

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB No. 136411)  
cmorrow@fenwick.com  
2 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
801 California Street  
3 Mountain View, CA 94041  
Telephone: 650.988.8500  
4 Facsimile: 650.938.5200

5 BRYAN A. KOHM (CSB No. 233276)  
bkohm@fenwick.com  
6 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
555 California Street, 12th Floor  
7 San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: 415.875.2300  
8 Facsimile: 415.281.1350

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
HP Inc.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

15 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a  
Delaware corporation,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG, a  
19 German company,

20 Defendant.

Case No. 3:15-cv-02101-JD

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER REGARDING FURTHER  
PROCEEDINGS**

22 Plaintiff HP Inc., formerly Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”),<sup>1</sup> and Defendant Papst  
23 Licensing GmbH & Co. KG (“Papst”) (collectively, the “Parties”), by and through their  
24 respective counsel of record, submit the following stipulation:

25 WHEREAS, pursuant to a September 8, 2015 Order, the Court stayed this case “pending  
26 resolution of the parties’ oppositions to the conditional transfer order filed on August 20, 2015 by

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> Once the stay is lifted, HP will file a motion correcting its name resulting from the recent split  
of Hewlett-Packard Company

1 the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation”;

2 WHEREAS, on December 8, 2015, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict  
3 Litigation vacated its order conditionally transferring the above-captioned matter to *In Re: Papst*  
4 *Licensing Digital Camera Patent Litigation*, MDL No. 1880. See Order Vacating Conditional  
5 Transfer Order, MDL No. 1800 (J.P.M.L. Dec. 8, 2015), Dkt. No. 100;

6 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the stay of this case should now be lifted and have  
7 agreed upon a schedule for further proceedings;

8 The Parties HEREBY STIPULATE that:

- 9 1. Papst shall answer or otherwise respond to HP’s Complaint by January 8, 2016.
- 10 2. Papst shall respond to the discovery propounded by HP prior to the stay of this matter  
11 by January 11, 2016.
- 12 3. The parties are available to appear before the Court for a case management conference  
13 February 3, 2016, and request that the Court set a case management conference for  
14 that date or such other time that is convenient for the Court.

15 Dated: December 23, 2015

FENWICK & WEST LLP

17 By: /s/ Bryan A. Kohm

Bryan A. Kohm  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
HP Inc.

20 Dated: December 23, 2015

DESMARAIS LLP

22 By: /s/ Richard Cowell

Richard Cowell  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
MOUNTAIN VIEW

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

Dated: December 23, 2015 FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Bryan A. Kohm  
Bryan A. Kohm  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
HP Inc.

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable James Donato  
United States District Judge

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
MOUNTAIN VIEW