	Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 452	Filed 06/25/19 Page 1 of 16	
1 Fenwick & West LLP 1 Attorney at Law 1 1	<ul> <li>J. DAVID HADDEN (CSB No. 176148) dhadden@fenwick.com</li> <li>SAINA S. SHAMILOV (CSB No. 215636) sshamilov@fenwick.com.com</li> <li>TODD R. GREGORIAN (CSB No. 236096) tgregorian@fenwick.com</li> <li>PHILLIP J. HAACK (CSB No. 262060) phaack@fenwick.com</li> <li>RAVI R. RANGANATH (CSB No. 272981) rranganath@fenwick.com</li> <li>CHIEH TUNG (CSB No. 318963) ctung@fenwick.com</li> <li>CHIEH TUNG (CSB No. 318963) ctung@fenwick.com</li> <li>FENWICK &amp; WEST LLP</li> <li>Silicon Valley Center</li> <li>801 California Street</li> <li>Mountain View, CA 94041</li> <li>Telephone: 650.988.8500</li> <li>Facsimile: 650.938.5200</li> <li>Counsel for AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., and TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC.</li> <li>UNITED STATES E</li> <li>NORTHERN DISTRIC SAN JOSE 1</li> <li>IN RE: PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION,</li> <li>AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON WEB</li> <li>SERVICES, INC., Plaintiffs, v.</li> <li>PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,</li> <li>Defendants.</li> <li>PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,</li> <li>Counterclaimants, v.</li> <li>AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON WEB</li> </ul>	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA	
2			
DOCKET			
<b>A L A R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .			

		Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 452 Filed 06/25/19 Page 2 of 16
	1	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, a
	2	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,
	3	Plaintiffs,
	4	V.
	5	TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC., a Delaware corporation,
	6	Defendant.
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11 12	
st LLP	12	
Fenwick & West LLP Attorneys at Law	14	
ENWICK Attor	15	
E.	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	ςK	<b>RM</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

	1			TABLE OF CONTENTS
	2	I.	INTR	ODUCTION
	3	II.	FACT	ГUAL BACKGROUND
	4 5		А.	AMAZON'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS FOR "AUTHORIZATION" AND "UNAUTHORIZED OR UNLICENSED" TRACK JUDGE GILSTRAP'S 2016 CONSTRUCTIONS
	6 7 8		B.	AMAZON'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS FOR "PART VALUE" AND "BEING BASED ON A FIRST FUNCTION OF THE CONTENTS OF THE SPECIFIC PART" ALSO TRACK JUDGE GILSTRAP'S 2016 CONSTRUCTIONS
	9 10		C.	PERSONALWEB WAITED FOR MONTHS AFTER THE PARTIES' CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DISCLOSURES AND UNTIL <i>AFTER</i> THE <i>MARKMAN</i> HEARING TO SEEK LEAVE TO AMEND
	11	III.	STAN	NDARD
×	12	IV.	ARG	UMENT
Fenwick & West LLP Attorneys at Law	13 14		А.	PERSONALWEB HAS FAILED TO MEET ITS BURDEN TO ESTABLISH ITS DILIGENCE WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS
	15 16		В.	AMAZON WILL BE PREJUDICED IF PERSONALWEB IS GRANTED LEAVE TO AMEND
	17	V.	CON	CLUSION
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			

		Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 452 Filed 06/25/19 Page 4 of 16
	1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
	2	Cases: Page(s):
	3	Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.,
	4	No. 14-cv-04741-RS (MEJ), 2017 WL 1399077 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 18, 2017) 7
	5	Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., No. 12-cv-0630-LHK(PSG), 2013 WL 3246094 (N.D. Cal. June 26, 2013)7, 9
	6 7	Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., No. 11-CV-01846-LHK, 2012 WL 1067548 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2012) 10–11
	8	ASUS Comput. Int'l v. Round Rock Research, LLC,
	9	No. 12-cv-02099 JST (NC), 2014 WL 554561 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 7, 2014) 10
	10	<i>Atmel Corp. v. Info. Storage Devices Inc.</i> , No. C 95-1987 FMS, 1998 WL 775115 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 5, 1998)11
	11	CBS Interactive, Inc. v. Etilize, Inc.,
LLP	12	257 F.R.D. 195 (N.D. Cal. 2009) 1, 7
Fenwick & West LLP Attorney at Law	13	<i>EON Corp IP Holdings LLC v. Aruba Networks Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-01011-JST, 2013 WL 12174305 (N.D. Cal. July 30, 2013) 10
	14 15	GoPro, Inc. v. 360Heros, Inc.,
	15	No. 16-cv-01944-SI, 2017 WL 1278756 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2017)1, 9–10
	10	<i>Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.,</i> No. 12-cv-03844-JST, 2014 WL 5361643 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 20, 2014)10
	18	<i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Monolithic Power Sys., Inc.,</i> 467 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2006)7, 9–10
	19	
	20	Par Pharm., Inc. v. Takeda Pharm. Co., No. 5:13-cv-01927-LHK-PSG, 2014 WL 3704819 (N.D. Cal. July 23,
	21	2014) 9
	22	<i>PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM</i> , No. 6:12-cv-661, Dkt. 103 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016) 1, 3–4, 8
	23	PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM,
	24	No. 6:12-cv-661, Dkt. 78-2 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 18, 2015) 2, 4, 8
	25	Verinata Health, Inc. v. Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc., 236 F. Supp. 3d 1110 (N.D. Cal. 2017)7
	26	Via Techs., Inc. v. ASUS Computer Int'l,
	27	No. 14-cv-03586-BLF(HRL), 2017 WL 396172 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2017)7–8, 10
	28	
DOO		
AL	A	<b>R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

#### I. **INTRODUCTION**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PersonalWeb seeks leave to amend its infringement contentions eighteen months into this case, after the parties completed their claim construction disclosures and briefing, and after the Court conducted the *Markman* hearing. It does so on the basis that Amazon's claim constructions came as a "surprise." But Judge Gilstrap construed the same patents three years ago, and the claim construction disputes before the Court now are the same as they were in 2016. (Declaration of Saina S. Shamilov in Support of Opposition to Motion for Leave to Amend ("Shamilov Decl."), Ex. 1 (PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. IBM, No. 6:12-cv-661, Dkt. 103 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016) ("Prior Order")).). And Amazon disclosed its proposed constructions in January 2019.1 PersonalWeb inexplicably waited for nearly five months, until the *Markman* briefing and hearing were complete, to file this motion. It cannot show good cause for the amendment because it was not diligent. See, e.g., GoPro, Inc. v. 360Heros, Inc., No. 16-cv-01944-SI, 2017 WL 1278756, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2017) (waiting two months until after initial *Markman* briefing not diligent).

15 The Court's Patent Local Rules aim to deter such behavior. They force the parties to disclose their theories early in the case precisely to prevent them from becoming a "moving target." See CBS Interactive, Inc. v. Etilize, Inc., 257 F.R.D. 195, 201 (N.D. Cal. 2009). PersonalWeb's motion flies in the face of those requirements, with no good cause to justify any deviation from them. Allowing PersonalWeb to amend its infringement contentions now would also prejudice Amazon,<sup>2</sup> who relied on PersonalWeb's infringement contentions to develop defenses and claim construction positions. The Court should deny PersonalWeb's motion.

26 <sup>1</sup> PersonalWeb's claim that it first learned of Amazon's proposed constructions on March 12, 2019 is inaccurate. (See PersonalWeb's Motion for Leave to Amend ("Mot."), Dkt. 448 at 1, 5.) 27 <sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise stated, "Amazon" as used herein refers to Amazon.com, Inc. Amazon Web Services, Inc., and Twitch Interactive, Inc. 28

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.