Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 90 Filed 04/27/16 Page 1 of 3

1	Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)				
2	robert.mccauley@finnegan.com Jacob A. Schroeder (SBN 264717)				
3	jacob.schroeder@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,				
	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP				
4	3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203				
5	Telephone: (650) 849-6600				
6	Facsimile: (650) 849-6666				
	Gerald F. Ivey (pro hac vice)				
7	Smith R. Brittingham IV (pro hac vice) Elizabeth A. Niemeyer (pro hac vice)				
8	John M. Williamson (pro hac vice)				
	Rajeev Gupta (pro hac vice)				
9	Aidan C. Skoyles (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Cecilia Sanabria (<i>pro hac vice</i>)				
10	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,				
11	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW				
	Washington, DC 20001-4413				
12	Telephone: (202) 408-4000 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400				
13	, ,				
14	Stephen E. Kabakoff (pro hac vice) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,				
14	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP				
15	3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, N.E.				
16					
17	Telephone: (404) 653- 6400 Facsimile: (404) 653-6444				
17	1 acsimile. (404) 053-0444				
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S.				
19	Open I V, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France	z S.A.S.			
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
20	SAN FRANCIS				
21	OPENTV, INC., NAGRAVISION S.A., and	CASE NO. 5:15-cv-02008-EJD (NMC)			
22	NAGRA FRANCE S.A.S.	CASE NO. 5.15-CV-02008-EJD (NMC)			
23	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO PARTIALLY FILE			
23	Fiduums,	UNDER SEAL PLAINTIFFS'			
24	v.	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE RELIANCE			
25	APPLE INC.,	ON CERTAIN INVENTION DATES			
26	Defendant.	AND TO STRIKE CERTAIN ALLEGATIONS AND CERTAIN			
	Detenualit.	SUPPORTING EXHIBIT			
27					



Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(b) and (d), Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. (collectively "OpenTV") hereby move the Court for leave to partially file under seal (1) portions of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude Reliance on Certain Invention Dates and to Strike Certain Allegations ("OpenTV's Opposition"), and (2) portions of Exhibit 4 to the Declaration of Elizabeth A. Niemeyer in Support of OpenTV's Opposition ("Niemeyer Exhibit 4").

For the same reasons set forth in OpenTV's recently filed Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (D.I. 86), OpenTV hereby moves to file under seal portions of OpenTV's Opposition and of Niemeyer Exhibit 4 that disclose OpenTV confidential and proprietary information. OpenTV is lodging herewith highlighted versions of OpenTV's Opposition and of Niemeyer Exhibit 4, which highlight the portions OpenTV requests be sealed, and OpenTV is also publicly filing corresponding redacted versions of OpenTV's Opposition and of Niemeyer Exhibit 4 along with this motion to partially seal.

This motion to seal by OpenTV is supported by a concurrently filed Declaration of William Goldman ("Goldman Declaration"). As explained in the Goldman Declaration, OpenTV's Opposition and Niemeyer Exhibit 4 lodged herewith disclose a confidential and proprietary development date for the invention that led to U.S. Patent No. 7,725,740 ("the '740 patent"). As attested in the Goldman Declaration, the development date for the invention that led to '740 patent is confidential and proprietary information belonging to OpenTV that concerns the domain of security modules. Disclosure of the date when such a security system was developed and potentially incorporated into certain OpenTV's products, and related information, would provide would-be hackers with information about the security protocols present (or not present) in certain OpenTV products that would otherwise remain secret. Such disclosure would weaken the strong security protocols that OpenTV has worked to develop and market to its customers as part of its competitive advantage over its competitors in the marketplace. Goldman Decl. ¶ 5.

Although there is a general presumption of public access to dispositive motions (and papers and exhibits supporting them), e.g., Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d



1		n
2		F
3		d
4		fa
5		и
6		F
7		a
8		N
9		
10		Γ
11		Α
12		g
13		r
14		F
15		2
16		c
17		g
18		S
19		r
20		4
21		
22		Γ
23		
24		
	Ш	

materials." Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179-80 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing
Phillips, 307 F.3d at 1213). "The application of a strong presumption of access to sealed records, not
directly relevant to the merits of the case, would eviscerate the broad power of the district court to
fashion protective orders." Id. (internal quotations omitted). "In short, 'good cause' suffices to
warrant preserving the secrecy of sealed discovery material attached to nondispositive motions."
Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003) (emphasis added); see
also Kamakana, 447 F.3d. at 1180 (same, citing Foltz); see also OpenTV, Inc. v. Apple Inc., Case
No. 14-cv-01622-HSG, Order Granting Administrative Motion to Seal (D.I. 168).

Here, OpenTV submits OpenTV's Opposition and Niemeyer Exhibit 4 in opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude Reliance on Certain Invention Dates and to Strike Certain Allegations (D.I. 85), addressing a non-dispositive issue, and the Goldman Declaration satisfies the good cause requirement to seal the portions of OpenTV's Opposition and of Niemeyer Exhibit 4 requested by OpenTV here and in the Goldman Declaration. See In re Wachovia Corp. Pick a Payment Mortgage Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation, Case No. 3:09-cv-02015-RS-PSG, 2013 WL 6200008, *2 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 27, 2013) (granting motion to seal under Civil L.R. 79-5, citing declaration attesting to the risk of "competitive disadvantage" if a motion to seal were not granted). OpenTV's highlights/redactions of the opposition and exhibit are also narrowly tailored to seek sealing of only sealable material per Civil L.R. 79-5(b). Accordingly, OpenTV respectfully requests that its motion to partially seal OpenTV's Opposition and corresponding Niemeyer Exhibit 4 be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 27, 2016

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

By: /s/ Elizabeth A. Niemeyer
Elizabeth A. Niemeyer
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and
Nagra France S.A.S

¹ The Goldman Declaration also satisfies the more demanding standard for dispositive motions.



25

26

27