

EXHIBIT 25

PAGES 1 - 70

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI

FINJAN, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,)	
)	
PLAINTIFF,)	
)	
VS.)	CASE NO. 17-CV-4467 BLF
)	
SONICWALL, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION,)	
)	
DEFENDANT.)	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
)	TUESDAY
)	OCTOBER 29, 2019
)	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND

RECORDING 10:33 A.M. - 12:00 NOON

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
990 MARSH ROAD
MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025
BY: JAMES R. HANNAH, ESQUIRE
AAKASH JARIWALA, ESQUIRE

FOR DEFENDANT DUANE MORRIS LLP
1075 PEACHTREE STREET NE, SUITE 200
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
BY: ROBIN L. MCGRATH, ESQUIRE

DUANE MORRIS LLP
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103
BY: JARRAD M. GUNTHER, ESQUIRE

TRANSCRIBED BY: JOAN MARIE COLUMBINI, CSR #5435, RPR
RETIRED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, USDC

1 **MR. GUNTHER:** YES.

2 **THE COURT:** OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR THAT.
3 LET ME TURN TO THE PLAINTIFFS AND GET THEIR VIEWS ON
4 THAT ISSUE. SO WILL IT BE MR. HANNAH?

5 **MR. HANNAH:** YES, YOUR HONOR. MAY IT PLEASE THE
6 COURT, THANK YOU.

7 **THE COURT:** SO LET ME -- I WOULD LIKE TO GET YOUR
8 RESPONSE TO THE POINTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE, BUT I WANT TO START
9 WITH THE BASIC QUESTION OF THE INFRINGEMENT THEORY.

10 IS THERE AN INFRINGEMENT THEORY THAT FINIGAN HAS THAT
11 THE GATEWAY PRODUCT AND THE ESA PRODUCT INFRINGE WITHOUT
12 CONNECTING TO ANY SANDBOX IN THE CLOUD?

13 **MR. HANNAH:** SO IF I CAN -- IF I CAN BACK UP -- BACK
14 UP A LITTLE BIT?

15 THE WAY THAT THESE PRODUCTS WORK AND WHAT WE'VE
16 ACCUSED IS WE'VE ACCUSED THE GATEWAY BY ITSELF AS IT'S SOLD,
17 AND THEN THE ESA BY ITSELF AS IT'S SOLD, AND THEN CAPTURE ATP.
18 IT'S A SEPARATE PRODUCT. SEPARATE, IT'S SOLD SEPARATELY.

19 THE GATEWAY BY ITSELF INCLUDES CLOUD AV AND GRID.
20 THAT COMES WITH THE GATEWAY PRODUCT. I DISAGREE WHOLEHEARTEDLY
21 WITH WHAT COUNSEL JUST SAID THAT WOULD THE GATEWAY PRODUCT WORK
22 WITHOUT CLOUD AV AND GRID, ABSOLUTELY NOT.

23 (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)

24 **THE COURT:** JUST A MINUTE. JUST SO I UNDERSTAND THE
25 TERMINOLOGY, CLOUD AV AND GRID ARE NOT SAME THING AS CLOUD AV

1 **MR. HANNAH:** RIGHT. SO THAT'S THE CAPTURE -- I'M
2 SORRY. SO ON THE BOX IS THE CLOUD -- THAT CLOUD AV AND THE
3 THREAT GRID INFORMATION, GOING FROM GRID TO THE CLOUD -- TO
4 THAT COMPONENT.

5 THIS BACK AND FORTH WHERE IT SAYS THE FILE VERDICT,
6 THAT IS WHEN YOU'RE ACTUALLY SENDING A FILE UP TO THE CLOUD,
7 AND THEN IT, IN TURN, WILL SEND INFORMATION BACK BASED ON
8 WHATEVER SANDBOXING THAT YOU DO THROUGH CAPTURE ATP.

9 THAT IS A SEPARATE PRODUCT. THAT'S A SEPARATE
10 SERVICE THAT YOU PAY FOR. THAT'S AN INTERACTION WHERE YOU'RE
11 CONNECTING TO THE CLOUD IN ORDER TO SEND INFORMATION UP AND GET
12 INFORMATION BACK. YOU'RE NOT -- WHEN YOU BUY THE GATEWAY, FROM
13 WHAT I UNDERSTAND BASED ON THE INFORMATION WE HAVE SO FAR, YOU
14 DON'T GET THAT FUNCTIONALITY. YOU HAVE TO PAY FOR THAT
15 FUNCTIONALITY WITH CAPTURE ATP.

16 **THE COURT:** SO YOU'RE ACCUSING EVERYTHING EXCEPT THIS
17 INTERACTION WITH THE SONICWALL CAPTURE CLOUD SERVICE WHEN YOU
18 SAY "GATEWAY ONLY"?

19 **MR. HANNAH:** GATEWAY OR ESA, CORRECT, BECAUSE THAT'S
20 THE FUNCTIONALITY YOU GET WHEN YOU BUY THE PRODUCT.

21 **THE COURT:** ALL RIGHT. SO NEXT QUESTION IS --

22 **MR. HANNAH:** YES.

23 **THE COURT:** IF THAT'S THE CASE, WHY DIDN'T YOU ACCUSE
24 IT IN THE FIRST PLACE?

25 **MR. HANNAH:** I BELIEVE WE DID. THAT'S THROUGHOUT OUR