

1 MICHAEL A. SHERMAN (SBN 94783)  
 masherman@stubbsalderton.com  
 2 JEFFREY F. GERSH (SBN 87124)  
 jgersh@stubbsalderton.com  
 3 SANDEEP SETH (SBN 195914)  
 sseth@stubbsalderton.com  
 4 WESLEY W. MONROE (SBN 149211)  
 wmonroe@stubbsalderton.com  
 5 STANLEY H. THOMPSON, JR. (SBN 198825)  
 sthompson@stubbsalderton.com  
 6 VIVIANA BOERO HEDRICK (SBN 239359)  
 vhedrick@stubbsalderton.com  
 7 **STUBBS, ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP**  
 15260 Ventura Blvd., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 8 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403  
 Telephone: (818) 444-4500  
 9 Facsimile: (818) 444-4520

10 **Attorneys for PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC**

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14 IN RE PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES,  
 15 LLC, ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION

**CASE NO.: 5:18-md-02834-BLF**

16 AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON WEB  
 17 SERVICES, INC.,

**Case No.: 5:18-cv-00767-BLF**

18 Plaintiffs,

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL A.  
 SHERMAN IN SUPPORT OF  
 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES,  
 LLC'S NON-OPPOSITION TO  
 DEFENDANTS AMAZON.COM, INC.  
 AND AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.'S  
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
 OF NON-INFRINGEMENT AND  
 OPPOSITION TO MOTION RE  
 STANDING**

19 v.

20 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
 21 and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

22 Defendants.

Date: November 15, 2019  
 Time: 9:00 a.m.  
 Dept.: Courtroom 3, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

23 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
 24 and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

25 Counterclaimants,

26 v.

27 AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON WEB  
 28 SERVICES, INC.,

Counterdefendants.

Trial Date: March 16, 2020

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL A. SHERMAN**

I, Michael A. Sherman, declare as follows:

1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I am a partner at Stubbs Alderton & Markiles, LLP and am counsel for Plaintiffs PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC (“PersonalWeb”). The facts herein are, unless otherwise stated, based upon personal knowledge, and if called upon to do so, I could, and would testify to their truth under oath. I submit this declaration in support of PersonalWeb’s Non-Opposition to Amazon’s Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement and Opposition to Motion Re Standing.

2. Following the issuance of the Court’s Order on Claim Construction on August 16, 2019, it had become apparent to me and my team that regardless of what “unlicensed” might mean or be construed as, that PersonalWeb’s claims against Amazon could only continue to be maintained if “unauthorized or unlicensed” and “authorization” were to be construed along the lines that PersonalWeb had unsuccessfully argued in the District Court. Hence, as I had conveyed to Amazon’s counsel, PersonalWeb believed that appellate review (and reversal) would be necessary as a predicate for PersonalWeb to continue its claims of infringement against CloudFront.

3. The facts and conclusions I had arrived at in the above paragraph, coupled with Amazon’s insistence that PersonalWeb dismiss its claims against Amazon, resulted in my having written to Mr. Hadden on August 19, 2019 and having told him PersonalWeb “proposes stipulating to judgment of non-infringement on its counterclaims in case no. 5:18-cv-00767-BLF (“Case”) as respects all claims for patent infringement asserted against AWS’ ‘CloudFront’ product...while preserving all rights to appeal.” Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of my August 19, 2019 letter to Mr. Hadden.

4. For all those above reasons I made the decision to recommend that PersonalWeb’s technical expert Mr. de la Iglesia not finalize and submit his technical report demonstrating the CloudFront infringement read, which Mr. de la Iglesia was preparing to submit on August 23, 2019.

1           5.     Following a call I had with Mr. Hadden early in the week on August 19, I had  
2 expected that Amazon would enter a proposed dismissal stipulation. However, in late September  
3 2019, Amazon informed us that it would decline to enter any stipulated dismissal and that it would  
4 proceed with filing a summary judgment motion of non-infringement. Having reviewed the  
5 Amazon summary judgement motion, in particular the three non-Claim Construction Order  
6 arguments advanced, and my team having extensively discussed those issues with Mr. de la Iglesia  
7 both prior to and following the serving of the Amazon summary judgment motion, I proffer the  
8 unsigned declaration of Mr. de la Iglesia attached as Exhibit 2, which I am informed that Mr. de la  
9 Iglesia is prepared to sign if permitted by the Court. The proffered declaration details three points:

- 10           a. How CloudFront uses MD5 ETags that were generated by applying the MD5 hash  
11           algorithm to the content and only the content of a webpage file to determine whether  
12           or not to send a message that permits browsers to keep using cached version of that  
13           webpage file after the original permitted time to use that cached version has expired;  
14           b. How CloudFront uses MD5 ETags to determine whether a file at a browser is a copy  
15           of the current version of a webpage file in making the decision of (a); and  
16           c. How CloudFront compares an MD5 ETag sent in a conditional GET request from a  
17           browser to see if its matches one of plurality of ETags that it has stored in making the  
18           determinations of (a) and (b).

19           6.     I am mindful of the Court's case management order establishing August 23, 2019 as  
20 the last day to serve a technical expert's report and equally mindful of the fact that for the reasons  
21 set forth above no such report was provided as regards to Amazon CloudFront. Accordingly,  
22 PersonalWeb will not be submitting a declaration/report of Mr. de la Iglesia with regard to  
23 CloudFront unless permitted by the Court—which I believe the Court should permit. Therefore, if  
24 the Court is inclined to enter any order that grants Amazon's summary judgment motion based  
25 upon any merits and not solely based upon PersonalWeb's stated non-opposition to the relief  
26 requested, PersonalWeb requests that the Court permit PersonalWeb to file a signed version of  
27 proffered Exhibit 2 pursuant to FRCP 56 (d).  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 25, 2019 in Sherman Oaks, California.

By: /s/ Michael A. Sherman  
Michael A. Sherman