

DUANE MORRIS LLP
D. Stuart Bartow (SBN 233107)
Email: DSBartow@duanemorris.com
Nicole E. Grigg (SBN 307733)
Email: NEGrigg@duanemorris.com
2475 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1194
Telephone: 650.847.4150
Facsimile: 650.847.4151

DUANE MORRIS LLP
Joseph A. Powers (PA SBN 84590)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
japowers@duanemorris.com
Jarrad M. Gunther (PA SBN 207038)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
jmgunther@duanemorris.com
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: 215.979.1000
Facsimile: 215.979.1020

Attorneys for Defendant
SONICWALL INC.

DUANE MORRIS LLP
Matthew C. Gaudet (GA SBN 287759)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
mcgaudet@duanemorris.com
Robin L. McGrath (GA SBN 493115)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
rlmcgrath@duanemorris.com
David C. Dotson (GA SBN 138040)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
dcdotson@duanemorris.com
Jennifer H. Forte (GA SBN 940650)
Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
jhforte@duanemorris.com
1075 Peachtree Street, Ste. 2000
Atlanta, GA 30309
Telephone: 404.253.6900
Facsimile: 404.253.6901

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SONICWALL INC., a Delaware Corporation

Defendant.

Case No. 5:17-cv-04467-BLF-VKD

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT SONICWALL INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

1 Having considered SonicWall Inc.'s ("SonicWall") Administrative Motion to File
 2 Documents Under Seal and the declaration of Jennifer Forte In Support thereof, the Court hereby
 3 finds there to be good cause for granting the request to file certain documents and information under
 4 seal.

5 Good cause having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 1. There exist overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public
 7 access to the following documents:

Exhibit No.	Document Title	Portion(s) to Seal	Reason(s) for Sealing
Ex. 1	Cisco Systems, Inc. Mutual Non-Disclosure Agreement dated January 19, 2004	Entire Document	The parties in <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 5:17-cv-00072-BLF-SVK</i> designated this document as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. See Declaration of Jennifer Forte in Support of Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal ("Forte Declaration"), ¶¶ 2-4.
Ex. 2	Finjan Software Inc. Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement dated June 2, 2004	Entire Document	The parties in <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 5:17-cv-00072-BLF-SVK</i> designated this document as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. See Forte Declaration, ¶¶ 2-4.

1 2 3 4 5 6	Ex. 3	Cisco – Finjan Holdings, Inc. Mutual Non-Disclosure Agreement dated March 21, 2014	Entire Document	The parties in <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 5:17-cv-00072-BLF-SVK</i> designated this document as “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. <i>See</i> Forte Declaration, ¶¶ 2-4.
7 8 9 10 11 12	Ex. 4	Excerpts from the February 1, 2019 Deposition of Yoav Samet	Entire Document	The parties in <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 5:17-cv-00072-BLF-SVK</i> designated this document as “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. <i>See</i> Forte Declaration, ¶¶ 2-4.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Ex. 5	Excerpts from the April 10, 2019 Deposition of Daniel Chinn	Entire Document	The parties in <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 5:17-cv-00072-BLF-SVK</i> designated this document as “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. <i>See</i> Forte Declaration, ¶¶ 2-4.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Ex. 6	Exhibit 34 to the April 10, 2019 deposition of Daniel Chinn	Entire Document	The parties in <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., No. 5:17-cv-00072-BLF-SVK</i> designated this document as “Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. <i>See</i> Forte Declaration, ¶¶ 2-4.

26 2. A substantial probability exists that the overriding confidentiality interests will be
27 prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

28 3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve these overriding interests.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that SonicWall's Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal is GRANTED with respect to the document set forth above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

MAGISTRATE JUDGE VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI
United States District Court Magistrate Judge