

1 Michael A. Sherman (SBN 94783)  
 masherman@stubbsalderton.com  
 2 Jeffrey F. Gersh (SBN 87124)  
 jgersh@stubbsalderton.com  
 3 Sandeep Seth (SBN 195914)  
 sseth@stubbsalderton.com  
 4 Wesley W. Monroe (SBN 149211)  
 wmonroe@stubbsalderton.com  
 5 Stanley H. Thompson, Jr. (SBN 198825)  
 sthompson@stubbsalderton.com  
 6 Viviana Boero Hedrick (SBN 239359)  
 vhedrick@stubbsalderton.com  
 7 STUBBS, ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP  
 15260 Ventura Blvd., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 8 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403  
 Telephone: (818) 444-4500  
 9 Facsimile: (818) 444-4520

10 **Attorneys for PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC**  
 11 **and Level 3 Communications, LLC**

[Additional Attorneys listed below]

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION

15 IN RE PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES,  
 16 LLC, ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION

**CASE NO.: 5:18-md-02834-BLF**

17 AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.,

**Case No.: 5:18-cv-00767-BLF**

18 Plaintiffs,

**PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
 AND LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS,  
 LLC'S OPPOSITION TO AMAZON.COM,  
 INC. AND AMAZON WEB SERVICES,  
 INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
 JUDGMENT ON DECLARATORY  
 JUDGMENT CLAIMS AND DEFENSES  
 UNDER THE CLAIM PRECLUSION AND  
 KESSLER DOCTRINE**

19 v.

20 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, et  
 21 al.,

22 Defendants.

23 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
 24 and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

Date: February 7, 2019  
 Time: 2:00PM  
 Dept.: Courtroom 3, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Beth L. Freeman

25 Counterclaimants,

26 v.

27 AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON WEB  
 28 SERVICES, INC.,

Trial Date: March 16, 2020

Counterdefendants.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. INTRODUCTION**.....1

**II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**.....3

**A. What Amazon’s Motion is Not**.....3

**B. What the Texas Action Did Involve** .....4

**C. What is Asserted in the Counterclaim Against Amazon and the Twitch Complaint** .....6

**III. ARGUMENT**.....7

**A. Claim Preclusion Is Inapplicable to all of PersonalWeb’s Claims**.....7

**1. The Website Operators Are Not Legal Privies of Amazon**.....7

**2. This Case Does Not Involve the Same Transaction or Series of Transactions** .....11

**a) The Technology Here Is Not “Essentially the Same”** .....14

**b) At Most, Claim Preclusion Would Be Limited to Infringement Occurring Before April 6, 2012**.....15

**3. The Stipulation for Dismissal Belies Amazon’s Current Position**.....18

**B. Application of Kessler Requires a Prior Non-Infringement Judgment**.....19

**IV. CONCLUSION** .....20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Pages</b>
<i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> ,	
525 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	3, 7, 11, 12, 13
<i>Adaptix, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> ,	
No. 5:14-CV-01379-PSG, 2015 WL 4999944 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 21, 2015) .....	7, 8, 11, 12, 13
<i>Adobe Systems, Inc. v. Wowza Media Sys., LLC</i> ,	
72 F. Supp. 3d 989 (N.D. Cal. 2014).....	19
<i>Asetek Danmark A/S v. CMI USA Inc.</i> ,	
852 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	16
<i>Aspex Eyewear Inc. v. Marchon Eyewear, Inc.</i> ,	
672 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	15, 16, 17, 18
<i>Brain Life, LLC v. Elekta Inc.</i> ,	
746 F.3d 1045 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	8, 17, 19
<i>Computer Assocs. Int’l, Inc. v. Altai, Inc.</i> ,	
126 F.3d 365 (2d Cir. 1997) .....	16
<i>Concha v. London</i> ,	
62 F.3d 1493 (9th Cir. 1995) .....	18
<i>Curtis v. Citibank, N.A.</i> ,	
226 F.3d 133 (2d Cir. 2000) .....	16
<i>Dow Chems. Corp. v. NOVA Chems. Corp. (Can.)</i> ,	
803 F.3d 620 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	17
<i>Foster v. Hallco Mfg. Co., Inc.</i> ,	
947 F.2d 469 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....	12, 19
<i>Gillig v. Nike, Inc.</i> ,	
602 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	15, 16
<i>In re Piper Aircraft Corp.</i> ,	
244 F. 3d 1289 (11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001) .....	16

