

1 Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)
robert.mccauley@finnegan.com
2 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
3 3300 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1203
4 Telephone: (650) 849-6600
Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

5 Gerald F. Ivey (*pro hac vice*)
6 Smith R. Brittingham IV (*pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth A. Niemeyer (*pro hac vice*)
7 John M. Williamson (*pro hac vice*)
Rajeev Gupta (*pro hac vice*)
8 Aidan C. Skoyles (*pro hac vice*)
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
9 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
901 New York Avenue, NW
10 Washington, DC 20001-4413
Telephone: (202) 408-4000
11 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

12 Stephen E. Kabakoff (*pro hac vice*)
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
13 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
3500 SunTrust Plaza
14 303 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30308-3263
15 Telephone: (404) 653- 6400
Facsimile: (404) 653-6444

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
17 OpenTV, Inc., NagraVision S.A., and Nagra France S.A.S.

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20 SAN JOSE DIVISION

21 OPENTV, INC., NAGRAVISION S.A., and
22 NAGRA FRANCE S.A.S.

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 APPLE INC.,

26 Defendant.

Case No. 5:15-cv-02008-EJD (NMC)

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION
AND MOTION FOR RULE 54(B)
CERTIFICATION**

Date: August 18, 2016

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Courtroom: 4, 5th Floor

Judge: Honorable Edward J. Davila

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE TO BE DECIDED.....1

III. PROCEDURAL HISTORY1

IV. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR RULE 54(B) CERTIFICATION.....2

V. ARGUMENT3

 A. The Order Is a Final Disposition of OpenTV’s Causes of Action3

 B. No Just Reason Exists to Delay an Appeal of the Order4

 1. The Federal Circuit Will Not Need to Address the Issues Twice.....5

 2. The Claims on Appeal are “Separable” and Do Not Meaningfully
 Overlap with the Claims of the Three Other Asserted Patents5

 3. Judicial Efficiency Strongly Favors Certification.....6

VI. CONCLUSION.....8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank International</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	2, 7, 8
<i>Augme Techs., Inc. v. Yahoo! Inc.</i> , 305 F.R.D. 112 (N.D. Cal. 2012), <i>aff'd</i> , 755 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	5
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7
<i>Catlin v. United States</i> , 324 U.S. 229 (1945).....	2, 4
<i>Cogent Med., Inc. v. Elsevier</i> , 70 F. Supp. 3d 1058 (N.D. Cal. 2014).....	8
<i>Curtis-Wright Corp. v. Gen. Elec. Co.</i> , 446 U.S. 1 (1980).....	2, 3, 5
<i>Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Electronics for Imaging, Inc.</i> , 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7
<i>HTC Corp. v. IPCom GMBH & Co., KG</i> , 285 F.R.D. 130 (D.D.C. 2012).....	6
<i>Intergraph Corp. v. Intel Corp.</i> , 253 F.3d 695 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3
<i>Lava Trading, Inc. v. Sonic Trading Mgmt., LLC</i> , 445 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	2
<i>Liquid Dynamic v. Vaughn Co.</i> , 355 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	4
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc.</i> , 721 F.2d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1983).....	3
<i>Nazomi Commc'ns, Inc. v. Nokia Corp.</i> , No. C-10-04686, 2012 WL 6680304 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 21, 2012).....	5, 6
<i>Nystrom v. Trex Co.</i> , 339 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	4
<i>Pause Tech. LLC, v. TiVo Inc.</i> , 401 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	2
<i>Planet Bingo, LLC v. VKGS LLC</i> , 576 Fed. Appx. 1005 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7
<i>Smart Systems Innovations, L.L.C. v. Chicago Transit Authority</i> ,	

1 *Storage Tech. Corp. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.*,
 329 F.3d 823 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....2, 3, 4

2

3 *Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd. v. Eli Lilly & Co.*,
 No. 07-cv-15087, 2009 WL 3497797 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 29, 2009).....4

4 *Trading Techs. Int’l, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc.*,
 883 F. Supp. 2d 772 (N.D. Ill. 2012), *rev’d and remanded on other grounds*, 728
 5 F.3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....6

6 *W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc. v. Int’l Med. Prosthetics Research Assocs., Inc.*,
 975 F.2d 858 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....3, 6

7

8 *WiAV Sols. LLC v. Motorola, Inc.*,
 No. 3:09-cv-447, 2010 WL 883748 (E.D. Va. Mar. 8, 2010).....5, 7

9 *Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co. v. Cadbury Adams USA LLC*,
 No. 04-cv-00346, 2010 WL 4115427 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 18, 2010), *aff’d*, 683 F.3d
 10 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....4

11 **Federal Statutes**

12 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(1).....2

13 35 U.S.C. § 101..... *passim*

14 **Rules**

15 Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) *passim*

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on August 18, 2016, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as this Motion may be heard, in Courtroom 4 of the above-entitled court, located at 280 South First Street, San Jose, California, 95113, Plaintiffs OpenTV, Inc. and Nagra France S.A.S. will and hereby do move, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), for an Order certifying for interlocutory appeal the Court's Order that the asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,148,081 and 7,644,429 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to ineligible subject matter. This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, all other papers and pleadings on file, and such additional arguments and evidence as may be presented to the Court at or prior to the hearing on this Motion.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.