

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DUANE MORRIS LLP
D. Stuart Bartow (SBN 233107)
Email: DSBartow@duanemorris.com
Nicole E. Grigg (SBN 307733)
Email: NEGrigg@duanemorris.com
2475 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1194
Tel.: 650.847.4150
Fax: 650.847.4151

DUANE MORRIS LLP
Joseph A. Powers
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
japowers@duanemorris.com
Jarrad M. Gunther
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
jmgunther@duanemorris.com
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: 215.979.1000
Facsimile: 215.979.1020

Attorneys for Defendant
SONICWALL INC.

DUANE MORRIS LLP
Matthew C. Gaudet
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
mcgaudet@duanemorris.com
Robin L. McGrath
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
rlmcgrath@duanemorris.com
David C. Dotson
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
dcdotson@duanemorris.com
Jennifer H. Forte
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
jhforte@duanemorris.com
1075 Peachtree Street, Ste. 2000
Atlanta, GA 30309
Telephone: 404.253.6900
Facsimile: 404.253.6901

(Complete list of counsel for Defendant
on signature page)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FINJAN LLC., a Delaware Limited Liability
Company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SONICWALL, INC., a Delaware
Corporation

Defendant.

Case No. 5:17-cv-04467-BLF (VKD)

**DEFENDANT SONICWALL INC.'S
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Date: January 14, 2021
Time: 9:00 AM
Courtroom: 3, 5th Floor
Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

REDACTED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1			
2			Page
3	I.	NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '154 PATENT	1
4	A.	Background of the '154 Patent	1
5	B.	The '154 Accused Products Do Not Infringe the '154 Patent	2
6	1.	Literal Infringement	2
7	a.	The Operation of the '154 Accused Products	2
8	b.	Finjan's Expert Testimony Cannot Avoid Summary Judgment	3
9	c.	Finjan's "URL Rewriting" Theory	5
10	2.	Doctrine of Equivalents	6
11	II.	THE COMBINATION OF SONICWALL'S EMAIL SECURITY PRODUCTS AND CAPTURE ATP CANNOT INFRINGE THE '844, '494, AND '926 PATENTS	6
12	A.	The '844, '494, and '926 Patents Expired in January 2017	6
13	B.	Capture ATP Was Not Commercially Available for Use With SonicWall's Email Security Products Until February 2017	7
14	C.	The Only Accused Sandbox in this Case is in Capture ATP	7
15	III.	SONICWALL GATEWAYS DO NOT RECEIVE "DOWNLOADABLES"	8
16	IV.	NON-INFRINGEMENT OF '633 AND '822 PATENTS.....	10
17	A.	Background of the '633 and '822 Patents.....	10
18	B.	Capture ATP Does Not Use or Transmit MPC ('633 Patent, Claims 1, 8 and 14; '822 Patent, Claim 9).....	10
19	1.	Literal Infringement	10
20	2.	Doctrine of Equivalents	11
21	C.	Finjan Accuses Combinations in Name Only of Infringing '633 Patent, Claim 14.....	12
22	V.	NON-INFRINGEMENT BASED ON SAME COMPUTER ('305 AND '408 PATENTS).....	13
23	A.	The '408 Patent.....	13
24	B.	The '305 Patent.....	15
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 VI. NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '926 PATENT 16
2 VII. NON-INFRINGEMENT '305 PATENT 19
3 VIII. DAMAGES 20
4 A. Finjan Is Not Entitled to a Royalty on SonicWall's Non-U.S. Sales 20
5 B. Finjan Is Not Entitled to Damages Prior to Actual Notice of Infringement 22
6 1. The '926 Patent 23
7 2. The '968 Patent, 822 Patent, 780 Patent, and 844 Patent 23
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Akzo Nobel Coatings, Inc. v. Dow Chemical Co.</i> 811 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	12
<i>Amsted Indus. Inc. v. Buckeye Steel Castings Co.</i> 24 F.3d 178 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	23
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.</i> 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	22
<i>Centillion Data Sys. v. Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc.</i> 631 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	21-22
<i>Daewoo Elecs. Corp v. Funai</i> 616 F.3d 1357 at 3173 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	23
<i>Finjan v. Eset</i> LLC, 17-cv-183-CAB-BGS, Dkt. 699 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 16, 2019).....	23
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Nets., Inc.</i> 2019 U.S. App. LEXIS 32118 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 9, 2019).....	5
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Nets., Inc.</i> 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 122762 (N.D. Cal. July 23, 2019).....	4-5
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Juniper Nets., Inc.</i> 387 F.Supp.3d 1004 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	4-5, 23
<i>Int. Ventures I LLC v. Motorola Mob. LLC</i> 870 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	21
<i>Maxwell v. J. Baker, Inc.</i> 86 F.3d 1098 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	22
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. AT&T Corp.</i> 550 U.S. 437 (2007).....	22
<i>NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, Ltd.</i> 418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	22
<i>Palo Alto Nets, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.</i> 752 F. App'x 1017 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	1
<i>Pharmastem Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc.</i> 491 F.3d 1342, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	17

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int’l., Inc.
711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 20-21

Warner-Jenkinson v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co.
520 U.S. 17 (1997).....12, 18

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 154.....6

35 U.S.C. § 271(a) 21-22

35 U.S.C. § 287(a)22

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.