Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 260 Filed 10/11/18 Page 1 of 12 1 Michael A. Sherman (SBN 94783) masherman@stubbsalderton.com Jeffrey F. Gersh (SBN 87124) jgersh@stubbsalderton.com Sandeep Seth (SBN 195914) sseth@stubbsalderton.com Wesley W. Monroe (SBN 149211) wmonroe@stubbsalderton.com 5 Stanley H. Thompson, Jr. (SBN 198825) sthompson@stubbsalderton.com Viviana Boero Hedrick (SBN 239359) vhedrick@stubbsalderton.com STUBBS, ALDERTON & MARKILES, LLP 15260 Ventura Blvd., 20th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (818) 444-4500 9 Facsimile: (818) 444-4520 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs [Additional Attorneys listed 11 below] UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION 15 IN RE PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, Case No.: 5:18-md-02834-BLF LLC, ET AL., PATENT LITIGATION 16 Magistrate Judge Hon. Beth L. Freeman 17 PLAINTIFFS PERSONALWEB 18 Related Cases: TECHNOLOGIES, LLC AND LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC NOTICE OF PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC, et al., v. 19 MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO LESSON NINE GMBH, a Germany Limited EXTEND PERIOD OF SERVICE TO **DEFENDANTS NUNC PRO TUNC;** 20 Liability Company, Case No.: 5:18-CV-03453-BLFMEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF 21 PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC, et al., v. 22 MWM MY WEDDING MATCH LTD., a Canada [Declaration of Viviana Boero Hedrick and limited company, Case No.: 5:18-CV-03457-Proposed Order filed concurrently herewith] 23 BLFDATE: March 7, 2019 TIME: 9:00 AM 24 PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC, et al., v. OUR PLACE: Courtroom 3, 5TH Floor FILM FESTIVAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, 25 doing business as FANDOR, INC., Case No., 280 South First Street 5:18-CV-00159-BLF San Jose, CA 95113 26 PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC, et al., v.



27

28

PAYPAL, INC. a Delaware Corporation, Case

No.: 5:18-Cv-00177-BLF

Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 260 Filed 10/11/18 Page 2 of 12 PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC, et al., v. UNDER ARMOUR, INC. A Maryland Corporation: Case No.: 5:18-Cv-00166-BLF PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC, et al., v. YOTPO LTD., An Israel Corporation Case No.: 5:18-Cv-03452-BLF



TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to the Court's Case Management Order No. 1 (Dkt 157) (the "Order"), Plaintiffs PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC ("PersonalWeb") and Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3") (collectively, "Plaintiffs" or "PersonalWeb") will, and hereby do move for an order granting this Motion for Leave to Extend Period of Service on Defendants *Nunc Pro Tunc*. The Motion will be based on this Notice and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities below, the Declaration of Viviana Boero Hedrick, and the [Proposed] Order.

In the Order, the Court ruled that PersonalWeb "may request leave to extend the 90-day period to serve those defendants not yet served, in those cases where the 90-day period has expired" (Dkt 157). PersonalWeb seeks an additional 90-days to effectuate service on Defendants Our Film Festival, Inc. ("Our Film Festival," formerly erroneously sued under its dba name as "Fandor, Inc."), PayPal, Inc. ("PayPal," formerly erroneously sued by one of its brand names, "Venmo, Inc." which is wholly owned by PayPal, Inc.), and Under Armour, Inc. ("Under Armour," formerly erroneously sued under MyFitnessPal, Inc., an entity that was merged into and is owned by Under Armour, Inc.). Additional time is needed to serve the aforementioned defendants because they were inadvertently sued under the incorrect entity name, and PersonalWeb needed to amend the operative pleadings to sue the correct respective entities, but PersonalWeb was unable to file amended complaints to serve these Defendants due to the litigation stay then in place.

PersonalWeb likewise seeks an additional 90-days to effectuate service on the following three international defendants for which service must be accomplished abroad in compliance with the Hague Convention: LessonNine GmbH ("Lesson Nine"), a German corporation that as of October 4, 2018 is represented by Fenwick and West, counsel for Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon Web Services, Inc. (collectively, "Amazon") and numerous website operator defendants; Yotpo, Inc. ("Yotpo"), an Israeli corporation that is also represented by Fenwick and West, but for which Fenwick and West has refused to accept service; and MWM My Wedding Match, Inc. ("My Wedding Match"), a Canadian corporation. PersonalWeb has encountered difficulty effectuating service on these three foreign

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXTEND PERIOD OF SERVICE TO DEFENDANTS NUNC PRO TUNC

5:18-MD-02834-BLF RELATED CASES



Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 260 Filed 10/11/18 Page 4 of 12

entities given their international status. Additional time is needed to serve these three foreign defendants due to the time-consuming and complex nature of serving corporate entities abroad.

