

DUANE MORRIS LLP
D. Stuart Bartow (CA SBN 233107)
dsbartow@duanemorris.com
Nicole E. Grigg (CA SBN 307733)
negrigg@duanemorris.com
2475 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1194
Telephone: 650.847.4150
Facsimile: 650.847.4151

DUANE MORRIS LLP
Joseph A. Powers (PA SBN 84590)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
japowers@duanemorris.com
Jarrad M. Gunther (PA SBN 207038)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
jmgunther@duanemorris.com
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: 215.979.1000
Facsimile: 215.979.1020

Attorneys for Defendant
SONICWALL INC.

DUANE MORRIS LLP
Matthew C. Gaudet (GA SBN 287789)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
mcgaudet@duanemorris.com
John R. Gibson (GA SBN 454507)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
jrgibson@duanemorris.com
Robin L. McGrath (GA SBN 493115)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
rlmcgrath@duanemorris.com
David C. Dotson (GA SBN 138040)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
dcdotson@duanemorris.com
Jennifer H. Forte (GA SBN 940650)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
jhforte@duanemorris.com
1075 Peachtree NE, Suite 2000
Atlanta, GA 30309
Telephone: 404.253.6900
Facsimile: 404.253.6901

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

FINJAN, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability
Company,

Plaintiff,

v.

SONICWALL INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No.: 5:17-cv-04467-BLF-VKD

**SONICWALL INC.'S RESPONSE TO
FINJAN'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 4 TO
PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OR TESTIMONY
REGARDING MR. TOUBOUL'S
REPLACEMENT AS CEO OF FINJAN**

Date: March 18, 2021
Time: 1:30 PM
Courtroom: 3, 5th Floor
Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

REDACTED

TABLE OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS¹

Excerpts from August 6, 2019 Deposition Transcript of Shlomo Touboul, <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , Case No. 17-cv-72-BLF (N.D. Cal)	Ex. 40
August 1, 2005 Email from Asher Polani regarding Termination Letters, marked as Polani Deposition Ex. 13	Ex. 46
October 8, 2005 Email from Asher Polani regarding Finjan Transition Status – Snap Shot (October 8 th 2005), marked as Polani Deposition Ex. 14	Ex. 47
January 1, 2012 Consulting Agreement entered into between FI Delaware, Inc. and Shlomo Touboul, marked as Touboul Deposition Ex. 31	Ex. 48
April 11, 2006 Email from Asher Polani regarding Yahoo! Finance Story – Aladdin Granted Important U.S. Patent for Proactive Protection Against Spyware and Viruses, marked as Polani Deposition Ex. 4	Ex. 49
Excerpts from August 5, 2019 Deposition Transcript of Asher Polani, <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , Case No. 17-cv-72-BLF (N.D. Cal)	Ex. 50
Frequently Asked Questions for Sales, marked as Polani Deposition Ex. 7	Ex. 51
Excerpts from January 8, 2018 Trial Transcript, <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. CV-15-03295-BLF (N.D. Cal)	Ex. 52
Excerpts from October 31, 2017 Trial Transcript, <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc.</i> , Case No. CV-15-03295-BLF (N.D. Cal)	Ex. 53
Excerpts from the February 25, 2019 Deposition of Philip Hartstein, , <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , Case No. 17-cv-72-BLF (N.D. Cal)	Ex. 54

¹ All exhibits are attached to the Declaration of Jarrad M. Gunther.

1 Finjan’s Motion *in Limine* (“MIL”) No. 4 seeks to allow Finjan to tell a one-sided version of
2 its history. Finjan’s effort should be rejected for multiple reasons.

3 **A. Finjan Cannot Cherry-Pick The Facts Of Its Corporate History**

4 As this Court has seen multiple times, Finjan’s story to juries is that Finjan’s founder – Shlomo
5 Touboul – was a “visionary” and a genius, that he invented behavioral-based security, that Finjan
6 thus invested \$70 million in research and development to bring its patented technology to market,
7 that the only marketplace obstacle Finjan could not overcome was the financial crisis of 2008, and
8 that Mr. Touboul remains a key technical consultant to the company and is the origin of the
9 company’s current mobile app (called InvinciBull).

10 That story is highly misleading. Evidence uncovered since those first few Finjan trials before
11 this Court shows that [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED] to
13 testify in line with the image Finjan would like to project to juries.

