

1 Sarah G. Hartman (Cal. Bar No. 281751)  
2 shartman@brownrudnick.com  
3 Peter Lambrianakos (*pro hac vice*)  
4 plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com  
5 Vincent J. Rubino, III (*pro hac vice*)  
6 vrubino@brownrudnick.com  
7 Brown Rudnick LLP  
8 7 Times Square  
9 New York, NY 10036  
10 Telephone: (212) 209-4800  
11 Facsimile: (212) 209-4801

12  
13 Arjun Sivakumar (Cal. Bar No. 297787)  
14 asivakumar@brownrudnick.com  
15 Brown Rudnick LLP  
16 2211 Michelson Drive, Seventh Floor  
17 Irvine, California 92612  
18 Telephone: (949) 752-7100  
19 Facsimile: (949) 252-1514

20  
21 Attorneys for Defendant  
22 AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC

23  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
25 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
26 OAKLAND DIVISION

27 ZTE (USA) INC.,

28 Plaintiff,

v.

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,  
et al.

Defendants.

Case No. 18-cv-06185-HSG

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR  
SANCTIONS**

Hearing Date: June 6, 2019  
Time: 2:00 p.m. PST  
Trial Date: None set

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page(s)
I. ZTE HAS FILED FRIVOLOUS COMPLAINTS IN VIOLATION OF RULE 11 .....	1
A. ZTE's New Imputation Theory Fails and Cannot Save ZTE from Sanctions .....	2
B. ZTE Lacked a Good Faith Basis to Assert General Jurisdiction over AGIS Software .....	6
C. ZTE Lacked A Good Faith Basis To Assert Specific Jurisdiction Over AGIS Software .....	8
II. ZTE SHOULD BE SANCTIONED FOR ITS RULE 11 VIOLATIONS .....	13
III. ZTE'S REQUEST FOR FEES AGAINST AGIS SOFTWARE MUST BE DENIED ....	14
IV. CONCLUSION.....	15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>3D Sys., Inc. v. Aarotech Labs., Inc.</i> , 160 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	3
<i>Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Tejas Research, LLC</i> , 2014 WL 4651654 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....	9, 10
<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 2721826 (E.D. Tex. 2018) .....	2, 4
<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. HTC Corp.</i> , 2018 WL 4680557 (E.D. Tex. 2018) .....	5
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , No. 2018-151 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	5
<i>AU Optronics Corp. Am. v. Vista Peak Ventures, LLC</i> , 2019 WL 690282 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Autonomy, Inc. v. Adiscov, LLC</i> , 2011 WL 2175551 (N.D. Cal. 2011) .....	10
<i>Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten Int'l Co., Ltd.</i> , 552 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	6, 9, 10
<i>Biogenex Labs. v. Sentara Healthcare</i> , 2010 WL 889282 (N.D. Cal. 2010) .....	8
<i>Brown v. Stroud</i> , 2014 WL 1308342 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....	14
<i>Cambrian Sci. Corp. v. Cox Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 79 F. Supp. 3d 111 (C.D. Cal. 2015) .....	15
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> , 134 S. Ct. 746 (2014).....	7
<i>Dainippon Screen Mfg. Co. v. CFMT, Inc.</i> , 142 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	4, 5, 6
<i>Doe v. Unocal Corp.</i> , 248 F.3d 915 (9th Cir. 2001) .....	3
<i>Fid. Nat'l Info. Servs., Inc. v. Plano Encryption Techs., LLC</i> , 2016 WL 1650763 (D. Del. 2016) .....	5

