Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

FINJAN, INC.,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff.

v.

BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-03295-BLF

ORDER GRANTING BLUE COAT TEMS LLC'S MOTION TO FILE PPORT OF ITS REPLY IN SUPPORT OTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF EXPERT REPORTS

Before the Court is Defendant Blue Coat Systems, LLC's ("Blue Coat") Administrative Motion to File Under Seal an Exhibit in Support of Defendant Blue Coat System LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Strike Portions of Expert Reports. ECF 222. For the reasons stated below, the motion is GRANTED.

LEGAL STANDARD

"Historically, courts have recognized a 'general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Nixon v. Warner Comme'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n.7 (1978)). Consequently, access to motions and their attachments that are "more than tangentially related to the merits of a case" may be sealed only upon a showing of "compelling reasons" for sealing. Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1101–02 (9th Cir. 2016). Filings that are only tangentially related to the merits may be sealed upon a lesser showing of "good cause." *Id.* at 1097.

In addition, sealing motions filed in this district must be "narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." Civil L.R. 79-5(b). A party moving to seal a document in whole or in



Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

part must file a declaration establishing that the identified material is "sealable." Civ. L.R. 79-
5(d)(1)(A). "Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain
documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are
sealable." Id.

II. **DISCUSSION**

Blue Coat moves to seal in its entirety Exhibit A to Declaration of Eugene Marder in Support of Blue Coat Systems LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Strike Portions of Expert Reports (ECF 221). According to Blue Coat, this document contains highly confidential technical information regarding Blue Coat's proprietary technology, and confidential aspects of Blue Coat's business. Marder Decl. ISO Administrative Motion to File Under Seal ¶ 3, ECF 222-1. This includes information relating to details of the internal operation of Blue Coat's SSL Visibility Appliance and ProxySG devices, as well as those devices' interoperation and Blue Coat's confidential business operations. $Id. \P 5$. Blue Coat also states that public disclosure of this information "would create substantial risk of serious harm to Blue Coat, including evasion of Blue Coat's malware analysis tools, disclosure to competitors regarding the scanning tools used in the accused products, and Blue Coat's approach to fixes in the products." Id. ¶ 6. The Court finds that Blue Coat has articulated compelling reasons and good cause to seal the submitted documents. In addition, the Court finds the sealing request to be narrowly tailored. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Blue Coat's motion to seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 15, 2017

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge