		Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 53	5 Filed 10/01/19 Page 1 of 3			
	1					
	2					
	3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	5	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
	6					
	7	IN RE: PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC ET AL PATENT	Case No. <u>18-md-02834-BLF</u>			
	8	LITIGATION	ORDER GRANTING AMAZON AND			
	9		TWITCH'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL			
	10		[RE: ECF 520]			
	11					
ourt ornia	12	AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.,	Case No. <u>18-cv-00767-BLF</u>			
ct Cc Calife	13	Plaintiffs,	[RE: ECF 142]			
United States District Court Northern District of California	14	v.				
	15 16	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, et al.,				
	17	Defendants.				
	18					
	19	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, et al.,	Case No. <u>18-cv-05619-BLF</u>			
	20	Plaintiffs,	[RE: ECF 52]			
	21	v.				
	22	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.,				
	23	Defendant.				
	24	Before the Court is the administrative r	notion of Amazon.com, Inc., and Amazon Web			
	25	Services, Inc. (collectively, "Amazon"), and Twitch Interactive, Inc. ("Twitch") to file under seal				
	26	Exhibit 1 (ECF 520-3) to the Declaration of Todd R. Gregorian (ECF 521-1) in Support of Amazon				
	27	and Twitch's Opposition (ECF 521) to Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify or Supplement Claim				
	C k	KET R M Find authenticated court documents with	hout watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .			

Construction Order (ECF 507). ECF 520. Exhibit 1 is the technical expert report of PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC's ("PersonalWeb") expert, Erik de la Iglesia, on infringement.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I. LEGAL STANDARD

"Historically, courts have recognized a 'general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." *Kamakana v. City & Cty. Of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n. 7 (1978)). Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, "a 'strong presumption in favor of access' is the starting point." *Id.* (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)). Parties seeking to seal judicial records relating to motions that are "more than tangentially related to the underlying cause of action" bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with "compelling reasons" that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure. *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp.*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1099 (9th Cir. 2016); *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178–79.

Parties moving to seal documents must also comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d)." Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an "unredacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d). "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable." Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

II. DISCUSSION

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 535 Filed 10/01/19 Page 3 of 3

1 designating party submitted in support thereof. The Court finds that Amazon and Twitch articulated

2 compelling reasons to seal the requested document in its entirety. The Court's rulings on the sealing

request is set forth in the table below.

3

United States District Court Northern District of California

Δ

R

Μ

3	ECF	Document to be Sealed	Result	Reasoning		
4	<u>No.</u>	<u>Document to be beated</u>	Kesuit	<u>Acasoning</u>		
5	520-3	Technical expert report of	GRANTED as	PersonalWeb has designated the		
6		PersonalWeb's expert, Erik de la Iglesia, on	to the entire document.	report as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'		
7		infringement dated August		EYES ONLY" under the Stipulated		
-		23, 2019.		Protective Order entered by the Court. Gregorian Decl. ¶ 2, ECF		
8				520-1.		
9				The Requested Sealed Material		
10				comprises, among other things,		
11				highly sensitive information about the technical design and operation		
12				of the Twitch website, including		
				without limitation the characterization by PersonalWeb's		
13				expert of Twitch's confidential and		
14				proprietary source code and excerpts of a deposition of a Twitch		
15				technical witness. Both this highly		
16				confidential source code and the deposition transcript have been		
17				designated under the Stipulated		
18				Protective Order, and consist of		
				sensitive information that Twitch maintains as confidential and does		
19				not reveal to the general public.		
20				Gregorian Decl. ¶ 3.		
21	III.	III. CONCLUSION				
22	For the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby GRANTS Amazon and Twitch's administrative					
23	motion to se	motion to seal at ECF 520. No further action is necessary.				
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
25						
	Dated: October 1, 2019 Do ka han han han han han han han han han ha					
26		7	/Sem	fally meenan		
27	BETH LABSON FREEMAN					
20	United States District Indge					

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.