# **EXHIBIT 10**

DECLARATION OF MELODY DRUMMOND HANSEN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE RELIANCE ON CERTAIN

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 85-1	2 Filed 04/13/16	Page 2 of 11
1	Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)		
2	robert.mccauley@finnegan.com Jacob A. Schroeder (SBN 264717)		
3	jacob.schroeder@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,		
4	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue		
5	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203 Telephone: (650) 849-6600		
6	Facsimile: (650) 849-6666		
7	Gerald F. Ivey ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Smith R. Brittingham IV ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )		
8	Elizabeth A. Niemeyer ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) John M. Williamson ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )		
9	Rajeev Gupta ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Aidan C. Skoyles ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )		
10	Cecilia Sanabria ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,		
11	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW		
12	Washington, DC 20001-4413 Telephone: (202) 408-4000		
13	Facsimile: (202) 408-4400		
14	Stephen E. Kabakoff ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,		
15	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza		
16	303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30308-3263		
17	Telephone: (404) 653- 6400 Facsimile: (404) 653-6444		
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
19	OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S.		
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
21			
22			
23	OPENTV, INC., NAGRAVISION S.A., and NAGRA FRANCE S.A.S.	CASE NO. 5:	15-cv-02008-EJD (NMC)
24	Plaintiffs,		S' RESPONSES AND
25	V.	APPLE INC.'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS (NOS. 1-153)	
26	APPLE INC.,		
27	Defendant.		

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 85-12 Filed 04/13/16 Page 3 of 11

**PROPOUNDING PARTY:** Defendant Apple Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

**RESPONDING PARTY:**Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S.**SET NO.:**One (Nos. 1-153)

Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc.,

Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. (collectively, "OpenTV" or "Plaintiffs") hereby respond and object to the First Set of Requests for the Production of Documents and Things propounded by defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") as follows:

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

1. Plaintiffs object to the definition of "OpenTV" as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeking information that is not within OpenTV, Inc.'s possession, custody, or control. Plaintiffs will respond only on behalf of OpenTV, Inc. for requests directed at OpenTV, Inc.

2. OpenTV objects to the definition of "Nagravision" as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeking information that is not within Nagravision S.A.'s possession, custody, or control. Plaintiffs will respond only on behalf of Nagravision S.A. for requests directed at Nagravision S.A.

3. OpenTV objects to the definition of "Nagra France" as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeking information that is not within Nagra France S.A.S.'s possession, custody, or control. Plaintiffs will respond only on behalf of Nagra France S.A.S. for requests directed at Nagra France S.A.S.

4. OpenTV objects to the definition of "The Kudelski Group" as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeking information that is not within Plaintiffs' possession, custody, or control. Plaintiffs will respond on behalf of OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. for requests directed at The Kudelski Group.

5. OpenTV objects to the definition of "you," "your," and "Plaintiffs" as overly broad and unduly burdensome, and seeking information that is not within Plaintiffs' possession, custody, or control. Plaintiffs will respond on behalf of OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1

2

3

4

5

24

25

S.A.S. for requests directed at "you," "your," and "Plaintiffs." Plaintiffs are expressly not responding on behalf of any entity that is not a party to this litigation.

6. OpenTV objects to all definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent they seek to impose obligations upon OpenTV that are broader than or inconsistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules or court orders of the Northern District of California.

6 7. OpenTV objects to all definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent 7 they seek the disclosure of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work 8 product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or protection, as provided by any applicable law 9 (sometimes referred to collectively herein as "privileged" information). OpenTV does not intend to 10 produce such privileged or protected documents or information. OpenTV's inadvertent disclosure of 11 any such documents or information is not to be deemed a waiver of any objection, privilege, or protection, and OpenTV expressly reserves the right to object to the introduction during motion 12 13 practice, at trial, or any other use of such information that may be disclosed. In addition, OpenTV 14 objects to each request and all other definitions and instructions to the extent they seek and/or 15 require OpenTV to produce a privilege log for documents or information covered by work product 16 protection if such documents or information were created after April 9, 2014, as agreed upon by the 17 parties in the Joint Case Management Statement. See Dkt. No. 57. OpenTV additionally objects to 18 the extent these requests seek a log of privileged communications beyond the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26. 19

20 OpenTV objects to all definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent 8. 21 they are vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome, exceed the boundaries of discoverable 22 information, or fail to describe the information sought with the required reasonable particularity.

23 9. OpenTV objects to the definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent they are overly broad and unduly burdensome and do not include any reasonable limitation as to time.

26 10. OpenTV objects to the definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent they seek information unbounded as to time and geographic location, in particular to the extent they 27 

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

11. OpenTV objects to the definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent they seek information that is irrelevant, immaterial, not related to any claim or defense, and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, including to the extent they seek information directed to claims that are not at issue in this litigation.

12. OpenTV objects to the definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent they seek information that is irrelevant, immaterial, not related to any claim or defense, and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, including to the extent they seek information directed to entities that are not parties to this litigation.

13. OpenTV objects to all definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs their likely benefit, given the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the parties' resources, the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues.

13 14. OpenTV objects to all definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent
14 they seek production of documents that contain confidential financial, proprietary, trade secret, or
15 other confidential or competitively sensitive business information relating to OpenTV or any third
16 party. OpenTV reserves the right to object that certain documents or things are so confidential and
17 sensitive that they will not be produced even pursuant to a protective order.

18 15. OpenTV objects to all definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent
19 they seek to impose upon OpenTV an obligation to investigate or discover information, materials, or
20 documents from third parties or services that are more or equally accessible to Apple. OpenTV will
21 not produce documents in the custody or control of any other persons or non-parties that are not in
22 the possession, custody, or control of OpenTV.

23 16. OpenTV objects to all definitions, instructions, and document requests to the extent
24 they seek information that is beyond the scope of this litigation, is not relevant, or that falls outside
25 the parameters of discoverable information under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26.

26 17. OpenTV objects to each request to the extent it seeks information contrary to the
 27 provisions of the Stipulation and Order Regarding Discovery of Electronically Stored Information
 28 for Potent Litization, Dist. No. 65

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.