1	<i>G.C. &amp; K.B. Invest., Inc. v. Wilson,</i> 326 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 2003) .....	8, 11
2	<i>Gallagher v. U.S.,</i> 2017 WL 4390172 (N.D. Cal. 2017) .....	9, 10
4	<i>Gametek LLC v. Zynga, Inc.,</i> 2014 WL 4351414 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....	14
5	<i>Global Hawk Ins. Co. v. Vega,</i> 2015 WL 7720801 (N.D. Cal. 2015) .....	8
7	<i>Golden Eagle Distrib. Corp. v. Burroughs Corp.,</i> 801 F.2d 1531 (9th Cir. 1986) .....	12
9	<i>Google Inc. v. Rockstar Consortium U.S. LP,</i> 2014 WL 1571807 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....	4, 5, 6
10	<i>Holgate v. Baldwin,</i> 425 F.3d 671 (9th Cir. 2005) .....	3, 8, 11, 12
12	<i>ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.,</i> 2007 WL 6137003 (C.D. Cal. 2007).....	7, 12
14	<i>Inland Steel Co. v. LTV Steel Co.,</i> 364 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	14
15	<i>Invisible Fence, Inc. v. Fido's Fences, Inc.,</i> 687 F. Supp. 2d 726 (E.D. Tenn. 2009).....	9
17	<i>Jack Henry &amp; Assocs., Inc. v. Plano Encryption Techs. LLC,</i> 910 F.3d 1199 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	10
19	<i>In re Keegan Mgmt. Co., Sec. Litig.,</i> 78 F.3d 431 (9th Cir. 1996) .....	12
20	<i>Keister v. PPL Corp.,</i> 318 F.R.D. 247 (M.D. Pa. 2015).....	11
22	<i>Klayman v. Deluca,</i> 2015 WL 427907 (N.D. Cal. 2015) .....	9
24	<i>Kyocera Int'l, Inc. v. Semcon IP, Inc.,</i> 2018 WL 5112056 (S.D. Cal. 2018).....	2, 9, 10
25	<i>Les Shockley Racing, Inc. v. Nat'l Hot Rod Assoc.,</i> 884 F.2d 504 (9th Cir. 1989) .....	12
27	<i>In re LG Elecs. Inc.,</i> No. 2019-107 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	5
28		

1	<i>Life360, Inc. v. Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc.,</i> 2015 WL 5612008 (S.D. Fla. 2015) .....	7
2	<i>Love v. The Mail on Sunday,</i> 2006 WL 4046169 (C.D. Cal. 2006).....	8, 11, 13
4	<i>Misa Mfg., Inc. v. Pac. Egg &amp; Poultry Assn.,</i> 1987 WL 119913 (C.D. Cal. 1987).....	8, 12, 13
5	<i>Mitchell v. Reg'l Serv. Corp.,</i> 2014 WL 12607809 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....	8, 11, 13
7	<i>Monolithic Power Sys., Inc. v. O2 Micro Intern. Ltd.,</i> 726 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	15
9	<i>NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc. v. Brevets,</i> 2014 WL 4621017 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....	6
10	<i>Ordonez v. Hunt &amp; Henriques, Inc.,</i> 2017 WL 3537325 (E.D. Cal. 2017).....	13
12	<i>Pistoresi v. Madera Irrigation Dist.,</i> 2008 WL 5070051 (E.D. Cal. 2008).....	12
14	<i>Potter v. Bank of Am., N.A.,</i> 2013 WL 2558183 (D. Nev. 2013) .....	13
15	<i>Provencio v. Vasquez,</i> 258 F.R.D. 626 (E.D. Cal. 2009) .....	3
17	<i>Radio Sys. Corp. v. Accession, Inc.,</i> 638 F.3d 785 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	6, 9, 10, 11
19	<i>Republic of Kazakhstan v. Ketebaev,</i> 2018 WL 2763308 (N.D. Cal. 2018) .....	7
20	<i>RxHeat LLC v. Thermapure, Inc.,</i> 2011 WL 998158 (E.D. Mo. 2011).....	6
22	<i>Sec. &amp; Exch. Comm'n v. Jammin Java Corp.,</i> 2016 WL 6595133 (C.D. Cal. 2016).....	3
24	<i>Slot Speaker Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,</i> 2019 WL 315994 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	14
25	<i>Stewart v. Screen Gems-EMI Music, Inc.,</i> 81 F. Supp. 3d 938, 954 (N.D. Cal. 2015) .....	3
27	<i>Truesdell v. S. Cal. Permanente Med. Grp.,</i> 209 F.R.D. 169 (C.D. Cal. 2002).....	8, 11, 12, 13
28		

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.