

# EXHIBIT 13

**BEFORE THE  
UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

IN RE PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES,  
LLC and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS,  
LLC PATENT LITIGATION

MDL DOCKET NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND  
CONSOLIDATION OF PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS OF PERSONALWEB  
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC AND LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC  
PATENT LITIGATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Table of Authorities .....	ii
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND.....	3
A. The Patents-in-Suit.....	3
B. The Defendants’ Accused Systems and Associated Methods of Operation.....	6
C. The Pending Litigation .....	7
D. Prior Litigation Involving the Patents-in-Suit.....	8
E. Amazon Declaratory Relief Action .....	9
III. ARGUMENT .....	10
A. The Legal Standard .....	10
B. The PersonalWeb Actions Should Be Transferred to the Northern District of California and Pretrial Proceedings Consolidated.....	11
1. The PersonalWeb Actions Present Common Questions of Fact and Law .....	11
2. The Interest of Protecting Against Inconsistent Judgments Favors Consolidation .....	14
3. Consolidation and Transfer Will Best Serve the Convenience of the Parties and Witnesses.....	15
C. The Cases Should Be Consolidated for Pretrial Purposes in the Northern District of California.....	16
1. No Party Will Be Prejudiced by Consolidation in the Northern District of California.....	16
2. The Northern District of California Is Best Suited to Handle this MDL Proceeding.....	16
IV. CONCLUSION.....	18

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. U.S. Plywood Corp.</i> , 318 F. Supp. 1116 (S.D.N.Y. 1970).....	14
<i>In re Armodafinil Patent Litig.</i> , 755 F. Supp. 2d 1359 (J.P.M.L. 2010).....	15
<i>In re Bear Creek Techs., Inc. ('722) Patent Litig.</i> , 858 F. Supp. 2d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2012).....	11, 12
<i>In re Commonwealth Oil/Tesoro Petroleum Securities Litig.</i> , 458 F. Supp. 225 (J.P.M.L. 1978).....	10
<i>In re Cuisinart Food Processor Antitrust Litig.</i> , 506 F. Supp. 651 (J.P.M.L. 1981).....	16
<i>In re Cygnus Telecom. Tech., LLC, Patent Litigation</i> , 177 F. Supp. 2d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2001).....	16, 17
<i>In re Desloratadine Patent Litig.</i> , 502 F. Supp. 2d 1354 (J.P.M.L. 2007).....	14
<i>In re Embryo Patent Infringement Litig.</i> , 328 F. Supp. 507 (J.P.M.L. 1971).....	12
<i>In re Fenofibrate Patent Litig.</i> , 787 F. Supp. 2d 1352 (J.P.M.L. 2011).....	16
<i>In re Innovatio IP Ventures, LLC, Patent Litig.</i> , 840 F. Supp. 2d 1354 (J.P.M.L. 2011).....	11, 14, 15
<i>In re Litig. Arising from Termination of Retirement Plan for Employees of Fireman's Fund Ins. Co.</i> , 422 F. Supp. 287 (J.P.M.L. 1976).....	10
<i>In re LTV Corp. Secs. Litig.</i> , 470 F. Supp. 859 (J.P.M.L. 1979).....	10
<i>In re Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc., Patent Litig.</i> , 867 F. Supp. 2d 1333 (J.P.M.L. 2012).....	10, 11
<i>In re Method of Processing Ethanol Byproducts and Related Subsystems ('858) Patent Litig.</i> , 730 F. Supp. 2d 1379 (J.P.M.L. 2010).....	12

*In re Mirtazapine Patent Litig.*,  
 199 F. Supp. 2d 1380 (J.P.M.L. 2003)..... 17

*In re MLR, LLC Patent Litig.*,  
 269 F. Supp. 2d 1380 (J.P.M.L. 2003)..... 11

*In re Nebivol ('040) Patent Litig.*,  
 867 F. Supp. 2d 1354 (J.P.M.L. 2012)..... 10

*In re PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. Patent Litig.*,  
 360 F. Supp. 2d 1362 (J.P.M.L. 2005)..... 11, 17

*In re Protegrity Corp. and Protegrity USA, Inc., Patent Litig.*,  
 84 F. Supp. 3d 1380, (J.P.M.L. 2015)..... 17

*In re TransData, Inc. Smart Meters Patent Litig.*,  
 830 F. Supp. 2d 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2011)..... 11, 15

*In re Vehicle Tracking & Sec. Sys. ('844) Patent Litig.*,  
 807 F.Supp.2d 1380 (J.P.M.L. 2011)..... 11, 15, 16

*In re Webvention LLC ('294) Patent Litig.*,  
 831 F. Supp. 2d 1366 (J.P.M.L. 2011)..... 11, 15

*Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*,  
 517 U.S. 370 (1996)..... 14

**Statutes**

28 U.S.C. § 1407..... 1, 10

35 U.S.C. § 299..... 13

**Other Authorities**

H.R. Rep. No. 90-1130, at 3, reprinted in 1968 U.S.C.C.A.N. 1898, 1900..... 10

**Rules**

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 45(c)..... 16

Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, Rule 6.1(b)... 7

Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, Rule 6.2..... 1

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.