## EXHIBIT 10

<u>Trials@uspto.gov</u> 571-272-7822

Paper 33

Entered: March 25, 2015

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., Petitioner,

v.

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, Patent Owners.

\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2013-00596 Patent 7,802,310 B2

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, JONI Y. CHANG, and MICHAEL R. ZECHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TURNER, Administrative Patent Judge.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION

Inter Partes Review 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73



IPR2013-00596 Patent 7,802,310 B2

### I. INTRODUCTION

Apple, Inc. ("Apple") filed a Petition (Paper 1, "Pet.") requesting *inter partes* review of claims 24, 32, 70, 81, 82, and 86 of U.S. Patent No. 7,802,310 B2 ("the '310 Patent," Ex. 1001). Patent Owners, PersonalWeb Technologies LLC and Level 3 Communications, LLC (collectively "PersonalWeb"), filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 8). On March 26, 2014, we instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 24, 32, 70, 81, 82, and 86 on a single ground of unpatentability alleged in the Petition. Paper 9, "Dec."

After institution of trial, PersonalWeb filed a Patent Owner Response ("PO Resp.," Paper 15) and Apple filed a Reply thereto ("Reply," Paper 22). An oral argument was held on November 17, 2014. The transcript of the oral hearing has been entered into the record. Paper 31.

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(c). This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73.

Apple has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that all claims for which trial is instituted, claims 24, 32, 70, 81, 82, and 86 of the '310 Patent, are unpatentable.

### A. Related Matters

Apple indicates that the '310 Patent was asserted against it in *PersonalWeb Tech. LLC v. Apple Inc.*, Case No. 6:12-cv-00660-LED, pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. Pet. 2.



IPR2013-00596 Patent 7,802,310 B2

Other petitions seeking *inter partes* review of PersonalWeb's patents were filed previously, with those patents and the '310 Patent sharing a common disclosure. *Id.* at 3–4. Another Petition, filed in Case IPR2014-00062, was pending regarding the '310 Patent, but that proceeding, as well as the proceedings involving patents with common disclosures, were terminated based on a settlement reached between the parties. IPR2014-00062, Paper 33.

### B. The '310 Patent (Ex. 1001)

The '310 Patent relates to a data processing system that identifies data items using substantially unique identifiers, otherwise referred to as True Names, which depend on all the data in the data item and only on the data in the data item. Ex. 1001, 1:44–48, 3:52–55, 6:20–24. According to the '310 Patent, the identity of a data item depends only on the data and is independent of the data item's name, origin, location, address, or other information not derivable directly from the data associated therewith. *Id.* at 3:55–58. The invention of the '310 Patent also provides that the system can publish data items, allowing other, possibly anonymous, systems in a network to gain access to the data items. *Id.* at 4:32–34.



IPR2013-00596 Patent 7,802,310 B2

### C. Illustrative Claim

The '310 Patent includes claims 1–87, of which a trial was instituted on claims 24, 32, 70, 81, 82, and 86. Of those the challenged claims, claims 24, 70, 81, and 86 are independent claims. Independent claim 70 is reproduced below:

70. A computer-implemented method operable in a system which includes a network of computers, the system implemented at least in part by hardware including at least one processor, the method comprising the steps of:

in response to a request at a first computer, from another computer, said request comprising at least a content-based identifier for a particular data item, the content-based identifier for the particular data item being based at least in part on a given function of at least some data which comprise the contents of the particular data item, wherein the given function comprises a message digest or a hash function, and wherein two identical data items will have the same content-based identifier:

- (A) hardware in combination with software, determining whether the content-based identifier for the particular data item corresponds to an entry in a database comprising a plurality of content-based identifiers; and
- (B) based at least in part on said determining in step (A), selectively permitting the particular data item to be accessed at or by one or more computers in the network of computers, said one or more computers being distinct from said first computer.

Ex. 1001, 44:1–23.

### D. Prior Art Relied Upon

The following prior art references were relied upon in the instituted ground of unpatentability:



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

