

1 Juanita R. Brooks (CA SBN 75934) brooks@fr.com
Roger A. Denning (CA SBN 228998) denning@fr.com
2 Jason W. Wolff (CA SBN 215819) wolff@fr.com
John-Paul Fryckman (CA SBN 317591) fryckman@fr.com
3 K. Nicole Williams (CA291900) nwilliams@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
4 12860 El Camino Real, Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92130
5 Telephone: (858) 678-5070 / Fax: (858) 678-5099

6 Proshanto Mukherji (*Pro Hac Vice*) mukherji@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
7 One Marina Park Drive
Boston, MA 02210
8 Phone: (617) 542-5070/ Fax: (617) 542-5906

9 Robert Courtney (CA SNB 248392) courtney@fr.com
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
10 3200 RBC Plaza
60 South Sixth Street
11 Minneapolis, MN 55402
Phone: (612) 335-5070 / Fax: (612) 288-9696
12

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
FINJAN LLC

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 (SAN JOSE DIVISION)
17

18 FINJAN LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability
19 Company,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 SONICWALL INC., a Delaware Corporation,

23 Defendant.
24

Case No. 5:17-cv-04467-BLF (VKD)

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN LLC'S
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT
SONICWALL INC.'S MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: January 14, 2021

Time: 9:00 AM

Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

Dept: Courtroom 3, Fifth Floor

25
26 **REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED**
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- I. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '154 PATENT 1
 - A. Application of the Claims to URL Rewrite 2
 - B. Remote Creation of the First Function 4
- II. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '844, '494, AND '926 PATENTS BASED ON CAPTURE ATP IN COMBINATION WITH ESA 4
- III. SONICWALL GATEWAYS “RECEIVE” DOWNLOADABLES 6
- IV. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '633 AND '822 PATENTS 9
- V. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '305 AND '408 PATENTS BASED ON CAPTURE ATP IN COMBINATION WITH GATEWAYS AND ESA 9
- VI. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '926 PATENT11
 - A. Capture ATP Sends Downloadable Files to Destination Computers12
 - B. Capture ATP also Sends Representations of Security Profile Data13
 - C. Capture ATP Sends Information Using Transport Protocols and a Transmitter Coupled to a Receiver14
 - D. Doctrine of Equivalents15
- VII. INFRINGEMENT OF THE '305 PATENT15
 - A. Factual Disputes Exist Regarding the '305 Patent15
- VIII. THE COURT SHOULD DENY SONICWALL’S MOTION AS TO DAMAGES18
 - A. Ample Evidence Supports a Royalty on Overseas Business Because the Business Arose from Domestic Infringement18
 - B. There Is More Than Enough Evidence to Establish Actual Notice of Finjan’s Patents on the Dates Cited in Finjan’s Expert Reports.....21
 - 1. The Evidence Demonstrates Actual Notice as to the '822, '968, '780, and '844 Patents21
 - 2. The Evidence Further Demonstrates Actual Notice as to the '926 Patent During the 2014–2017 Licensing Discussions25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<i>O1 Communique Lab., Inc. v. LogMeIn, Inc.</i> , 687 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	11
<i>AFG Industries, Inc. v. Cardinal IG Co., Inc.</i> , 375 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	12, 17, 18
<i>Amsted Indus. Inc. v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co.</i> , 24 F.3d 178 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	22, 24
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 12-CV-00630-LHK, 2014 WL 252045 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 21, 2014).....	10
<i>Armstrong v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 374 F.2d 764 (7th Cir. 1967).....	22
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys. v. Siebert</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	9, 10
<i>Bettcher Indus., Inc. v. Bunzl USA, Inc.</i> , 661 F.3d 629 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	9
<i>CNET Networks, Inc. v. Etilize, Inc.</i> , 528 F. Supp. 2d 985 (N.D. Cal. 2007).....	21
<i>Convolve v. Compaq Comp. Corp.</i> , 812 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	9
<i>Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.</i> , 469 F.3d 1005 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	18
<i>Dunlap v. Schofield</i> , 152 U.S. 244.....	22
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	21
<i>Free Motion Fitness, Inc. v. Cybex Int'l</i> , 423 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	10
<i>Funai Elec. Co. v. Daewoo Elecs. Corp.</i> , 616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	23
<i>Good Tech. Corp. v. MobileIron, Inc.</i> , No. 5:12-CV-05826-PSG, 2015 WL 4040416 (N.D. Cal. July 1, 2015).....	1, 8

1 *Interactive Pictures Corp. v. Infinite Pictures, Inc.*,
 2 274 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 15

3 *Iron Oaks Techs. LLC v. Fujitsu Am., Inc.*,
 4 No. 3:18-md-2835, 2018 WL 6593709 (N.D. Tex. Dec. 14, 2018)..... 23

5 *Netlist v. Smart Storage Sys.*,
 6 No. 13-5889, 2014 WL 1320325 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 1, 2014)..... 5

7 *Paragon Solutions, LLC v. Timex Corp.*,
 8 566 F.3d 1075 (Fed. Cir. May 22, 2009) 9

9 *Power Mosfet Techs., L.L.C. v. Siemens AG*,
 10 378 F.3d 1396 (Fed. Cir. 2004)1, 16

11 *R.R. Dynamics, Inc. v. A. Stucki Co.*,
 12 727 F.2d 1506.....19, 20

13 *Rates Tech. v. Mediatrix Telecom*,
 14 688 F.3d 742 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... 4

15 *SIMO Holdings Inc. v. Hong Kong uCloudlink Network Tech. Ltd.*,
 16 376 F. Supp. 3d 369 (S.D.N.Y. 2019) 23

17 *SRI Int’l, Inc. v. Advanced Tech. Labs., Inc.*,
 18 127 F.3d 1462 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....21, 22

19 *Symantec v. Comput. Assocs. Int’l*,
 20 522 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2008)9, 10

21 *TecSec, Inc. v. IBM*,
 22 731 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 4

23 *Tyco Healthcare Grp. LP v. Biolitec, Inc.*,
 24 No. C-08-3129 MMC (N.D. Cal. Aug. 11, 2010) 3

25 *Unwired Planet L.L.C. v. Google, Inc.*,
 26 660 Fed. Appx. 974 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 21, 2016)10, 11

27 *WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp.*,
 28 138 S. Ct. 2129 (2018)..... 20

Wi-LAN United States v. Ericsson, Inc.,
 675 F. App’x 984 (Fed. Cir. 2017)..... 17

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 271(a)..... 5

Other Authorities

7 Chisum on Patents § 20.03[7][c][iv] (2020 ed.)..... 22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.