EXHIBIT 1

Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 91-2 Filed 04/27/16 Page 2 of 23

	Pages 1 - 146			
UNITEI	D STATES DISTRICT COURT			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
BEEORE THE	HONORABLE HAYWARD S. GILLIAM			
OPENTV, INC., and NAGRA SA,	VISION,)			
Plaintiffs	,) ,)			
VS.)) NO. C 14-1622 HSG			
APPLE, INC.,)			
Defendant.) San Francisco, California) Wednesday) April 22, 2015) 12:30 p.m.			
APPEARANCES: For Plaintiffs:	<pre>SCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW Garrett & Dunner LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 SMITH R. BRITTINGHAM, ESQ. GERALD IVEY, ESQ. FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW Garrett & Dunner LLP Stanford Research Park 3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304</pre>			
BY: BY:	ROBERT MCCAULEY, ESQ. FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW Garrett & Dunner LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308 STEPHEN KABAKOFF, ESQ.			
Reported By: Debra L. Pas, CSI Official Reporter - US I Computerized Transcrip				

Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR, RMR, RPR

DOCKET

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

For Defendant:	BY:	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center 28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 GEORGE RILEY, ESQ. LUANN SIMMONS, ESQ.
	BY:	O'MELVENY & MYERS 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, California 90071 RYAN YAGURA, ESQ. BRIAN COOK, ESQ.
Also Present:		Brian Platt, Esq. Chuck Fish, Esq.

Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR, RMR, RPR

DOCKET

Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 91-2 Filed 04/27/16 Page 4 of 23 3

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	April 22, 2015 12:33 p.m.
3	THE CLERK: We're calling C14-1622 OpenTV, Inc., et
4	al versus Apple, Inc.
5	Please step forward and state your appearances for the
б	record, please.
7	MR. BRITTINGHAM: Good afternoon, your Honor. Smith
8	Brittingham with Finnegan Henderson on behalf of OpenTV.
9	With me here at counsel table is Gerry Ivey, Steve
10	Kabakoff, Rob McCauley.
11	And, also, two in-house counsel with, Brian Platt and
12	Chuck Fish.
13	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon
14	Mr. Brittingham.
15	MR. BRITTINGHAM: Thank you.
16	MR. RILEY: Good afternoon, your Honor. George Riley
17	of O'Melveny and Myers for Apple.
18	I'm joined by my colleagues from O'Melveny and Myers,
19	Luann Simmons, Ryan Yagura and Brian Cook.
20	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Riley.
21	MR. RILEY: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So we're here for two purposes.
23	One is the hearing on the motion to supplement the Local
24	Rule 3-2(b) production, and then from there we'll segue into
25	the claim construction hearing.

Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR, RMR, RPR

DOCKET

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 91-2 Filed 04/27/16 Page 5 of 23 4

I've read the papers on the motion for leave to
 supplement, have a few questions. I don't know how the parties
 propose to proceed on that.

But I suppose since it's OpenTV's motion, Mr. Brittingham,
you can start.

6 MR. BRITTINGHAM: Your Honor, I'm happy to present 7 argument, which would probably duplicate much of what you 8 already read in the motion papers.

9 We do believe the motion should be granted. I'm happy to 10 answer your questions, but if you would like me to go forward 11 with argument until you feel the need to interrupt me, I would 12 be happy to do so.

13 THE COURT: Let me ask, it seems to me there are a 14 couple questions. One is, obviously, without going into 15 attorney-client privileged material, generally what was it that 16 took three months to make the waiver decision?

MR. BRITTINGHAM: So the decision came after,
essentially, the disclosures in Apple's invalidity contentions.
So those, as you know, were in October and the documents were
produced in January.

During that time, first we had to evaluate the prior art itself and determine the nature of it and what the dates were, whether there were prior art reference that's could be sworn behind, as we sometimes say, or we could pre-date what the earlier conception date or whether the art was the type of art

Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR, RMR, RPR

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.