Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 76 Filed 03/02/16 Page 1 of 6

1 2	Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056) robert.mccauley@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP		
3 4	3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203 Telephone: (650) 849-6600		
5	Facsimile: (650) 849-6666		
6	Gerald F. Ivey (pro hac vice) Smith R. Brittingham IV (pro hac vice)		
7	Elizabeth A. Niemeyer (pro hac vice) John M. Williamson (pro hac vice) Reisey Cynte (pro hac vice)		
8	Rajeev Gupta (pro hac vice) Aidan C. Skoyles (pro hac vice) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,		
9	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW		
10	Washington, DC 20001-4413 Telephone: (202) 408-4000		
11	Facsimile: (202) 408-4400		
12	Stephen E. Kabakoff (pro hac vice) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,		
13	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza		
14	303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30308-3263		
15	Telephone: (404) 653- 6400 Facsimile: (404) 653-6444		
16 17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S.		
18			
19	UNITED STATES 1		
20	NORTHERN DISTRI		
21	SAN JOSE	DIVISION	
22	OPENTV, INC., NAGRAVISION S.A., and NAGRA FRANCE S.A.S.	Case No. 5:15-cv-02008-EJD (NMC)	
23	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CHANGE DATE OF HEARING ON	
24	v.	MOTION FOR RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATION (CIVIL L.R. 6-3);	
25	APPLE INC.,	SUPPORTING DECLARATION;	
26	Defendant.	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
27		Courtroom: 4, 5 th Floor Judge: Honorable Edward J. Davila	
28			



28 |

I. UNOPPOSED MOTION TO CHANGE DATE ON MOTION FOR RULE 54(B) CERTIFICATION (CIVIL L.R. 6-3)

Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. respectfully make this unopposed request to advance the hearing date for OpenTV's and Nagra France's Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification (Dkt. No. 75, the "Motion"). A few days before Plaintiffs filed that Motion on Thursday, February 25, 2016, Plaintiffs' counsel called the Court's Deputy Clerk to reserve a hearing date, and was advised that the first available hearing date for the Motion was August 18, 2016. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the hearing date be advanced to March 31, 2016 (35 days after the Motion was filed). As discussed in more detail below, the Motion seeking interlocutory appeal is, itself, a time-sensitive matter, so it follows that an earlier hearing date will also serve those same issues of time-sensitivity. And given the relatively narrow issue presented in the Motion, Plaintiffs submit that the Court's ability to consider the Motion's merits will not be compromised by the requested earlier hearing date.

On February 25, 26, and 29, 2016, counsel for Plaintiffs (Robert McCauley) communicated with counsel for Defendant Apple Inc. (Luann Simmons) and asked whether Apple would agree to not oppose Plaintiffs' planned administrative motion to advance the hearing date. On March 2, 2016, Ms. Simmons confirmed that Apple does not oppose Plaintiffs' request to advance the hearing date.

Plaintiffs' Motion sets forth a discrete issue, unencumbered by a voluminous record or thorny legal issues, that is ripe for resolution—whether to certify for interlocutory appeal this Court's Order granting Apple's motion to dismiss because the asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,148,081 and 7,644,429 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to ineligible subject matter (Dkt. No. 72). A chief reason for filing the Motion and pursuing an immediate appeal is to find out, as quickly as possible, whether that threshold invalidity decision was correct, since the answer to that question could significantly impact the future dynamics of this litigation. As the Motion explains in more detail, determining whether those two patents were correctly dismissed from the case now, rather than at the end of the litigation, can result in judicial efficiencies. For example, if this Court grants the Motion and allows OpenTV and Nagra France to appeal, and the Federal Circuit subsequently reverses this Court's Order before a jury trial on the remaining patents has occurred, this Court could

Case 5:15-cv-02008-EJD Document 76 Filed 03/02/16 Page 3 of 6

conduct a single trial on all patents at issue instead of the multiple trials that might occur if the
Federal Circuit reverses this Court's Order later. If the Federal Circuit affirms this Court's Order, the
Court and parties could then proceed to trial, or evaluate the prospects of settlement, without the
specter of another trial on the '081 and '429 patents.

