

1 GEORGE A. RILEY (S.B. #118304)  
griley@omm.com  
2 LUANN L. SIMMONS (S.B. #203526)  
lsimmons@omm.com  
3 MELODY DRUMMOND HANSEN (S.B. #278786)  
mdrummondhansen@omm.com  
4 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor  
5 San Francisco, California 94111-3823  
Telephone: (415) 984-8700  
6 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701

7 RYAN K. YAGURA (S.B. #197619)  
ryagura@omm.com  
8 XIN-YI ZHOU (S.B. #251969)  
vzhou@omm.com  
9 BRIAN M. COOK (S.B. #266181)  
bcook@omm.com  
10 KEVIN MURRAY (S.B. #275186)  
kmurray2@omm.com  
11 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
400 South Hope Street  
12 Los Angeles, California 90071-2899  
Telephone: (213) 430-6000  
13 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407

14 Attorneys for Defendant  
**APPLE INC.**

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
18 **SAN JOSE**

20 OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision, SA, and Nagra  
France S.A.S.,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 Apple Inc.,

24 Defendant.

Case No. 5:15-CV-02008-EJD

**DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S NOTICE  
OF MOTION AND MOTION TO STAY  
PENDING COMPLETION OF USPTO  
PROCEEDINGS**

Date: September 15, 2016

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Judge: Honorable Edward J. Davila

Courtroom: 4

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

|  | <b><u>Page</u></b> |
|--|--------------------|
| NOTICE OF MOTION .....   | 1                  |
| RELIEF SOUGHT .....  | 1                  |
| I. INTRODUCTION .....  | 1                  |
| II. BACKGROUND .....   | 3                  |
| A. This Litigation.....  | 3                  |
| B. America Invents Act .....   | 3                  |
| III. ARGUMENT .....  | 4                  |
| A. This Court Has Authority to Stay This Case Pending Resolution of the<br>USPTO Proceedings .....                             | 4                  |
| B. All Relevant Factors Favor Staying This Case Pending Resolution of the<br>USPTO Proceedings .....                           | 5                  |
| 1. The Stage of Litigation Weighs in Favor of a Stay Because<br>Discovery Is Not Complete and No Trial Date Has Been Set ..... | 5                  |
| 2. A Stay Will Simplify the Issues for Trial Because USPTO<br>Proceedings Have Been Filed on All Remaining Patents .....       | 6                  |
| 3. OpenTV Will Not Suffer Tactical Disadvantage or Undue Prejudice .....   | 9                  |
| a. Timing of the Petition for Review and Request for Stay .....  | 10                 |
| b. Status of Review Proceedings .....  | 10                 |
| c. Relationship of the Parties .....   | 11                 |
| IV. CONCLUSION .....   | 12                 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIESPage(s)**Cases**

|    |   |             |
|----|---|-------------|
| 1  |   |             |
| 2  |   |             |
| 3  | <i>Acqis, LLC v. EMC Corp.</i> ,                              |             |
|    | 109 F. Supp. 3d 352 (D. Mass. 2015) .....                     | 6           |
| 4  | <i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , |             |
| 5  | 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....                           | 3, 11       |
| 6  | <i>Asetek Holdings, Inc. v. Cooler Master Co.</i> ,           |             |
| 7  | 2014 WL 1350813 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2014) .....                | 10          |
| 8  | <i>AT&amp;T Intellectual Property I v. Tivo, Inc.</i> ,       |             |
| 9  | 774 F.Supp.2d 1049 (N.D. Cal. 2011) .....                     | 5           |
| 10 | <i>Autoalert, Inc. v. Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC</i> ,    |             |
| 11 | 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171890 (C.D. Cal. May 22, 2013) .....   | 12          |
| 12 | <i>Black &amp; Decker Inc. v. Positec USA, Inc.</i> ,         |             |
| 13 | 2013 WL 5718460 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 1, 2013).....                 | 5, 10       |
| 14 | <i>BodyMedia Inc. v. Basis Science, Inc.</i> ,                |             |
| 15 | 2013 WL 2462105 (D. Del. June 6, 2013).....                   | 9           |
| 16 | <i>Capriola Corp. v. Larose Indus.</i> ,                      |             |
| 17 | 2013 WL 1868344 (M.D. Fla. Mar. 11, 2013).....                | 5, 10       |
| 18 | <i>Clearlamp LLC v. LKQ Corp.</i> ,                           |             |
| 19 | No. 1:12- cv-02533, Dkt. 43, (N.D. Ill. Oct. 18, 2012) .....  | 10          |
| 20 | <i>Cypress Semiconductor Corp. v. GSI Tech., Inc.</i> ,       |             |
| 21 | 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142858 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2014).....    | 10          |
| 22 | <i>Davol, Inc. v. Atrium Med. Corp.</i> ,                     |             |
| 23 | 2013 WL 3013343 (D. Del. June 17, 2013).....                  | 7           |
| 24 | <i>DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> ,                  |             |
| 25 | 2015 WL 1967878 (N.D. Cal. May 1, 2015) .....                 | 4, 5, 6, 11 |
| 26 | <i>Ever Win Int'l Corp. v. RadioShack Corp.</i> ,             |             |
| 27 | 902 F. Supp. 2d 503 (D. Del. Oct. 9, 2012) .....              | 7           |
| 28 | <i>Evolutionary Intelligence LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> ,         |             |
|    | 2014 WL 93954 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2014) .....                  | 7, 10       |
|    | <i>Evolutionary Intelligence LLC v. Yelp Inc.</i> ,           |             |
|    | 2013 WL 6672451 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2013) .....               | 10          |
|    | <i>Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,     |             |
|    | 2014 WL 261837 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2014) .....                | 5           |