14 Specifically, Mr. Touboul now admits that [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED].” Ex. 40, at 176:6-176:9).
16 Finjan’s next CEO (Asher Polani) then alerted the Board that “Shlomo will, for any reason, sue the
17 company. . .” and that “his attitude caused and is causing damages to the company on a continues
18 [sic] basis” Ex. 46. Two months later, Mr. Polani told the Board that Kenyon & Co. (then
19 Finjan’s outside counsel) advised that Finjan should reconcile with Mr. Touboul because [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]” Ex. 47. (emphasis in original). Following that
22 realization, Finjan [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]. Ex. 40 at
24 259:17-24. [REDACTED]. Ex. 48 at Section 2.1
25 and Annex A. In other words, the actual evidence shows that much of Finjan’s story about its own
26 history and about Mr. Touboul is a paid-for, made-for-litigation narrative. This goes to the heart of
27 credibility.
28

1 It would be fundamentally unfair to allow Finjan to tell any part of its story but preclude
2 SonicWall from presenting the other side. Finjan attempts to shield itself from these bad facts by
3 arguing that Mr. Touboul’s replacement as CEO of Finjan “has no bearing on whether SonicWall
4 infringes Finjan’s asserted patents, the validity of Finjan’s patents, or the amount of damages
5 SonicWall owes Finjan for its willful infringement of the asserted patents.” Dkt. 367 at 1. But the
6 same can be said of the story that Finjan wishes to present to the jury. In other words, the fact that
7 Finjan is anything other than the named plaintiff has no bearing on any of these issues. Yet, Finjan
8 surely intends to tell the jury all about Finjan (the company) and its version of its corporate history,
9 as it has done in every prior trial.

10 **B. Even Apart From Finjan’s Story, Mr. Touboul’s Replacement is Highly**
11 **Relevant**

12 In addition to the fundamental fairness point above, there are two additional, stand-alone
13 issues for which these facts are already relevant, regardless of what Finjan tells the jury about its
14 history.

15 First, Mr. Touboul’s replacement – Asher Polani – made a series of statements in his capacity
16 as CEO about the fact that Finjan’s technology and patents [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]. This is a crucial point, because the key product that Finjan
18 accuses on every remaining patent is SonicWall’s sandbox, called “Capture ATP.” Mr. Touboul’s
19 replacement – Mr. Polani – told the world that [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]

- 21 • In an April 2006 email to the Board [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED] Ex. 49. Mr. Polani went on
25 to explain that a gateway sandboxing solution (i.e., exactly what Finjan accuses in this
26 case) [REDACTED]” *Id.*
27 Mr. Polani confirmed the accuracy of these statements at his deposition. Ex. 50 at 42:7-

1 44:24.

- 2 • Likewise, under Mr. Polani's leadership, Finjan released a public document stating that
3 "We are not sandboxing the code, we do static analysis. What our 21 granted patents and
4 26 other pending patents do is understand what the code intends to do on your browser,
5 e.g., if it is downloading something to your computer or writing to your disk." Ex. 51.
6 Again, Mr. Polani testified about this document. Ex. 50 at 54:16-58:13.

7 If Mr. Polani's statements as CEO are true, then SonicWall's sandbox cannot possibly infringe
8 Finjan's patents. Especially in a case where Finjan is alleging willfulness – *i.e.*, that SonicWall must
9 know that its sandbox infringes Finjan's patents – these irreconcilable statements from the CEO who
10 replaced Mr. Touboul are highly relevant. Finjan's effort to make Mr. Polani disappear – and to
11 replace him only by its paid litigation consultant (Mr. Touboul) – should be rejected.

12 Second, the facts relating to Mr. Polani are relevant to the credibility of Finjan's current CEO,
13 Mr. Hartstein. For example, Mr. Hartstein testified under oath before multiple juries that the 2005
14 agreement between Finjan and Microsoft was "transformational," and set the groundwork for the
15 credibility of Finjan's license program. Ex. 52 at 243:19-244:14 ("[B]eing able to strike a license
16 agreement with Microsoft . . . was really transformational for our business."); Ex. 53 at 372:13-373:10
17 ("[T]he ability to have the industry recognize Finjan's technology was really transformative.").
18 Based on the evidence, Mr. Hartstein appears to have made this up. Mr. Touboul [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]. Ex. 50 at 129:18-24. Mr. Polani (again, the replacement
20 CEO who Finjan wants to erase from its history and who was CEO during what would have been the
21 "transformation") testified that [REDACTED] *Id.*, at
22 78:2-16. Mr. Hartstein would not have known that, however, because despite Mr. Hartstein's
23 willingness to offer sworn testimony about events for which he has no first-hand knowledge, he has
24 never even spoke with Mr. Polani. Ex. 54 at 126:16-23.

25 **C. No Unfair Prejudice**

26 Finjan has not articulated any specific example of unfair prejudice, nor cited any cases that
27 reach a result analogous to what Finjan seeks here. In sum, the reason Finjan will reference Mr.
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.