	Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 78	3 Filed 03/03/16 Page 1 of 6
1	Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)	
2	robert.mccauley@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	
3	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue	
4	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203 Telephone: (650) 849-6600	
5	Facsimile: (650) 849-6666	
6	Gerald F. Ivey (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Smith R. Brittingham IV (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
7	Elizabeth A. Niemeyer (<i>pro hac vice</i>) John M. Williamson (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
8	Rajeev Gupta (pro hac vice)	
	Aidan C. Skoyles (<i>pro hac vice</i>) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	
9	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW	ES DISTRICE
10	Washington, DC 20001-4413 Telephone: (202) 408-4000	ETAT De COR
11	Facsimile: (202) 408-4400	R I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
12	Stephen E. Kabakoff (<i>pro hac vice</i>) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	DENIED
13	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza	P _ O O Wark []
14	303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30308-3263	Z Judge Edward J. Davila
15	Telephone: (404) 653- 6400 Facsimile: (404) 653-6444	Judge Edward
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	DATED: 3/3/2016
17	OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France	e S.A.S.
18		
19	UNITED STATES	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	SAN JOSE	DIVISION
22	OPENTV, INC., NAGRAVISION S.A., and NAGRA FRANCE S.A.S.	Case No. 5:15-cv-02008-EJD (NMC)
23	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CHANGE DATE OF HEARING ON
24	V.	MOTION FOR RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATION (CIVIL L.R. 6-3);
25	APPLE INC.,	SUPPORTING DECLARATION;
26	Defendant.	[PROPOSED] ORDER
27		Courtroom: 4, 5 th Floor Judge: Honorable Edward J. Davila
28		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1 2

I.

UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CHANGE DATE ON MOTION FOR RULE 54(B) CERTIFICATION (CIVIL L.R. 6-3)

3 Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. respectfully make this unopposed request to advance the hearing date for OpenTV's and Nagra France's Motion for Rule 4 5 54(b) Certification (Dkt. No. 75, the "Motion"). A few days before Plaintiffs filed that Motion on 6 Thursday, February 25, 2016, Plaintiffs' counsel called the Court's Deputy Clerk to reserve a 7 hearing date, and was advised that the first available hearing date for the Motion was August 18, 8 2016. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the hearing date be advanced to March 31, 2016 (35 days 9 after the Motion was filed). As discussed in more detail below, the Motion seeking interlocutory 10 appeal is, itself, a time-sensitive matter, so it follows that an earlier hearing date will also serve those 11 same issues of time-sensitivity. And given the relatively narrow issue presented in the Motion, 12 Plaintiffs submit that the Court's ability to consider the Motion's merits will not be compromised by 13 the requested earlier hearing date.

On February 25, 26, and 29, 2016, counsel for Plaintiffs (Robert McCauley) communicated
with counsel for Defendant Apple Inc. (Luann Simmons) and asked whether Apple would agree to
not oppose Plaintiffs' planned administrative motion to advance the hearing date. On March 2, 2016,
Ms. Simmons confirmed that Apple does not oppose Plaintiffs' request to advance the hearing date.

18 Plaintiffs' Motion sets forth a discrete issue, unencumbered by a voluminous record or thorny 19 legal issues, that is ripe for resolution—whether to certify for interlocutory appeal this Court's Order 20 granting Apple's motion to dismiss because the asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,148,081 and 21 7,644,429 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to ineligible subject matter (Dkt. No. 72). A 22 chief reason for filing the Motion and pursuing an immediate appeal is to find out, as quickly as 23 possible, whether that threshold invalidity decision was correct, since the answer to that question 24 could significantly impact the future dynamics of this litigation. As the Motion explains in more 25 detail, determining whether those two patents were correctly dismissed from the case now, rather 26 than at the end of the litigation, can result in judicial efficiencies. For example, if this Court grants 27 the Motion and allows OpenTV and Nagra France to appeal, and the Federal Circuit subsequently 28 reverses this Court's Order before a jury trial on the remaining patents has occurred, this Court could

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 78 Filed 03/03/16 Page 3 of 6

conduct a single trial on all patents at issue instead of the multiple trials that might occur if the
Federal Circuit reverses this Court's Order later. If the Federal Circuit affirms this Court's Order, the
Court and parties could then proceed to trial, or evaluate the prospects of settlement, without the
specter of another trial on the '081 and '429 patents.