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

(continued)

**Page(s)**

|    |  |            |
|----|--|------------|
| 1  | <i>e-Watch, Inc. v. Lorex Canada, Inc.</i> ,                   |            |
| 2  | 2013 WL 5425298 (S.D. Tex. Sept. 26, 2013) .....               | 5, 10      |
| 3  | <i>Ho Keung Tse v. Apple Inc.</i> ,                            |            |
| 4  | 2007 WL 2904279 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2007).....                  | 10         |
| 5  | <i>Krippelz v. Ford Motor Co.</i> ,                            |            |
| 6  | 667 F.3d 1261 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....                            | 9          |
| 7  | <i>Moneycat Ltd. v. Paypal Inc.</i> ,                          |            |
| 8  | 2014 WL 5689844 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2014).....                  | 1          |
| 9  | <i>OpenTV, Inc. and Nagra France SAS, v. Netflix, Inc.</i> ,   |            |
| 10 | Case No. 1:12-cv-01733-GMS .....                               | 2          |
| 11 | <i>PersonalWeb Technologies, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,       |            |
| 12 | 2014 WL 116340 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 2014) .....                 | 6          |
| 13 | <i>PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> ,                 |            |
| 14 | 69 F. Supp. 3d 1022 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....                     | 7          |
| 15 | <i>Pi Net Int'l, Inc. v. Hertz Corp.</i> ,                     |            |
| 16 | 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81570 (C.D. Cal. June 5, 2013) .....     | 11         |
| 17 | <i>Pragmatus AV, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,                   |            |
| 18 | 2011 WL 4802958 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2011).....                 | 5, 7, 10   |
| 19 | <i>Procter &amp; Gamble Co. v. Kraft Foods Global, Inc.</i> ,  |            |
| 20 | 549 F.3d 842 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....                             | 4          |
| 21 | <i>Robert Bosch Healthcare Sys. v. Cardiocom, LLC</i> ,        |            |
| 22 | 2014 WL 3107447 (N.D. Cal. July 3, 2014).....                  | 10         |
| 23 | <i>Round Rock Research LLC v. Dole Food Co.</i> ,              |            |
| 24 | 2012 WL 1185022 (D. Del. Apr. 6, 2012).....                    | 9          |
| 25 | <i>Sabert Corp. v. Waddington N. Am., Inc.</i> ,               |            |
| 26 | 2007 WL 2705157 (D.N.J. Sept. 14, 2007) .....                  | 9          |
| 27 | <i>Sec. People, Inc. v. Ojmar US, LLC</i> ,                    |            |
| 28 | 2015 WL 3453780 (N.D. Cal. May 29, 2015) .....                 | 1, 4, 5, 6 |
|    | <i>Select Comfort Corp. v. Tempur Sealy Int'l</i> ,            |            |
|    | 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 183450 (D. Minn. Oct. 10, 2014).....     | 5, 7, 10   |
|    | <i>Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co. v. Chimei Innolux Corp.</i> , |            |
|    | 2012 WL 7170593 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2012) .....                | 5, 10      |
|    | <i>Slip Track Sys., Inc. v. Metal Lite, Inc.</i> ,             |            |
|    | 159 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....                            | 7          |

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

(continued)

**Page(s)**

|    |  |             |
|----|--|-------------|
| 1  | <i>Software Rights Archive, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,      |             |
| 2  | 2013 WL 5225522 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2013) .....             | 5, 10       |
| 3  | <i>SSW Holding Co. v. Schott Gemtron Corp.</i> ,             |             |
| 4  | 2013 WL 4500091 (W.D. Ky. Aug. 21, 2013) .....               | 5, 10       |
| 5  | <i>Star Envirotech, Inc. v. Redline Detection, LLC</i> ,     |             |
| 6  | 2013 WL 1716068 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2013) .....               | 5, 11       |
| 7  | <i>Target Therapeutics, Inc. v. SciMed Life Sys., Inc.</i> , |             |
| 8  | 1995 WL 20470 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 1995) .....                | 9           |
| 9  | <i>VirtualAgility Inc. v. Salesforce.com Inc.</i> ,          |             |
| 10 | 759 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....                          | 1, 12       |
| 11 | <i>Wonderland Nurserygoods Co. v. Baby Trend, Inc.</i> ,     |             |
| 12 | 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53053 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 20, 2015).....   | 2, 5, 8, 11 |
| 13 | <i>Xilidev, Inc. v. Boku, Inc.</i> ,                         |             |
| 14 | 2014 WL 3353256 (S.D. Cal. July 1, 2014) .....               | 1           |
| 15 | <b>Statutes</b>  |             |
| 16 | 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....                                     | 4           |
| 17 | 35 U.S.C. § 314(b) .....                                     | 3, 11       |
| 18 | 35 U.S.C. § 315(b) .....                                     | 10          |
| 19 | 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(2).....                                   | 2, 4, 8     |
| 20 | 35 U.S.C. § 316.....   | 4           |
| 21 | 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....                                  | 3, 4        |
| 22 | 35 U.S.C. § 318(c) .....                                     | 9           |
| 23 | 35 U.S.C. § 324(a) .....                                     | 4           |
| 24 | 35 U.S.C. § 324(c) .....                                     | 3, 11       |
| 25 | 35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(11).....                                  | 3, 4        |
| 26 | 35 U.S.C. § 6(a)-(c).....                                    | 4           |
| 27 | 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319.....                                    | 4           |
| 28 | AIA § 18(a)(1)(D).....                                       | 2, 4, 8     |
|    | AIA § 18(b)(1) .....   | 1           |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.