WEB SERVICES, INC., AND
41	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,		I INTERACTIVE, INC. FOR VEY FEES AND COSTS
22	Defendants,		
22		Det	Lana 4 2020
23	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	Date: Time:	June 4, 2020 9:00 a.m.
24		Dept:	Courtroom 3, 5th Floor
25	Plaintiffs,	Judge:	Hon. Beth L. Freeman
25	V.		
26	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.,		
27	Defendant.		
27	Defendant.		
28			



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES				
3	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES				
4	I. INTRODUCTION			1	
5	II.	BAC	CKGROUND	2	
6		A.	PersonalWeb filed 85 lawsuits with no viable infringement theory	2	
7 8		B.	Each time its infringement theory failed, PersonalWeb changed its theory for the sole purpose of prolonging the case unreasonably with the hope of extracting settlements from the customer defendants	3	
9		C.	PersonalWeb opposed summary judgment of claim and <i>Kessler</i> preclusion by submitting sham declarations	5	
10 11		D.	After the Court's claim construction order foreclosed PersonalWeb's infringement claims, PersonalWeb directed its expert to apply a different construction to prolong the case unreasonably	5	
12 13		E.	After PersonalWeb lost all claims on multiple grounds, it tried to unravel the MDL by claiming the Twitch case was no longer representative		
14 15	III.	PERS	SONALWEB'S CONDUCT MAKES THIS CASE EXCEPTIONAL	8	
16		A.	PersonalWeb asserted baseless claims	8	
17		B.	The Court should find this case exceptional because PersonalWeb repeatedly changed positions	10	
18 19		C.	The Court should find this case exceptional because PersonalWeb needlessly and unreasonably prolonged the case after the claim construction order foreclosed all infringement claims	12	
20		D.	The Court should find this case exceptional because PersonalWeb		
21			failed to follow the Court's rules and was not candid with the Court	13	
22	IV.	THE COURT SHOULD AWARD AMAZON AND TWITCH THE ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS THEY REASONABLY INCURRED IN THIS CASE		14	
23	V.	CON	ICLUSION	16	
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:	Page(s):
	Tuge(s).
AdjustaCam, LLC v. Newegg, Inc., 861 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	10, 12
Andersen Mfg. Inc. v. Wyers Prods. Grp., Inc., No. 8-cv-0235-WJM-STV, 2019 WL 4007772 (D. Colo. Aug. 23, 2019) -	10
Astrazeneca AB v. Dr. Reddy's Labs., Ltd., No. 07 CIV. 6790 (CM), 2010 WL 1375176 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 30, 2010)	1
Blum v. Stenson, 465 U.S. 886 (1984)	15
Bovino v. Levenger Co., No. 14-CV-00122-RM-KLM, 2016 WL 1597501 (D. Colo. Apr. 21, 2016	5)14
Bywaters v. United States, 670 F.3d 1221 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15
Cambrian Sci. Corp. v. Cox Commc'ns, Inc., 79 F. Supp. 3d 1111 (C.D. Cal. 2015)	8
DataTern, Inc. v. MicroStrategy Inc., C.A. No. 11-11970-FDS, 2018 WL 2694458 (D. Mass. June 5, 2018)	12
Fogerty v. Fantasy, Inc., 510 U.S. 517 (1994)	8
Homeland Housewares, LLC v. Sorensen Research & Dev. Trust, 581 F. App'x 877 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	14
Intex Recreation Corp. v. Team Worldwide Corp., 77 F. Supp. 3d 212 (D.D.C. 2015)	13
Ketab Corp. v. Mesriani & Assocs., P.C., 734 F. App'x 401 (9th Cir. 2018)	13
Kilopass Tech., Inc. v. v. Sidense Corp, 82 F. Supp. 3d 1154 (N.D. Cal. 2015)	14–15
Linex Techs., Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co., No. C 13–159 CW, 2014 WL 4616847 (N.D. Cal. Sep. 15, 2014)	9
Lumen View Tech. LLC v. Findthebest.com, Inc., 811 F.3d 479 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	8, 14–15