By the same token, hearing the Motion as early as possible maximizes the opportunity to achieve those efficiencies. Advancing the hearing date to March 31, 2016 (35 days after the Motion was filed) could provide OpenTV's and Nagra France's appeal an additional four and one half months to work its way through the appellate process as compared to waiting until an August 18, 2016 hearing date. That potential four and one half month savings is significant, given that an appeal on the isolated § 101 ruling may only take between a year and 18 months.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request to advance the hearing date on
OpenTV's and Nagra France's Motion by four and half months to March 31, 2016 (35 days after the
Motion was filed), from August 18, 2016 (the date set by the Court's Deputy Clerk), in order to
obtain an earlier resolution of their motion.

16 Respectfully submitted,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 78 Filed 03/03/16 Page 4 of 6

1	Dated: March 2, 2016	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
2		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
3		By: /s/ Robert F. McCauley
4		Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056) robert.mccauley@finnegan.com
5		FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
6		3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203
7		Telephone:(650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666
8		
		Gerald F. Ivey (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Smith R. Brittingham IV (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
9		Elizabeth A. Niemeyer (<i>pro hac vice</i>) John M. Williamson (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
10		Rajeev Gupta (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Aidan C. Skoyles (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
11		FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
12		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW
13		Washington, DC 20001-4413 Telephone: (202) 408-4000
14		Facsimile: (202) 408-4400
15		Stephen E. Kabakoff (<i>pro hac vice</i>) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
16		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza
17		303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30308-3263
18		Telephone:(404) 653- 6400 Facsimile: (404) 653-6444
19		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
20		OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF ROBERT F. MCCAULEY		
2	I, ROBERT F. MCCAULEY, declare as follows:		
3	1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before this Court and all courts of the State of		
4	California, and am a partner with Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner LLP, counsel		
5	for Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. in the above-titled action. I		
6	submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Change Date for Plaintiffs' Motion for		
7	Rule 54(b) Certification. The matters stated herein are based upon my personal knowledge, and, if		
8	called as a witness, I would testify as to the following statements.		
9	2. The factual statements included in the above Motion to advance the hearing date are		
10	true, including the fact that counsel for Apple advised that Apple does not oppose this motion to		
11	change time.		
12	3. The requested time modification will not affect any date set by the Court in this		
13	matter.		
14	4. The previous time modifications in this case made pursuant to the listed Stipulations,		
15	Court Orders, and Clerk's Notices are as follows:		
16	• Clerk's Notice of Impending Reassignment to a U.S. District Court Judge		
17	 vacating hearing dates scheduled before magistrate judge (Dkt. No. 16); Order of Recusal vacating all pending dates of motions, pretrial conferences and 		
18	trial (Dkt. No. 18);Order assigning case to the Honorable Edward J. Davila vacating dates presently		
19	scheduled (Dkt. No. 19);		
20	 Joint Stipulation to Extend Time for Apple Inc. to Respond to Complaint to June 26, 2015 (Dkt. No. 22); 		
21	Clerk's Notice Resetting Case Management Conference Following Reassignment from Magistrate Judge resetting due date for Case Management Statement to		
22	September 10, 2015, resetting Case Management Conference to September 17, 2015, and adjusting any deadlines associated with the Initial Case Management		
23	Conference accordingly (Dkt. No. 32);		
24	Order (Dkt. No. 46) granting Joint Stipulation Regarding Briefing Schedule for Apple's Motion to Dismiss resetting the due date for OpenTV's opposition to		
25	Apple's motion to July 17, 2015, and resetting Apple's reply to OpenTV's opposition to July 29, 2015 (Dkt. No. 44); and		
26	ADR Phone Conference Re-Scheduling Notice resetting ADR Phone Conference		
27	to October 27, 2015 (Dkt. No. 56).		
28			

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.