1 *Kessler v. Eldred*,  
 2 206 U.S. 285 (1907) ..... 7  
 3 *Larson v. General Motors Corp.*,  
 4 134 F.2d 450 (2d Cir. 1943) ..... 19  
 5 *Lawlor v. Nat’l Screen Serv. Corp.*,  
 6 349 U.S. 322, 75 S.Ct. 865, 99 L.Ed. 1122 (1955)..... 16  
 7 *Lyons v. Fire Ins. Exch.*,  
 8 161 Cal.App.4th 880 (2008) ..... 18  
 9 *Manning v. City of Auburn*,  
 10 953 F.2d 1355 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992) ..... 16  
 11 *Maples v. SolarWinds, Inc.*,  
 12 50 F. Supp. 3d 1221 (N.D. Cal. 2014)..... 18  
 13 *Mentor Graphics Corp. v. EVE-USA, Inc.*,  
 14 851 F.3d 1275 (Fed. Cir. 2017), cert. dismissed, 139 S. Ct. 44 (2018)..... 17  
 15 *Molinaro v. Am. Tel. & Tel. Co.*,  
 16 460 F. Supp. 673 (E.D. Pa. 1978), *aff’d sub nom. Molinaro, Appeal of*, 620 F.2d 288 (3d Cir.  
 17 1980)..... 19  
 18 *Nystrom v. Trex Co.*,  
 19 580 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2009) ..... 15, 17  
 20 *PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.*,  
 21 No. 6:11-cv-658 (E.D. Tex.)..... 15  
 22 *Schnitger v. Canoga Elecs. Corp.*,  
 23 462 F.2d, 628 (9th Cir. 1972) ..... 9  
 24 *Senju Pharm. Co. v. Apotex Inc.*,  
 25 746 F.3d 1344, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2014) ..... 12  
 26 *SimpleAir, Inc. v. Google LLC*,  
 27 884 F.3d 1160 (Fed. Cir. 2018) ..... 13  
 28 *Speedtrack Inc. v Office Depot, Inc.*,

1 No. C 07-3602 PJH, 2014 WL 1813292 (N.D. Cal. May 6, 2014) ..... 10

2 *Tech Licensing Corp. v. Thomson, Inc.*,

3 No. 2:03-1329 WBS PAN, 2010 WL 843560 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2010)..... 9

4 *Transclean Corp. v. Jiffy Lube Int’l, Inc.*,

5 474 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2007) ..... 8

6 *ViaTech Techs., Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation*,

7 No. 17-570-RGA, 2018 WL 4126522 (Del. Aug. 29, 2018)..... 11, 13

8 *Young Engineers, Inc. v. U.S. Int’l Trade Comm’n*,

9 721 F.2d 1305 (Fed. Cir. 1983) ..... 11, 13

10

11 *Other Authorities*

12 Restatement (Second) of Judgments §24 (Dimensions of “Claim” for Purposes of Merger or Bar–

13 General Rule Concerning “Splitting”) (1982) ..... 13, 14

14 Restatement (Second) of Judgments §26 (Exceptions to the General Rule Concerning Splitting)

15 (1982)..... 13, 17

16 *Treatises*

17 18A Fed. Prac. & Proc. Juris. §4443 On the Merits—Admissions, Stipulations, and Consent

18 Judgments (2d ed.)..... 18

19 18A Fed. Prac. & Proc. Juris. §4460 Commercial Relationships (2d ed.)..... 8

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.