By the same token, hearing the Motion as early as possible maximizes the opportunity to achieve those efficiencies. Advancing the hearing date to March 31, 2016 (35 days after the Motion was filed) could provide OpenTV's and Nagra France's appeal an additional four and one half months to work its way through the appellate process as compared to waiting until an August 18, 2016 hearing date. That potential four and one half month savings is significant, given that an appeal on the isolated § 101 ruling may only take between a year and 18 months.

II. CONCLUSION

Respectfully submitted,

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request to advance the hearing date on OpenTV's and Nagra France's Motion by four and half months to March 31, 2016 (35 days after the Motion was filed), from August 18, 2016 (the date set by the Court's Deputy Clerk), in order to obtain an earlier resolution of their motion.

__



1	Dated: March 2, 2016	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
2		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
3		By: /s/ Robert F. McCauley Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)
4		robert.mccauley@finnegan.com FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
5		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3300 Hillview Avenue
6 7		Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203 Telephone:(650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666
8		Gerald F. Ivey (pro hac vice)
9		Smith R. Brittingham IV (pro hac vice) Elizabeth A. Niemeyer (pro hac vice)
10		John M. Williamson (pro hac vice) Rajeev Gupta (pro hac vice)
11		Aidan C. Skoyles (<i>pro hac vice</i>) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
12		901 New York Avenue, NW
13		Washington, DC 20001-4413 Telephone: (202) 408-4000
14		Facsimile: (202) 408-4400
15		Stephen E. Kabakoff (pro hac vice) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
16		GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza
17		303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30308-3263
18		Telephone: (404) 653-6400 Facsimile: (404) 653-6444
19		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
20		OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		



1

2

3

5

6

7

9 10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF ROBERT F. MCCAULEY

I, ROBERT F. MCCAULEY, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before this Court and all courts of the State of California, and am a partner with Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner LLP, counsel for Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc., Nagravision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S. in the above-titled action. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Change Date for Plaintiffs' Motion for Rule 54(b) Certification. The matters stated herein are based upon my personal knowledge, and, if called as a witness, I would testify as to the following statements.
- 2. The factual statements included in the above Motion to advance the hearing date are true, including the fact that counsel for Apple advised that Apple does not oppose this motion to change time.
- 3. The requested time modification will not affect any date set by the Court in this matter.
- 4. The previous time modifications in this case made pursuant to the listed Stipulations, Court Orders, and Clerk's Notices are as follows:
 - Clerk's Notice of Impending Reassignment to a U.S. District Court Judge vacating hearing dates scheduled before magistrate judge (Dkt. No. 16);
 - Order of Recusal vacating all pending dates of motions, pretrial conferences and trial (Dkt. No. 18);
 - Order assigning case to the Honorable Edward J. Davila vacating dates presently scheduled (Dkt. No. 19);
 - Joint Stipulation to Extend Time for Apple Inc. to Respond to Complaint to June 26, 2015 (Dkt. No. 22);
 - Clerk's Notice Resetting Case Management Conference Following Reassignment from Magistrate Judge resetting due date for Case Management Statement to September 10, 2015, resetting Case Management Conference to September 17, 2015, and adjusting any deadlines associated with the Initial Case Management Conference accordingly (Dkt. No. 32);
 - Order (Dkt. No. 46) granting Joint Stipulation Regarding Briefing Schedule for Apple's Motion to Dismiss resetting the due date for OpenTV's opposition to Apple's motion to July 17, 2015, and resetting Apple's reply to OpenTV's opposition to July 29, 2015 (Dkt. No. 44); and
 - ADR Phone Conference Re-Scheduling Notice resetting ADR Phone Conference to October 27, 2015 (Dkt. No. 56).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