For the reasons set forth above, and in further detail below, PersonalWeb respectfully requests that the Court grant it leave to extend the 90-day period *nunc pro tunc* to give PersonalWeb an additional 90-days from October 4, 2018, the date PersonalWeb filed all of its amended complaints, including its First Amended Complaint against Our Film Festival, PayPal and Under Armour, and its Second Amended Complaint against Lesson Nine, Yotpo and My Wedding Match, to give PersonalWeb sufficient time to serve these defendants.

I. MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

PersonalWeb encountered difficulty serving six defendants that are party to this multidistrict litigation, to wit, Our Film Festival, PayPal, Under Armour, and foreign defendants Lesson Nine, Yotpo and My Wedding Match. For the reasons articulated below, and supported by the attached Declaration of Viviana Boero Hedrick, PersonalWeb has been unable to serve the following six Defendants with their respective operative amended complaint for patent infringement:

Our Film Festival, PayPal and Under Armour

On January 8, 2018, PersonalWeb filed separate Complaints for Patent Infringement against Fandor, Inc., Venmo, Inc. and MyFitnessPal, Inc. Due to facts that emerged post-filing of these complaints, PersonalWeb learned that it had sued the incorrect entities.

Specifically, on March 1, 2018, Ryan Hubbard of Kirkland & Ellis LLP informed PersonalWeb that Fandor Inc. was not the operator of the infringing website at issue, fandor.com, but instead that Fandor, Inc. was a dba for Our Film Festival, the actual entity that owns and operates fandor.com. Mr. Hubbard asserted that he would not accept service until the entity was corrected on the summons and complaint. On April 6, 2018, Michael A. Sherman, counsel for PersonalWeb, sent an email to Mr.

28 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXTEND PERIOD OF SERVICE TO DEFENDANTS NUNC PRO TUNC

5:18-MD-02834-BLF RELATED CASES



Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 260 Filed 10/11/18 Page 5 of 12

Hubbard stating that PersonalWeb would be amending the complaint and causing a new summons to be issued.

During this time, PersonalWeb conducted a good faith investigation to not only amend the complaint against Fandor, Inc. to name the correct entity, but to also make additional amendments to further plead the infringement against this defendant. During this time, PersonalWeb conducted further investigation into other actions, and learned that PayPal, Inc., and not the entity sued, Venmo, Inc., was the true and correct owner and operator of the infringing website venmo.com. Similarly, PersonalWeb discovered that MyFitnessPal had merged into Under Armour, and that Under Armour was the correct owner and operator of the infringing website, myfitnesspal.com.

On April 27, 2018, the court ordered stayed fourteen (14) underlying actions in which the defendant filed a motion to stay until the June 7, 2018 hearing on PersonalWeb's then motion to dismiss Amazon's First Amended Complaint (*Amazon.com, Inc. et al. v. PersonalWeb Tech., LLC et al., Case No. 5:18-cv-00767-BLF (N.D. Cal.*)). Thereafter, the Court set a Preliminary Case Management Conference via an order issued on June 18, 2018 (Dkt. 19). However, on July 13, 2018, due to a scheduling conflict by Defense Counsel, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuing the Preliminary Case Management Conference and Extending the Stay of Proceedings (Dkt 26). Therein, among other things, the parties agreed to stay all of the actions pending against the website operator defendants until the rescheduled date for the Preliminary Case Management Conference, which was ultimately set for September 20, 2018.

As a result of the stay put in place in July as to these actions, PersonalWeb was unable to file the amended complaints needed to sue the correct entities, and as such, was unable to serve these three Defendants. PersonalWeb therefore respectfully requests that it be given an additional 90-days from

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXTEND PERIOD OF SERVICE TO DEFENDANTS NUNC PRO TUNC

5:18-MD-02834-BLF RELATED CASES



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

