	Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF D	ocument 192	Filed 10/04/18	Page 1 of 20	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Michael A. Sherman (SBN 94783) masherman@stubbsalderton.com Jeffrey F. Gersh (SBN 87124) jgersh@stubbsalderton.com Sandeep Seth (SBN 195914) sseth@stubbsalderton.com Wesley W. Monroe (SBN 149211) wmonroe@stubbsalderton.com Stanley H. Thompson, Jr. (SBN 1985 sthompson@stubbsalderton.com Viviana Boero Hedrick (SBN 23935 vhedrick@stubbsalderton.com STUBBS, ALDERTON & MARKII 15260 Ventura Blvd., 20 th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (818) 444-4500 Facsimile: (818) 444-4520	9)			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs [Additional Attorneys listed				
11	below]				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14		SAN JOSE D	IVISION		
15	IN RE PERSONALWEB TECHNO LLC, ET AL., PATENT LITIGAT	/	CASE NO.: 5:18	-md-02834-BLF	
16			FIRST AMEND	ED COMPLAINT	
17			DEMAND FOR	JURY TRIAL	
18			G N 5 10		
19 20	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGI Texas limited liability company, an LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, I	d	Case No.: 5:18-c	v-06046-BLF	
20 21	a Delaware limited liability compar				
21 22	Plaintiffs,				
22	v.				
24	BUZZFEED, INC., a Delaware cor	poration,			
25	Defendant.				
26					
27					
28					

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Plaintiff PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "PersonalWeb") files this First
 Amended Complaint ("Complaint") for patent infringement against Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc.
 ("Defendant"). Plaintiff PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC alleges:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

PersonalWeb and Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3") are parties to an
 agreement between Kinetech, Inc. and Digital Island, Inc. dated September 1, 2000 (the "Agreement").
 Pursuant to the Agreement, PersonalWeb and Level 3 each own a fifty percent (50%) undivided
 interest in and to the patents at issue in this action: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,928,442, 7,802,310, and
 8,099,420 ("Patents-in-Suit"). Level 3 has joined in this Complaint pursuant to its contractual
 obligations under the Agreement, at the request of PersonalWeb.

Pursuant to the Agreement, Level 3 has, among other rights, certain defined rights to
 use, practice, license, sublicense and enforce and/or litigate the Patents-in-Suit in connection with a
 particular field of use ("Level 3 Exclusive Field"). Pursuant to the Agreement PersonalWeb has,
 among other rights, certain defined rights to use, practice, license, sublicense, enforce and/or litigate
 the Patents-in-Suit in fields other than the Level 3 Exclusive Field (the "PersonalWeb Patent Field").

17 3. All infringement allegations, statements describing PersonalWeb, statements 18 describing any Defendant (or any Defendant's products) and any statements made regarding 19 jurisdiction and venue are made by PersonalWeb alone, and not by Level 3. PersonalWeb alleges that 20 the infringements at issue in this case all occur within, and are limited to, the PersonalWeb Patent 21 Field. Accordingly, PersonalWeb has not provided notice to Level 3—under Section 6.4.1 of the 22 Agreement or otherwise—that PersonalWeb desires to bring suit in the Level 3 Exclusive Field in its 23 own name on its own behalf or that PersonalWeb knows or suspects that Defendant is infringing or 24 has infringed any of Level 3's rights in the patents.

25

4

5

- 26
- 27 28

Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF	Document 192	Filed 10/04/18	Page 3 of 20
------------------------	--------------	----------------	--------------

and existing under the laws of Texas with its principal place of business at 112 E. Line Street, Suite 204, Tyler, TX 75702. 5 Plaintiff Level 3 Communications, LLC is a limited liability company organized under 6 the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 100 CenturyLink Drive, Monroe: 7 Louisiana, 71203. 6 PersonalWeb's infringement claims asserted in this case are asserted by PersonalWeb 9 and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement 10 in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 7 Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation 18 having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18 th 13 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. 14 IURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 19 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief 20 Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 11 has a regula	1		THE PARTIES			
4 204, Tyler, TX 75702. 5 5 6 1 6 the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 100 CenturyLink Drive, Monroe 7 Louisiana, 71203. 8 6 PersonalWeb's infringement claims asserted in this case are asserted by PersonalWeb 9 and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement 10 in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 11 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation 10 having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18 ^d 13 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. 14 15 IURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 17 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 18 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief 20 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of N	2	4.]	Plaintiff PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC is a limited liability company duly organized			
5 5. Plaintiff Level 3 Communications, LLC is a limited liability company organized under 6 the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 100 CenturyLink Drive, Monroe 7 Louisiana, 71203. 8 6. PersonalWeb's infringement claims asserted in this case are asserted by PersonalWeb 9 and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement 10 in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 11 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation 12 having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18 th 13 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. 14 15 15 IURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 17 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 18 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. 20 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed	3	and existing under the laws of Texas with its principal place of business at 112 E. Line Street, Suite				
6 the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 100 CenturyLink Drive, Monroe, Louisiana, 71203. 7 Louisiana, 71203. 8 6. PersonalWeb's infringement claims asserted in this case are asserted by PersonalWeb and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 10 in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 11 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18 ^d 13 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. 14 15 15 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 17 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 18 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief 20 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed 21 acts of infringement in such District. 22 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because	4	204, Tyler, TX	75702.			
7 Louisiana, 71203. 8 6. PersonalWeb's infringement claims asserted in this case are asserted by PersonalWeb's and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 11 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18 th 13 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. 14 15 15 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 19 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. 10 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 11 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this 13 District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 12 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities	5	5.]	Plaintiff Level 3 Communications, LLC is a limited liability company organized under			
8 6. PersonalWeb's infringement claims asserted in this case are asserted by PersonalWeb 9 and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement 10 in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 11 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation 12 having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18 ^d 13 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. 14 15 15 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 17 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 18 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. 20 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed 21 acts of infringement in such District. 22 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this 23 District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursu	6	the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business at 100 CenturyLink Drive, Monroe,				
 and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18^d Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. <i>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</i> 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et seq</i>. 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	7	Louisiana, 71203.				
 in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant. 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18^d Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. <i>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</i> 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et seq.</i> 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	8	6.]	PersonalWeb's infringement claims asserted in this case are asserted by PersonalWeb			
11 7. Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation 12 having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18 th 13 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. 14 15 15 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 17 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et seq</i> . 18 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. 20 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed 21 acts of infringement in such District. 22 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this 23 District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant 24 to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 25 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the 26 allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southerr 27 <t< td=""><td>9</td><td colspan="4">and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement</td></t<>	9	and all fall outside the Level 3 Exclusive Field. Level 3 is currently not asserting patent infringement				
 having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18^d Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. <i>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</i> 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et seq</i>. 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	10	in this case in the Level 3 Exclusive Field against any Defendant.				
 Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et seq.</i> 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	11	7.]	Defendant Buzzfeed, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation			
1415JURISDICTION AND VENUE168. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a)17because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.189. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and191400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief.20has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed21acts of infringement in such District.2210. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this23District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant2411. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant is domiciled in the Southern2511. This court has personal jurisdiction and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern26District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities	12	having a principal place of business and regular and established place of business at 111 East 18th				
15JURISDICTION AND VENUE168. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a)17because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.189. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and191400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief.20has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed21acts of infringement in such District.2210. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this23District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant24to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.2511. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the26allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern27District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities	13	Street, 13th Floor, New York, New York 10003.				
 16 8. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) 17 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et seq.</i> 18 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. 20 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed 21 acts of infringement in such District. 22 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this 23 District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant 24 to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 25 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the 26 allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	14					
 because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et seq.</i> 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern 27 District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	15		JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
 9. Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)–(c) and 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief, has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern 27 District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	16	8.	The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a)			
 19 1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief. 20 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed 21 acts of infringement in such District. 22 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this 23 District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant 24 to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 25 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the 26 allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern 27 District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	17	because this act	tion arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq.			
 has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	18	9.	Venue is proper in this federal district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and			
 acts of infringement in such District. 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	19	1400(b) because Defendant is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and on information and belief,				
 10. Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	20	has a regular and established place of business in the Southern District of New York and has committed				
 23 District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant 24 to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 25 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the 26 allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern 27 District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	21	acts of infringement in such District.				
 to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	22	10.	Venue is also proper in this Court because this action has been transferred to this			
 25 11. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the 26 allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern 27 District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	23	District by the J	Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant			
 allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities 	24	to 28 U.S.C. § 2	1407.			
27 District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities	25	11. 7	This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, in addition to the			
	26	allegations in above paragraphs, on information and belief, Defendant is domiciled in the Southern				
28	27	District of New York. Further, on information and belief, Defendant purposefully directed activities				
	28					

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. at residents of New York, the claims herein arise out of and relate to those activities, and assertion of
 personal jurisdiction over Defendant would be fair.

3 12. On information and belief, Defendant is subject to this Court's jurisdiction because this
4 action has been transferred to this District by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for
5 consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

- 6
- 7

PERSONALWEB BACKGROUND

8 13. The Patents-in-Suit cover fundamental aspects of cloud computing, including the
9 identification of files or data and the efficient retrieval thereof in a manner which reduces bandwidth
10 transmission and storage requirements.

11 14. The ability to reliably identify and access specific data is essential to any computer 12 system or network. On a single computer or within a small network, the task is relatively easy: simply 13 name the file, identify it by that name and its stored location on the computer or within the network, 14 and access it by name and location. Early operating systems facilitated this approach with standardized 15 naming conventions, storage device identifiers, and folder structures.

16 15. Ronald Lachman and David Farber, the inventors of the Patents-in-Suit, recognized 17 that the conventional approach for naming, locating, and accessing data in computer networks could 18 not keep pace with ever-expanding, global data processing networks. New distributed storage systems 19 use files that are stored across different devices in dispersed geographic locations. These different 20 locations could use dissimilar conventions for identifying storage devices and data partitions. 21 Likewise, different users could give identical names to different files or parts of files—or unknowingly 22 give different names to identical files. No solution existed to ensure that identical file names referred 23 to the same data, and conversely, that different file names referred to different data. As a result, 24 expanding networks could not only become clogged with duplicate data, they also made locating and 25 controlling access to stored data more difficult.

Lachman and Farber developed a solution: replacing conventional naming and storing
conventions with system-wide "substantially unique," content-based identifiers. Their approach
assigned substantially unique identifiers to "data items" of any type: "the contents of a file, a portion

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 5:18-md-02834-BLF Document 192 Filed 10/04/18 Page 5 of 20

of a file, a page in memory, an object in an object-oriented program, a digital message, a digital
 scanned image, a part of a video or audio signal, or any other entity which can be represented by a
 sequence of bits." Applied system-wide, this invention would permit any data item to be stored,
 located, managed, synchronized, and accessed using its content-based identifier.

5 17. To create a substantially unique, content-based identifier, Lachman and Farber turned 6 to cryptography. Cryptographic hash functions, including MD4, MD5, and SHA, had been used in 7 computer systems to verify the integrity of retrieved data—a so-called "checksum." Lachman and 8 Farber recognized that these same hash functions could be devoted to a vital new purpose: if a 9 cryptographic hash function was applied to a sequence of bits (a "data item"), it would produce a 10 substantially unique result value, one that: (1) virtually guarantees a different result value if the data 11 item is changed; (2) is computationally difficult to reproduce with a different sequence of bits; and 12 (3) cannot be used to recreate the original sequence of bits.

13 18. These cryptographic hash functions would thus assign any sequence of bits, based on
14 content alone, with a substantially unique identifier. Lachman and Farber estimated that the odds of
15 these hash functions producing the same identifier for two different sequences of bits (i.e., the
16 "probability of collision") would be about 1 in 2 to the 29th power. Lachman and Farber dubbed their
17 content-based identifier a "True Name."

18 19. Using a True Name, Lachman and Farber conceived various data structures and 19 methods for managing data (each data item correlated with a single True Name) within a network-20 no matter the complexity of the data or the network. These data structures provide a key-map 21 organization, allowing for a rapid identification of any particular data item anywhere in a network by 22 comparing a True Name for the data item against other True Names for data items already in the 23 network. In operation, managing data using True Names allows a user to determine the location of 24 any data in a network, determine whether access is authorized, and to selectively provide access to 25 specific content not possible using the conventional naming arts.

26 20. On April 11, 1995, Lachman and Farber filed their patent application, describing these
27 and other ways in which content-based "True Names" elevated data-processing systems over
28 conventional file-naming systems. The first True Name patent issued on November 2, 1999. The last

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.