

1 PAUL ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRISTOPHER KASTENS (State Bar No. 254797)
kkastens@kramerlevin.com
5 HANNAH LEE (State Bar No. 253197)
hlee@kramerlevin.com
6 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
7 & FRANKEL LLP
8 990 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
9 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
Facsimile: (650) 752-1800
10
11 AARON FRANKEL (*pro hac vice*)
afrankel@kramerlevin.com
12 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS
& FRANKEL LLP
13 1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
14 Telephone: (212) 715-7793
15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
16 FINJAN, INC.

17
18 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
20 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

21 FINJAN, INC.,
22
23 Plaintiff,
24 v.
25 SONICWALL, INC.,
26 Defendant.

Case No.: 5:17-cv-04467-BLF-VKD

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF
FINJAN, INC.'S RESPONSE TO THE
COURT'S ORDER OF REDACTION [DKT.
NO. 269]**

1 Plaintiff Finjan, Inc.'s ("Finjan") submitted its response to the Court's Order of Redaction (Dkt.
2 No. 269) regarding the Court's Order on a Discovery Dispute Regarding Privilege Claims (Dkt. No.
3 268, the "Order"). Upon consideration of Finjan's request and the supporting declaration of Aaron
4 Frankel filed in support of the response, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting the request
5 to file certain documents under seal.

6 Good cause having been shown, the Court finds that:

7 There exists overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the
8 record of the following document:

Identification of Document to be Sealed	Portions of Document to be Sealed	Designating Party	Reasons for Sealing
Order re Discovery Dispute re Privilege Claims (Dkt. No. 268)	Highlighted portion at Page 2, lines 19-23	Finjan	This excerpt of the Order contains an excerpt from the confidential agreement between Cisco Systems, Inc. and Finjan.

16 A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if
17 the record is not sealed;

18 The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

19 No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Finjan's request to seal the above information is
21 GRANTED.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24
25 DATED: _____

26 Honorable Virginia K. DeMarchi
United States Magistrate Judge