No. SACV 17-00660 JVS (JCGx), 2018 WL 6164300 (C.D. Cal. June 7, 2018) ————————————————————————————————————			
Oplus Techs., Ltd. v. Vizio, Inc., 782 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	1		1 0
782 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015) — 10 Pannonia Farms, Inc. v. Re/Max Int'l, Inc., 407 F. Supp. 2d 41 (D.D.C. 2005) — 9 Perdue v. Kenny A. ex. rel. Winn, 559 U.S. 542 (2010) — 14 PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM Corp., No. 6:12-cv-661 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016) — 9 Phigenix, Inc. v. Genentech Inc., No. 15-cv-01238-BLF, 2019 WL 2579260 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2019) — 15 Rambus Inc. v. Infineon Techs. AG, 318 F.3d 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2003) — 14 Raylon, LLC v. Complus Data Innovations, Inc., 700 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012) — 15 Rothschild Connected Devices Innovations, LLC v. Guardian Prot. Servs., Inc., 858 F.3d 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2017) — 8, 15 Source Search Techs., LLC v. Kayak Software Corp., No. 11-3388(NLH/KMW), 2016 WL 1259961 (D.N.J. Mar. 31, 2016), aff'd, 697 F. App'x 695 (Fed. Cir. 2017) — 10 Spitz Techs. Corp. v. Nobel Biocare USA LLC, No. SACV 17-00660 JVS (JCGx), 2018 WL 6164300 (C.D. Cal. June 7, 2018) — 13 Taurus IP, LLC v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., 726 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2013) — 12 ThermoLife Int'l, LLC v. Myogenix Corp., No. 13cv651 JLS (MDD), 2017 WL 1235766 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2017) — 10 TNS Media Research LLC v. Tivo Research & Analytics, Inc., No. 11-cv-4039 (KBF), 2018 WL 2277836 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2018) — 12 Statutes & Rules: 35 U.S.C. § 285 — 1-2, 8, 14 Civil L.R. 5-1(i)-(j) — 14	2	372 U.S. 343 (2014)	1, o
Pannonia Farms, Inc. v. Re/Max Int'l, Inc., 407 F. Supp. 2d 41 (D.D.C. 2005) 9	3		10
5 407 F. Supp. 2d 41 (D.D.C. 2005) 9 6 Perdue v. Kenny A. ex. rel. Winn, 559 U.S. 542 (2010) 14 7 8 PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM Corp., 9 No. 6:12-cv-661 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016) 9 9 Phigenix, Inc. v. Genentech Inc., 9 No. 15-cv-01238-BLF, 2019 WL 2579260 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2019) 15 11 Rambus Inc. v. Infineon Techs. AG, 318 F.3d 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 14 12 Raylon, LLC v. Complus Data Innovations, Inc., 700 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 15 14 Rothschild Connected Devices Innovations, LLC v. Guardian Prot. Servs., Inc., 858 F.3d 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 8, 15 15 Source Search Techs., LLC v. Kayak Software Corp., No. 11-3388(NLH/KMW), 2016 WL 1259961 (D.N.J. Mar. 31, 2016), aff'd, 697 F. App'x 695 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 10 18 Spitz Techs. Corp. v. Nobel Biocare USA LLC, No. SACV 17-00660 JVS (JCGX), 2018 WL 6164300 (C.D. Cal. June 7, 2018) 13 20 Taurus IP, LLC v. Daimler Chrysler Corp., 13 13 21 ThermoLife Int'l, LLC v. Myogenix Corp., No. 13cv651 JLS (MDD), 2017 WL 1235766 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2017) 10 22 ThermoLife Int'l, LC v. Tivo Research & Analytics, Inc., No. 1	4	Pannonia Farms Inc. v. Re/Max Int'l Inc	
7 8 8 PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM Corp., No. 6:12-cv-661 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016)	5	407 F. Supp. 2d 41 (D.D.C. 2005)	9
PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM Corp., No. 6:12-cv-661 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016)	6		
8 PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM Corp., 9 9 No. 6:12-cv-661 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016)	7	559 U.S. 542 (2010)	14
9		PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM Corp.,	
Phigenix, Inc. v. Genentech Inc., No. 15-cv-01238-BLF, 2019 WL 2579260 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2019) 15	8		
10 No. 15-cv-01238-BLF, 2019 WL 2579260 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2019) 15 11 Rambus Inc. v. Infineon Techs. AG, 318 F.3d 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 14 12 Raylon, LLC v. Complus Data Innovations, Inc., 15 14 Rothschild Connected Devices Innovations, LLC v. Guardian Prot. Servs., Inc., 858 F.3d 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 8, 15 16 Source Search Techs., LLC v. Kayak Software Corp., No. 11-3388(NLH/KMW), 2016 WL 1259961 (D.N.J. Mar. 31, 2016), 10 17 aff d, 697 F. App'x 695 (Fed. Cir. 2017) 10 18 Spitz Techs. Corp. v. Nobel Biocare USA LLC, No. SACV 17-00660 JVS (JCGx), 2018 WL 6164300 (C.D. Cal. June 7, 2018) 13 20 Taurus IP, LLC v. Daimler Chryster Corp., 726 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 12 21 ThermoLife Int'l, LLC v. Myogenix Corp., No. 13cv651 JLS (MDD), 2017 WL 1235766 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2017) 10 22 Thrs Media Research LLC v. Tivo Research & Analytics, Inc., No. 11-cv-4039 (KBF), 2018 WL 2277836 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2018) 12 25 Statutes & Rules: 26 35 U.S.C. § 285 1-2, 8, 14 27 Civil L.R. 5-1(i)-(j) 14	9	Phigenix, Inc. v. Genentech Inc.,	
12	10		15
12	11	Rambus Inc. v. Infineon Techs. AG.	
13 Raylon, LLC v. Complus Data Innovations, Inc., 15 14 Rothschild Connected Devices Innovations, LLC v. Guardian Prot. Servs., Inc., 858 F.3d 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2017)		318 F.3d 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	14
13	12		
15 Romschia Connected Devices Innovations, LLC v. Guaraian Prof. Servs., Inc., 858 F.3d 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	13	700 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	15
15 858 F.3d 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	14		
No. 11-3388(NLH/KMW), 2016 WL 1259961 (D.N.J. Mar. 31, 2016),	15		8, 15
No. 11-3388(NLH/KMW), 2016 WL 1259961 (D.N.J. Mar. 31, 2016),	16	Source Search Techs LLC v. Kavak Software Corp	
18 Spitz Techs. Corp. v. Nobel Biocare USA LLC, 19 No. SACV 17-00660 JVS (JCGx), 2018 WL 6164300 (C.D. Cal. June 7, 2018)		No. 11-3388(NLH/KMW), 2016 WL 1259961 (D.N.J. Mar. 31, 2016),	
No. SACV 17-00660 JVS (JCGx), 2018 WL 6164300 (C.D. Cal. June 7, 2018) ————————————————————————————————————	17	aff'd, 697 F. App'x 695 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	10
2018)	18		
Taurus IP, LLC v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., 726 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	19		12
Taurus IP, LLC v. DaimlerChrysler Corp., 726 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	20	2018)	13
ThermoLife Int'l, LLC v. Myogenix Corp., No. 13cv651 JLS (MDD), 2017 WL 1235766 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2017)		Taurus IP, LLC v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.,	10
No. 13cv651 JLS (MDD), 2017 WL 1235766 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2017)	21	/26 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	12
23	22		10
No. 11-cv-4039 (KBF), 2018 WL 2277836 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2018)	23	No. 13cv651 JLS (MDD), 2017 WL 1235766 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2017)	10
25	24		12
26 35 U.S.C. § 285	25		
27 35 U.S.C. § 285 1-2, 8, 14 Civil L.R. 5-1(i)-(j)	26		
Civil L.R. 5-1(i)-(j)		35 U.S.C. § 285	1-2, 8, 14
28	27	Civil L.R. 5-1(i)-(j)	14
	28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on June 4, 2020, at 9:00 a.m., at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 280 South First Street, San Jose, California, in the courtroom of the Honorable Beth L. Freeman, Amazon.com, Inc., and Amazon Web Services, Inc. (collectively, "Amazon") and Twitch Interactive, Inc. ("Twitch") will and hereby do move the Court under 35 U.S.C. § 285, Rule 54 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Local Rules 54-1 through 54-5, for an order granting Amazon and Twitch their reasonable attorney fees and non-taxable costs.

