

1 MICHAEL A. SHERMAN (SBN 94783)
 masherman@stubbsalderton.com
 2 JEFFREY F. GERSH (SBN 87124)
 jgersh@stubbsalderton.com
 3 SANDEEP SETH (SBN 195914)
 sseth@stubbsalderton.com
 4 WESLEY W. MONROE (SBN 149211)
 wmonroe@stubbsalderton.com
 5 STANLEY H. THOMPSON, JR. (SBN 198825)
 sthompson@stubbsalderton.com
 6 VIVIANA BOERO HEDRICK (SBN 239359)
 vhedrick@stubbsalderton.com
 7 **STUBBS, ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP**
 15260 Ventura Blvd., 20th Floor
 8 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403
 Telephone: (818) 444-4500
 9 Facsimile: (818) 444-4520

10 **Attorneys for PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC**
 [Additional Attorneys listed below]

11
 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION

15 IN RE PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES,
 16 LLC, ET., AL., PATENT LITIGATION

CASE NO.: 5:18-md-02834-BLF

17 AMAZON.COM, INC., et., al.,

Case No.: 5:18-cv-00767-BLF

18 Plaintiffs,

Case No.: 5:18-cv-05619-BLF

19 v.

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES,
 20 LLC'S AMENDED OPENING CLAIM
 21 CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

20 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
 21 et., al.,

22 Defendants.

23 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
 24 and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

24 Counterclaimants,

25 v.

26 AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON WEB
 27 SERVICES, INC.,

28 Counterdefendants.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, a
Texas limited liability company, and
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TWITCH INTERACTIVE, INC. a Delaware
corporation,

Defendant.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		
2		
3	I.	INTRODUCTION1
4	II.	DISPUTED CONSTRUCTIONS1
5	A.	“Unauthorized or unlicensed” (’310 at Claim 20)1
6	1.	This claim phrase does not need to be construed because their plain and ordinary meaning suffices.1
7	2.	Amazon’s proposal erroneously makes the term “unauthorized” synonymous with the term “unlicensed” contradicting the specification and prosecution history.4
8	3.	Collateral Estoppel Does Not Apply.....5
9		
10	B.	“Authorization” (’420 patent, claims 25, 166).....7
11	1.	This term does not need to be construed because its plain and ordinary meaning suffices.8
12	2.	Collateral Estoppel Does Not Apply.....9
13	C.	“the request including at least a content dependent name of a particular data item” (’310 at Claim 20)9
14	D.	“Content-dependent name” (’310, Claims 20, 69; ’420 patent, claim 25)12
15	1.	PersonalWeb’s construction flows from the claim language.....12
16	2.	PersonalWeb’s construction is consistent with the specification.13
17	E.	“Name for a data file” (’442 patent, claim 10)13
18	F.	“digital key for the particular file” / “file key for each particular file” (’544 patent, claims 46, 52)14
19	G.	“part” (’544 patent, claims 46, 52).....15
20	H.	“being based on a first function of the contents of the specific part” (’544 patent, claim 46).....17
21	1.	The Court should apply the plain and ordinary meaning of this term.17
22	2.	PersonalWeb’s alternative construction flows from the claim language...17
23	I.	“part value” (’544 patent, claims 46, 52)18
24	J.	“function of the one or more of part values” (’544 patent, claim 46).....18
25	1.	Only “part value” should be construed in this term.18
26	2.	Amazon’s proposed construction of the phrase improperly rewrites the claim.....19
27		
28		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Cases

<i>Exxon Chem. Patents, Inc. v. Lubrizol Corp.</i> , 64 F.3d 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	4
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	3
<i>Garcia v. United States</i> , 469 U.S. 70 (1984).....	4
<i>Gonzalez v. Infostream Group, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:14-cv-906-JRG-RSP, 2015 WL 5604448 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 21, 2015)	4
<i>Hydranautics v. FilmTec Corp.</i> , 204 F.3d 880 (9th Cir. 2000)	6
<i>IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 430 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	12
<i>Libel-Flarsheim</i> , 358 F.3d	13
<i>Mentor H/S, Inc. v. Med. Device Alliance, Inc.</i> , 244 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	3
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	4
<i>O2 Micro Int'l v. Beyond Innovation, Tech.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	3
<i>PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Int'l Bus. Machines Corp.</i> , No. 6:12-CV-659 (Dkt. 103), 2016 WL 922880 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2016).....	7,9
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	1
<i>Reiter v. Sonotone Corp.</i> , 442 U.S. 330 (1979).....	4, 5
<i>Thorner</i> , 669 F.3d	13
<i>United States Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc.</i> , 103 F.3d 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	3
<i>Wasica Fin. GmbH v. Cont'l Auto. Sys.</i> , 853 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Other Authorities

The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (5th Ed. 2019)2, 8,16

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.