# EXHIBIT 35

### Pages 1 - 41

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Virginia K. DeMarchi, Magistrate Judge

FINJAN, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS. NO. C 17-04467 BLF (VKD)

SONICWALL, INC.,

Defendant. )

San Jose, California Tuesday, August 18, 2020

### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BY ZOOM WEBINAR

### APPEARANCES BY ZOOM WEBINAR:

For Plaintiff:

KRAMER, LEVIN, NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

990 Marsh Road

Menlo Park, California 94025

BY: PAUL J. ANDRE, ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant:

DUANE MORRIS LLP

1075 Peachtree Street - Suite 200

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

BY: ROBIN L. MCGRATH, ATTORNEY AT LAW

ALICE E. SNEDEKER, ATTORNEY AT LAW

Reported By: Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR, FCRR

Official Reporter



### Tuesday - August 18, 2020 1 11:02 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 THE CLERK: Calling the matter of Finjan versus 4 5 SonicWall, Case Number 17-cv-4467. 6 THE COURT: May I have appearances, please, starting with plaintiff. 7 For plaintiff Finjan, Paul Andre. 8 MR. ANDRE: Okay. Good morning. 9 THE COURT: And for defendant? 10 11 MS. McGRATH: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Robin McGrath on behalf of SonicWall. 12 MS. SNEDEKER: Alice Snedeker on behalf of SonicWall. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning to you both. 14 15 Ms. McGrath, your audio is a little bit quiet so I don't 16 know if you can maybe get a little bit closer to the microphone 17 when you speak. That would be helpful. 18 MS. McGRATH: I will do my best. THE COURT: Okay. 19 Thank you. 20 So we are here on two disputes. The first is at 21 Docket 276, and that's a dispute concerning certain of Finjan's 22 requests for admissions and SonicWall's responses to those 23 requests; and second is Document Number 277 concerning 24 SonicWall's identification of its patent portfolio and 25 supplemental initial disclosures served on the last day of



discovery.

So both of these are styled as sanctions motions and, as you may know, my standing order does not permit the use of a discovery letter brief for sanctions motions. It's supposed to be a regularly noticed motion.

This rule is principally for the parties' benefit because often sanctions motions cannot be fairly briefed and dealt with in the abbreviated expedited procedure that I use for discovery disputes. There's not an opportunity for declarations or any of those things.

But it appears you-all have agreed to handle these disputes in the discovery letter way, and I am happy to go along with that in this situation in the sense that I think that at least the expedited procedure for discovery disputes can be used to resolve the initial question, which is: Has there been a discovery violation?

And so that's how I intend to proceed with this hearing, is just using what you've done so far to address that initial question. And then we can talk about if there's more -- if there's something further that needs to be done, what the most appropriate format is for that because, as I said, I'd like to make sure that it's fair to all parties, and that's the reason that I have that provision in my standing order for sanctions matters.

Okay. So I'd like to start with the second matter first,



Docket Number 277, which is the dispute about the supplemental initial disclosures, and I'd like to start with a few questions for Finjan.

So, first of all, Rule 26(a), as you know, permits a party to describe its documents or to produce them, and those are documents that a party may use in support of the claim or defense. So I understand that the documents that comprise SonicWall's patent portfolio have been produced -- were produced at some point to Finjan, and so I want to understand, first of all, why that production is not sufficient to satisfy Rule 26(a).

MR. ANDRE: Well, they were produced along with 150,000 other documents, and the reason it doesn't satisfy the rule is because they didn't identify them as any relevance. We asked in an interrogatory response to identify all their bases for their affirmative defenses. They didn't identify those documents. They had to list their prior art. In their election of prior art, they didn't identify any of those documents.

And so those documents were produced. As in a lot of these patent cases, Your Honor is quite aware, many times there's a lot of irrelevant documents produced. So we just assumed they were documents they produced and they were not relevant to anything in the case.

And then on the very last day of discovery, they seem to



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