Amazon and Twitch base their motion on this notice, the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities, the supporting declaration of Todd R. Gregorian, all pleadings and documents on file in this action, and such other materials or argument as the Court may consider.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The Court should award Amazon and Twitch the significant attorney's fees and non-taxable expenses they incurred defending themselves and more than 80 other defendants from PersonalWeb's litigation abuse. Section 285 empowers the Court to grant this relief not merely to assist aggrieved litigants but to deter those who cavalierly "abuse[] the litigation process and needlessly consume the scarce time of the court." *Astrazeneca AB v. Dr. Reddy's Labs., Ltd.*, No. 07 CIV. 6790 (CM), 2010 WL 1375176, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 30, 2010). To do so, the Court must find only that this case "stands out from others"—either because the claims were weak or because it was litigated unreasonably. *Octane Fitness, LLC v. ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.*, 572 U.S. 545, 554 (2014).

Both forms of abuse occurred in this case. PersonalWeb never had a viable claim for relief. Eight years ago, PersonalWeb sued Amazon and Amazon's customer Dropbox in Texas, alleging that Amazon S3 infringed its patents. PersonalWeb had no valid claim in that case: it had no choice but to dismiss its complaint with prejudice after claim construction. Four years after that case ended, PersonalWeb took another run at extracting settlements with its now expired patents in a



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

